

Mr. DUNNING: Not building—buying them.

Mr. ROBB: This is the boys' brigade hall.

Mr. ARTHURS: Has it the approbation of the member for Southeast Grey?

Mr. EDWARDS (Waterloo): Has there been any appraisal made of that hall at Sarnia?

Mr. ROBB: It has been appraised at \$30,000 and the land at \$9,000, making a total of \$39,000.

Mr. EDWARDS (Frontenac): I understand the building was to be specially used for training cadets and boys.

Mr. DUNNING: It is the boys' brigade hall.

Mr. EDWARDS (Frontenac): Are we to understand that it is to be used for the purpose of installing warlike instincts into the boys?

Mr. ROBB: No, to get them away from it.

Mr. EDWARDS (Frontenac): What does the minister want it for?

Mr. ROBB: For the ordinary reasons.

Item agreed to.

Toronto Postal Station "A"—mechanical equipment—further amount required, \$50,000.

Mr. EDWARDS (Frontenac): This seems rather a large amount for so insignificant a place as Toronto.

Item agreed to.

Winnipeg—Fort Osborne barracks—new boilers, \$10,000.

Mr. EDWARDS (Waterloo): What boilers were specified in that contract?

Mr. ROBB: I do not know that I have the specifications—high pressure boilers, I could not tell you whose boilers.

Mr. EDWARDS (Waterloo): I do not want the particular make or type, but it was a high pressure boiler?

Mr. ROBB: Yes.

Item agreed to.

Wainwright—public building, \$15,000.

Mr. GARDINER: What is this building at Wainwright for? Is it not in Alberta?

Mr. ROBB: This is for the erection of a public building on the corner of Main street and Third avenue, 52 feet 6 inches by 33 feet, on concrete foundations.

Mr. GARDINER: For postal purposes?

Mr. ROBB: Yes.

Mr. GARDINER: What is the revenue at that point?

Mr. DUNNING: The revenue is \$6,313.

Item agreed to.

Camrose—public building, \$15,000.

Mr. GARDINER: What is it for?

Mr. DUNNING: Postal and customs.

Mr. GARDINER: What is the revenue of the postal department there?

Mr. DUNNING: \$14,000.

Mr. GARDINER: Is the minister satisfied that this building is adequate when the revenue derived from that point is taken into consideration?

Mr. ROBB: Does my hon. friend recommend any larger amount?

Mr. GARDINER: I am asking why the difference, when we take into consideration the total amount of revenue derived from these other post offices and the amount that is being voted for post office buildings at these points.

Mr. DUNNING: The population in this case is only 1,800.

Mr. GARDINER: But the revenue, I think, is the main thing. Here is a building costing \$15,000, when the revenue from that point is over \$14,000. We have just passed an item for a \$25,000 public building at Rockland, I believe, where the revenue was only \$2,700. That merely emphasizes what I stated before, that no consideration seems to be given to the amount of revenue being derived from these post offices.

Mr. DUNNING: The estimated cost of this particular building is \$52,000. This vote is only a beginning.

Mr. LUCAS: The last postal return shows the revenue from the Camrose post office to be \$16,500. There is also a custom house there with a revenue of over \$50,000, and I might add that there is a large surrounding country to be served. It is recognized, I think, that the Camrose district is one of the premier districts of the province of Alberta. It is also recognized by the postal authorities that Camrose is due for this expenditure on a public building, and I think the government for including it in the estimates.