

(Mr. Tóth, Hungary)

slight modification of 43; 42 in a way is reflected in the paragraph we have just drafted - 73. My suggestion would be to modify slightly 41, dropping the reference to document CD/CW/WP.400/Rev.2, and to modify slightly 43, there to have a reference that the Conference agreed to transmit this report - and to take over those two paragraphs.

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): I thank Ambassador Tóth for his proposal, but as regards paragraph 43 I didn't quite understand. Is he proposing that we replace "the Ad Hoc Committee" by "the Conference on Disarmament"? I give the floor to Mr. Tóth again.

Mr. TOTH (Hungary): I would suggest the following formulation, as I mentioned, with a certain modification: "The Conference on Disarmament agreed to transmit this report and its appendix to the General Assembly."

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): Here again the Hungarian proposal seems to do justice to the proposals that have been made so far. I think his proposal is a constructive one. I am only worried about whether I will have as much trouble in getting it adopted as for paragraphs 73 and 74. Ambassador Tóth's proposal is extremely simple. We would reproduce here the text of paragraph 41 of document CD/1170, which contains the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Chemical Weapons, and instead of referring to the document we adopted (CD/CW/WP.400), we would say of document CD/1170 that it

(continued in English)

"met with the support of most delegations, who were of the opinion that ...".

(continued in French)

Nothing else would be changed. As Mr. Tóth quite rightly said, there is no point in reproducing paragraph 42, because the information given there already appears in paragraphs 72 and 73. Then we would take up and modify paragraph 43, so that the text would read as follows:

(continued in English)

"The Conference on Disarmament agreed to transmit this report and its appendix to the General Assembly of the United Nations for its consideration."

(continued in French)

Is this solution accepted? It is not. I am having more trouble with this small paragraph than with the biggest ones.

Mr. TOTH (Hungary): May I just read that again? Under paragraph 41, the formulation would be the following: "The draft convention met with the support of most delegations, who were of the opinion ...", and then according