

2. 1974 (a) 4040; (b) 5399; (c) 700; (d) 44. 1975 (a) 3084; (b) 6276; (c) 1008; (d) 111.

DEPARTMENT OF SOLICITOR GENERAL—PERSONNEL

Question No. 1,318—**Mr. Paproski:**

1. How many full-time employees were under salary in the Department of the Solicitor General on (a) January 1, 1974 (b) January 1, 1975?

2. How many people in each of these years received salaries of (a) under \$10,000 (b) between \$10,000 and \$20,000 (c) between \$20,000 and \$30,000 (d) over \$30,000?

**Hon. Warren Allmand (Solicitor General):**

Ministry Secretariat

1. (a) 136 (b) 183				
2.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
January 1, 1974	73	42	18	3
January 1, 1975	66	72	38	7

Royal Canadian Mounted Police\*

1. (a) 15,431 (b) 16,271				
2.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
January 1, 1974	5,404	9,901	122	4
January 1, 1975	2,798	13,149	302	22

\*This reply includes members of the R.C.M.P. and public servants.

Canadian Penitentiary Service

1. (a) 5,780 (b) 6,629				
2.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
January 1, 1974	2,015	3,591	165	9
January 1, 1975	2,243	4,177	200	9

National Parole Board and Service

1. (a) 561 (b) 669				
2.	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
January 1, 1974	296	252	12	1
January 1, 1975	297	333	18	21

NATIONAL DEFENCE—RETIRED SERVICEMEN IN RECEIPT OF ANNUITY PAYMENTS

Question No. 1,324—**Mr. McKinnon:**

1. As of December 15, 1974, to how many retired servicemen were annuity payments being made?

2. How many became eligible for increases between January 1, 1974 and January 1, 1975 for the first time under the Supplementary Retirement Benefits Act, as a result of the passing of Bill C-220 on September 14, 1973?

**Hon. James Richardson (Minister of National Defence):** 1. As of December 31, 1974 (Accurate information is only available as of the end of each month) the

Order Paper Questions

number of retired servicemen, who received annuities under Canadian Forces Superannuation Act (CFSA) and under the Defence Services Pension Continuation Act (DSPCA) totaled 44,158.

2. The number who became eligible for increases for the first time under the Supplementary Retirement Benefits Act between January 1, 1974 and January 1, 1975 was 1,723.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR OCEAN ENGINEERING

Question No. 1,328—**Mr. Forrestall:**

What specific funding level for 1973-74 and 1974-75 were provided to further development and maintenance of ocean engineering (a) at universities (b) in government laboratories pursuant to the "New Oceans Policy" statement of July 12, 1973 by the Minister of State for Science and Technology?

**Hon. C. M. Drury (Minister of State for Science and Technology):** In the fiscal year 1973-74 and 1974-75, no specific funding level was provided for the furtherance of development and the maintenance of ocean engineering at universities and in government laboratories.

SUPPLEMENTARY RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Question No. 1,334—**Mr. Forrestall:**

Is the government giving consideration to amending the superannuation legislation to provide for the payment of Supplementary Retirement Benefits regardless of age, provided a pension is payable in the first instance, with concurrent increases in contributions to the PSSRB Account and (a) if so, what is the status of these considerations (b) if not, for what reason?

**Hon. Jean Chrétien (President of Treasury Board):** No, because no desire has been expressed by contributing members of the pension plans involved to make the additional contributions required to meet the cost of such an amendment.

DEFENCE CONSTRUCTION (1951) LTD.—POSSIBLE TRANSFER TO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Question No. 1,356—**Mr. Herbert:**

Has consideration been given to transferring the responsibilities of Defence Construction (1951) Limited to the Department of Public Works and, if not, for what reason?

**Hon. James Richardson (Minister of National Defence):** In 1962 the Royal Commission of Government Organization chaired by Mr. J. G. Glassco, and in 1967-68 the Bureau of Management Studies and in 1971-72 the Management Review Group of the Department of National Defence all gave consideration to the most appropriate location for Defence Construction (1951) Limited. Each time this matter was considered it was agreed that in order to meet the objectives of the Department of National Defence a quick response capability was required within the department.