WERKLY FREMA
FOR 1965:

1. String and the second of a minimal panel of the date of the second panel and the second

Friendly Path 3. 1985
The Great Section at 18 and 1985
The Great Section at 18 and 1985
The Great Section at 1985
The Grea

We publish to-day the core tween Mr. Hatheway and the Li For some days hints and inne in the Government papers cale the public to suppose that Mr. pented of what he had done, and taken back. The corresponder false all these stories were, and vernment sought to retain h avowed opponent of the Confede

Mr. Hatheway's conduct in be approved of by every one w titutional Government and conduct. It extorts praise eve mies. A great question was bef try, and he would not, for the and salary, consent to hold a do vocal position for one day. The the Council adopted the repo that he could not, with honour. with them any longer. And m ties admit he was right and he

what he has done. From the correspondence it other members of the Government posed to the scheme, although chosen to take the same course.

Circuit Court. The St. John Circuit Court and will probably last some tim following causes have been trie last few days :-

Isaac Burpee et al vs. Edmun Assumpsit on Promissory Note plaintiffs; damages \$96.80. Con tiffs, Mr. A. R. Wetmore; unde Elizabeth Thomson, Executrix Thomson, deceased, vs. Charles sumpsit on Promissory Note .-

plaintiff; damages \$61.70. Coun tiff. Mr. Bayard ; for defendant, Edward N. Lester vs. Beverley Assumpsit on Promissory Note. up that the note had been altered nature by the promissor. No vero not being able to agree. Counsel Mr. Wedderburn; for defendant, 1

Wiliam Wedderburn vs. Willia -Assumpsit on Promissory Note. defence, that note was given un Verdict for plaintiff; damages \$26 sel for plaintiff, Mr. Wetmore: fo Mr. Rouse. George M. Fairweather vs. Hugh

Administrator of Sarah M'Monag -Assumpsit to recover a balance boarding and keeping of the decea tic. From the evidence it appeared years ago Mrs. M.Monagle, the m lunatic, made an arrangement with tiff, who was married to another of ters, to board herself and the lur paid £24 a year for the board of th But the plaintiff alleged that he was the sum of £60 a year after the mot as then the whole care of the lunation ly attended by her mother, devolv and he had to furnish not only a food, but also clothes, attendance &c. For the defence it was alleged was an understanding that the £24 interest of the money belonging to t should be received in full payment, was regularly paid and received. £21, it was admitted, was due sin ther's death, and there was question sum of £10, which the defendant a been paid, and the receipt of which t stated that he made an offer to Mr. I to pay him a small sum, and give hi of the estate; but this the plair claim would in all amount to som fused to accept. Verdict for plaintiff £40 9s. 2d. Counsel for plaintiff, 1 and Mr. Stockton; for defendant,

Robert Polley vs. Hugh Smith .-(summary) for goods sold and delive dict for plaintiff; damages \$48.50. ed: Mr. Stockton for plaintiff.

William McLean vs. Robert Nu pleaded with Richard Holt) .- Assum diet for plaintiff, damages \$1093.60. for plaintiff, Mr. S. R. Thomson; for ant, Mr. Wetmore and Mr. Skinner. Keady O'Leary vs. George Styme tary, &c .- Action on a policy of man

rance on a part of a cargo. On a Wedn

O'Leary put on board the Edwin & Richibucto, bound for Liverpool, 3 preserved lobsters, and four of salm mearly two thousand pounds of fis was stored in the Cabin. On Thu wessel sailed. On Friday the plain graphed to George McLeod, St. Jol sure the fish, and he effected an against total loss with the defendant But on Friday the vessel, which b Mr. Caie, was actually ashore at C mentine. When the plaintiff heard of he carne to St. John, and went back by train to Shediac, and thence to where the vessel was stranded. He time to be present at the auction of t and cargo. The fish was in a barn swore that he and Mr. Levi examined and he found it to be so damaged a no use to him, and wholly untit for lish market. In consequence of some made by Mr. DesBrisay and others tioneer hesitated about selling the consulted the plaintiff, who told him

as it was insured. The defendants alleged that the knew when he telegraphed to have surance effected that the vessel was ashore. This the plaintiff positively They also alleged that the fish was ured, and that as they had insured agai loss they were not liable.

The plaintiff swore that Mr. Stymes im on one occasion, "It is not yo humbugging about this money, but (McLeod), who is humbugging the ot cutors of his father's estate. Mr. Styr mitted that he said something to the about George's humbugging, and also said to another person, who came to tre him on the subject, that no arrangement be made through that channel, meaning by through George McLeod. Verdict for the plaintiff.

Counsel for plaintiff, Mr. Palmer and W. Weldon; for defendant, J. W. REVENUE .- The following is a cor

statement of the revenue collected at or the months of November and . 1863 and 1864 :-

Railway Impost and Im-\$100,154 01 \$ of Fundy Lights, & D. Seamen & Duties, Cape Race Light Duties, Copy Right Duties,

It would be quite as reasonable to his decline in the revenue, is caused b tion of the Quebec Conference, as to as Mr. Tilley and others do-that the recovery in the value of our Debenture by the change in the state of the money was a result of that action.

\$114,414 27 \$8