LETTER...

continued from p.7

(nee Garvey for those who have been out of touch), acting Chairman of SRC are each responsible to varying degrees for what is perceived as the most-serious offence committed: the public disclosure of the 'letter'.

It is argued that any student has the right as a student, to publicly voice a concern that immediately concerns him (her). The issue at stake is whether the concern put forward in the 'letter' is indeed a valid opinion, or whether it is mere slander (and personal attack), requiring some form of

retribution. As the letter constitutes a collation of so-called Honoraria reports (of which this student has no knowledge, particulary concerning that the the Comptroller - SRC must become the Comptroller -CSL), that misrepresent the actual facts, it must therefore be construed that this representation is meant to be slanderous. The 'letter' is, in fact, designed in a libillous manner and so cannot be taken as a valid concern. As such, if the charge levied against Mr. Kirkpatrick, on the grounds that he has not accomplished a job 'well done' is substantiated, then I immediately demand that these same charges be levied against Mr. Bosnitch, Mr. Long took it upon himself to propagate slander. Mr. Bennett (as Chairman) allowed such slander to be propagated, and as Mr. Bosnitch himself said, "I am ultimately responsible for everything." Each has not, due to malicious intent in- rest. Below is an exact copy of herent in this blantend progagation of slander, accomplished a job 'well done.' The second phrase 'well done' may be, given those criteria, the aforementioned persons are guilty of not performing a job 'well done.' The facts proving this claim may be easily verified through a little (objective) investigation (For instance, John Bosnitch and Michael Bennett are the two directors upon whom the responsibility of the CSL fiasco must rest.).

The responsibility for the public disclosure of the libellous and slanderous 'letter' must thus rest on Mr. Bosnitch, Mr. Long and Mr. Bennett. If this 'letter' is taken as a precedent in any shape, form or manner, then the immediate revocation of these persons' honoraria (retroctively and proactively) must be demanded.

Oliver

Editor:

As usual, the UNB Administration has acted while the students were involved with exams and on holidays. Downey with his SUB Trustees (John Webster, Larry Fox, Jim Borne, Gordon Loane, Suzanne Currie, Joel Leger) closed the CSL Exchange at 4:00 p.m., December 12, 1984, with no notice.

They first extended the life of the store to December 22, then shortened it to December 7, and finally extended it to December 12, with a chance of continued operations until the end of January. On December 12, the noon hour deadline passed and finally at 4:00 p.m., John Webster arrived and demanded that the Exchange close immediately. Conveniently, Downey had hurried off to do his Christmas shopping in Toronto that morning, and Larry Fox stated, "I suppose that means its settled."

The SUB Trustees keep insisting that Campus Services Ltd., is in "disarray" and that the Beaver Foods Contract has been violated by the Exchange. First, on December 9, a complete inventory check was done (the auditor was present). The total inventory came to \$40,000 - remember that the Exchange was opened in June 1984 with almost no inventory. Also, the weekly sales were around \$8000 (over \$400,000 per year) even at the low prices that the Exchange offered. The matter of the Beaver Foods contract can be finally laid to the Beaver Foods contract as it relates to the Exchange:

Beaver Foods shall have the right of the first refusal on all food and vending service not covered by this contract with the exception of the Aitken University Center where the quality and costs of such food service are equal to other options available to the University, but this provision shall in no way affect the University's sole and absolute discretion over the initiation and cancellation of food service not presently covered by the exsting contract.

The Exchange 'food service' was not covered by the existing contract and the Trustees should not try to hide behind the Beaver Foods contract. To remove any doubt, perhaps Campus Services Ltd. should offer the Exchange operation to Beaver Foods, provided A member of the UNB Student Beaver Foods keep the low Union prices and the long hours (right J.W.J. Baggaley of first refusal).

Campus Services Ltd., has demonstrated that students can manage a store, keep the books, have low prices and still turn a profit. Once again, the UNB Administration, along with this time with the help of some students (!), has trampled an initiative that was supported by over 2000 signatures. It was truly an enterprise by the students, for the students and of the students. Larry Fox has betrayed the trust of the students by not voting in favour of the Exchange at the December SUB Trustees meeting and by refusing to fulfill motions of Council that directed him to negotiate with the Trustees to extend the life of the Exchange at least until January. One can only hope that events such as these are not indicative of what we're going to get in 1985.

Sincerely,

Stamping suggestions

To the entertainment coordinators,

In the past, I have attended a number of university "concerts" and socials. At the same time, I was also subject to a number of backfires in the organization of different rules and regulations. One would hope that the entertainment administration would watch these events and learn from them. However, too many problems occur over and over again and nothing is done to improve the situation. The event last weekend with the Penetrators and Tyranny was no exception.

As a university student, I was allowed to enter a Wet and Dry event even though I am not 19, but underage nonuniversity students are not. A Oliver Koncz large number of people who

wanted to attend the event were turned away due to this apparently new rule. If an event is to be Wet and Dry, people who are under 19 should be allowed in. Their money is as good as anyone else's, and the organizers may find that they could break even on such events.

Other people were also being turned away because they had no picture I.D. Perhaps this could be rectified by allowing three pieces of nonpicture identification. Most clubs accept this, and it seems reasonable that the university would.

Overall, a lot of problems could be solved by posting any special requirements for admittance with the event's announcement.

I hope that at least some of my points are considered. Thank you.

Michele MacKenzie

