- No. 11. -

No. 11. F. Peel, Esq., M.P., to F. J. Cheshire, Esq. 5 April 1853.

Copy of a LETTER from F. Peel, Esq., M.P to F. J. Cheshire, Esq.

Sir, Downing-street, 5 April 1853.

I AM directed by the Duke of Newcastle to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th ultimo, drawing attention to some previous communications from you, to which you state that you have not yet received an answer.

I am desired to acquaint you in reply that an answer was returned to your letter of the 7th ultimo on the 21st ultimo, and as it may be inferred from your present inquiry that this answer has, by some accident, not reached your hands, I am directed to enclose a duplicate copy of it, and to acquaint you that this communication contains the Duke of Newcastle's decision on your application.

> I am, &c. (signed) F. Peel.

-- No. 12. --

No. 12. F. J. Cheshire, Esq. to F. Peel, Esq., 8 April 1853.

Copy of a LETTER from F. J. Cheshire, Esq. to F. Peel, Esq., M.P.

Cambridge Villa, Norfolk-road, St. John's Wood,

8 April 1853.

I have to acknowledge receipt of yours of 5th instant, wherein your refer to a document of 21st ultimo, of which you enclose duplicate. I beg to remark that both myself and friends have been most anxiously waiting for such reply, and also for your Government, that the only documents received by me or them from the Colonial Office since my application here and arrangements through Lord D. C. Stuart on 10th January last, are yours of 26th February last, to which mine of 7th ultimo was reply. Lord D. C. Stuart subsequently waited a second time upon the Duke of Newcas; an audience was appointed for

21st ultimo, see letter of Mr. Roberts, private secretary to his Grace.

Mine of 18th ultimo contained a request for certain documents essential upon that audience, i. e., "the Report of Lord Elgin," as commanded by Sir John Pakington, in reply to application of D. Fraser, Esq. on our behalf in May last. "The reply to Mr. Fraser, founded upon that report," if given (and it had not been given up to my leaving Canada), and if not given, I have now only to repeat that in the position I stand connected with this question, and backed by the numerous and highly respectable bodies who have come forward protesting against the cruel proceedings carried out against myself and fellow settlers, in the face of the reply of Premier of Canada, Hon. F. Hincks, on 2d August, in his place in Parliament, as referred to 1st clause of my petition of 10th December last to Sir John Pakington. I have not to enter upon any of the averments of your duplicate aforesaid, but simply to direct attention to the fact that Sir John Pakington, on the part of Her Majesty's Government, must, upon the representations of Mr. Fraser, have been fully convinced that a case was made out for the interference of the British Government, or he would not have commanded such And I have now merely to direct attention to the required documents, a reference to which are essential to all parties interested or implicated in these most inexplicable and cruel transactions. And waiting your early reply,

I am, &c. Fredk. J. Cheshire. (signed)

-- No. 13. --

No. 13. to F. J. Cheshire,

Copy of a LETTER from F. Peel, Esq., M.P. to F. J. Cheshire, Esq.

Downing-street, 15 April 1853. I AM directed by the Duke of Newcastle to acknowledge your letter of the 8th instant alluding to certain documents, for which you say that you applied in a letter dated the 18th ultimo, and repeating the expression of your dissatisfaction with the proceedings in your case.

I am

F. Peel, Esq., M.P.,

15 April 1853.