The Cuban plantere demand 7c. for ae coming crop, which, if they get, will make the rawe cost about 8 1-2c. per ib, et New York, duty paid, piue cost of refining, etc. How much of this extreme price ie due to U. S. influence can be told when we learn: what U. S. concerne control eugar in

Last month Standard Grannlated wholesaled in New York at 9c. If the above high price, 7c, is paid at Cuba, it means that Standard Granuiated would have to wholesale about 10 1-2 in New York. Every month ehead, with sugar as with wheat, will see wider areas for Cane and Beet. This with the certain gradual decline of freighte ought to allay the scare of 20c eugar. It is quite improbable that it will go above the present price,

11 1-2 to 12c per ib, retail,

Our Export Policy. Britain did not hesitate to piace emhargoes on both imports and exports when needed for her people. Beginning September 1et, aimost all import restrictione ceased in Great Britain, as it was against their interests to continue them. If a disaster should happen to Canada's crop of wheat, vegetablee or hey, Government might, in order to prevent outrageous prices, limit the export of wheat, or potatoes, or hay. Thie would he only a crisis measure to meet some extreme condition, such a measure would be quite warranted if Canadian milie combined to put Flour at \$20.00 per bbi., or if Weetern Grain Growere demanded \$2.50 for wheat, or the Canneries Limited agreed on an unconecionable price for Tomatoes, Corn, etc., or if the Refineries held out for 15c per 1b on sugar. The Board of Commerce would

then limit export and make food cheaper for the people. On August 4th the Canadian Government sew the threat of high-priced footweer. at once prohibited export of Canedian hides. Within a week hides declined 10c and calfekins 20o on the Montreal market. This prevented the advance in boote and shoes that was heralded last July. We must remember that two can play at this game of embargo policy. We raise many ordinary hidee and skins, but we import from the U. S, many fine quality hidee and leather. What would be the position here if the U. S. prohibited the export of fine leathers? That ie the poseibility before the Board of Commerce in all these questions of embargoes. The Board has complete control over all companies and combines. These iast are legal if they receive fair profits. If the Board declares their profits unfair, then they are liable to heevy fines and must cease the unfair prices. It remains now to be seen how thie Board will deal with the canned goods combine, &c.

One suggestion, that of Co-operative companies might be considered by the Board. Would it not be a wiee policy to accept Section 8 of the Liberal Party Platform "Federal Incorporation of Co-operative Associatione," and make it a crime for wholesaiers or factories to refuse to eeil to the same? If combines are legal, why not Co-operative Associatione also legal, with power to buy and seil? If there be any wise method by which the middleman can be eliminated, and these heavy overhead costs cut down, is it not the plain duty of the govern-

ment to evolve that method?