

I was in Norfolk county some months ago. I sent the Minister a brief which had been prepared by the County Council with the help of some sociologists from the university. It showed that 25.2 per cent of the farmers there had a cash income amounting to less than \$1,000 in 1964. This means that those farmers had to depend upon some type of part-time employment. Some types of industry might well produce employment of this kind. If it is necessary to move these people from uneconomic farms to industry, there will be a need to attract industry to areas such as Norfolk County, though applications from Norfolk County to be designated have been consistently refused. I use this example as an illustration. This legislation will become more complex and sophisticated as we go along. I do not blame the Minister. He is breaking new ground. We must get the benefit of the experience of as many people as we can, in the municipal field, in industry and in this House.

I am glad the Minister has agreed to table the regulations in the House when we re-assemble and refer them to the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy Development. If this measure were reviewed periodically by that committee a great many useful suggestions could be worked out. I have a number in mind myself, as I am sure have Members in all parts of the House. This is not the time to belabour the Minister with them; an opportunity will be presented for doing this after we have re-assembled. We congratulate the Minister on his willingness to have this matter discussed in the committee. We feel certain that the committee and Members of the House generally can be useful to him in reviewing these regulations from time to time.

Clause agreed to.

Clauses 13 and 14 agreed to.

Clause 1 agreed to.

Schedule agreed to.

Title agreed to.

Bill reported.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: When shall the said bill be read the third time? By leave, now?

Some hon. Members: By leave.

Mr. Drury moved the third reading of the bill.

Motion agreed to and bill read the third time and passed.

Internal Economy Commission Report

HOUSE OF COMMONS

CONCURRENCE IN REPORT OF INTERNAL ECONOMY COMMISSION

Hon. G. J. McIlraith (President of the Privy Council) moved:

That the report of the Commissioners of Internal Economy relating to classification changes affecting a number of the personnel in the House of Commons laid before the House on June 14, 1965, and printed in *Votes and Proceedings* of that day be now concurred in.

• (8:10 p.m.)

Mr. Arnold Peters (Timiskaming): Mr. Speaker, there is only one comment I have to make about the report, now that I have had an opportunity of reading it. I find in the report that one Member of the staff of this House receives a very large increase in salary. I think this matter should be reconsidered, in light of the fact that his services, in the opinion of the Committee, were no longer required and a recommendation to that effect was made almost a year ago. I believe this is really an abuse of the committee of the House, namely to give a large salary increase to this particular individual, in light of the fact that not only the recent committee but several previous committees censured, without success, this man.

It seems to me that it takes away all the effectiveness and value of the committee if one of the largest increases given to any employee of this House goes to an individual with regard to whom a joint committee of this House and the other place recommended discharge some months ago. I suggest that the committee look at this particular matter, because it seems to me to be completely contrary to the efficient operation of the House.

Mr. T. S. Barnett (Comox-Alberni): Mr. Speaker, I sought to catch your eye merely in an attempt to get in before the hon. Member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) to ask for an assurance from the President of the Privy Council (Mr. McIlraith) that the increases will ensure that all these employees will receive at least the \$1.25 an hour minimum wage.

Mr. Knowles: Starting tomorrow.

Mr. Barnett: Yes, starting tomorrow.

Mr. McIlraith: Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that all of them get more than \$1.25 an hour now. In any event, a check is being made to make sure that there is no case where an employee is getting less than the amount required by the provisions of the