

## Law-order committee suggests new structure

By CATHY MORRIS

General Faculty Council's law and order committee will probably recommend the university establish a general disciplinary board.

The draft interim report of the committee says the board would "adjudge general offences now being heard by deans' council."

The council is too large and too far removed from campus situations to function as an efficient judicial body, says the report.

The council, which originally recommended its own removal from the disciplinary field, stressed to the committee that proper disciplinary procedures are urgently required.

"General university offences" to be heard by the board would include "offences punishable as crimes," and violation of university regulations relating to causing disorder, parking and canvassing.

Academic offences such as cheating and plagiarism would be dealt with through present procedures until other specific changes are made in regulations.

While graduate representative Steve Hardy told the committee that the board should have an equal number of students and faculty, the committee says it should be composed of three faculty and two students.

The committee recommends that a panel of possible members to the board be named by GFC. Members of the board for any particular case would be chosen "by a senior clerk in the registrar's office."

Trial by peers was favored by most of the committee. For instance, "where the person charged was an undergraduate, the student members on the board should be undergraduates" says the committee.

The General Disciplinary Board would summon a person in writing and failure to appear at a hearing could result in a fine, refusal of

transcripts or marks, suspension or expulsion.

At a hearing, both the person charged and the complainant would have the right to cross-examination and legal counsel.

A person found guilty of an offence would be subject to penalties similar to those for non-appearance.

The committee also recommends the creation of a General Disciplinary Appeal Board. It recommends the appeal board be able to reverse or affirm the previous decision, or to send it back for another hearing before a board consisting of different members.

The Disciplinary, Interpretation and Enforcement Board which now hears students' union offences was judged adequate to that duty by the committee.


However, it did recommend failure to comply with penalties imposed by DIEB be made an infraction of university regulations as would the disruption of DIEB proceedings.

To give the students' union power to prevent disruption of its activities by graduate students, the committee says an offence of "obstructing the activities of the students' union" which would come under jurisdiction of the discipline board should be created.

It also believes post-doctoral fellows and research associates should be subject to the discipline board for disrupting university bodies, the students' union, or the Graduate Students' Association.

"Faculty should not be exempt from university regulations," the report states. It adds that difficulties may arise in such situations because of particular faculty members having particular contracts with the university.

The report is now being studied by the university's lawyers and will be presented to General Faculty Council after the committee approves the final draft.



—Osep Cheladyn photo

## First parkade open soon—students last on list

By SHIRLEY JACOBSON

The first campus parkade is scheduled for completion by Nov. 30.

It will provide an additional 837 stalls to the already existing 4,923. Approximately 75 per cent of the parkade will be for zone parking and the rest will be reserved stalls with plugs.

Parking permits for the parkade and all other university parking will be issued in accordance to a priority list. Heading the list are physically handicapped persons, followed by the President, Vice-Presidents, and Deans and Directors of Schools. The list continues according to a person's need for mobility. Last to be considered are the students.

The fees for parking permits remained stable for most parking areas with the exception of the peripheral zone where the cost went up \$4.00 from last year. Dr. Tyndall commented that this increase was to bring the fees closer to the costs of these parking areas. "Last year's fees were below the cost," he said.

The peripheral zones are popular with the students since they are the only parking areas avail-

able for just the academic year. They are also the least expensive. All reserved stalls and zone parking are for a 12 month period and range in price from \$36.00 to \$84.00.

Some peripheral parking will be lost to construction as the university expands. The North Garneau parking is only temporary and will fluctuate as construction progresses. Dr. Tyndall hopes this loss of space will be replaced by surface parking farther out.

Plans are being made to begin construction on a second parkade. The question of site has not been finally established because this must be integrated with the total planning for campus. "We expect that sometime in the spring of 1970 we would start on the third parking structure. There is nothing definite about that yet," commented Dr. Tyndall.

Long-range planning could require construction of a substantial number of parking structures on the periphery of the campus. "If the expansion of the campus is approved, then the problem is finance. Structures are very costly and this will certainly mean charges for parking will go up," Dr. Tyndall said.

"WHAT! WE'RE NOT MARCHING TO PRETORIA?"—No, you're just part of Wednesday's kickline in Dinwoodie Lounge. Bear Country comes Saturday, though, so march on down to the SUB ticket booth and pick up a couple of tickets, and then march off to the pep rally, barbecue, game and dance. At only \$2 it's such a good deal!!!

## Sci, law reps expelled for absence

Sci rep Bob Zadunayski and UAB president Jack Barrigan have been expelled from student council following a review of the attendance bylaw.

Barrigan had been suspended July 21 under the old bylaw when his excuses for absences from council proved unreasonable. Zadunayski had missed five meetings, and council felt his excuses might have been reasonable; however, his lack of communication with council convinced them he wasn't doing his best to fulfill his responsibility, said students' union secretary Wendy Brown.

The old bylaw stated that a

council member was subject to suspension if he missed three consecutive meetings. It was understood that the attendance of an alternate would excuse a councillor's absence during the summer.

Under the new bylaw a council member may miss three consecutive meetings, or a total of five, with a reasonable excuse as long as an alternate (who has voting power) is sent in his place.

Council tabled Zadunayski's suspension during the summer on the condition that he would be suspended if he missed one more meeting without an alternate or a

good excuse. When he missed another one he was brought before council.

"It was an unpleasant job that had to be done," said students' union president David Leadbeater, who moved for the expulsion. "I passed the gavel because I was partial on the motion."

"I think David acted to prove that this could be done," said Wendy Brown. "David probably felt a responsibility to the students represented by these faculty reps and probably wanted to set an example by having Bob Zadunayski —"