

One terse observation from the Board of Health of Ohio, and I have done ; " *When properly vaccinated* by an intelligent physician, no disease could be produced by inoculation other than vaccinia, the one to be desired."

It may be some satisfaction to the learned and laborious writers, from whom I have quoted above, to learn that their views are fully coincided in by most of the leading minds of the profession in Montreal. On Friday, of last week, I submitted the following questions to the members of the Medico-Chirurgical Society of this city. Doctors are said to differ, but the unanimity of view on the following was most noteworthy. The meeting was an unusually large one, called for another purpose, and the secretary (Dr. Bell) has kindly furnished me with the accompanying extract from the minutes, with the permission of the Society for its publication :

Meeting of the Medico-Chirurgical Society of Montreal, on the 13th October, 1876.

Twenty three members present.

Moved by Dr. HINGSTON, seconded by Dr. R. P. HOWARD.—1st. That vaccination confers a certain degree of immunity from small-pox, by either preventing or modifying that disease.

2nd.—That such immunity is not always permanent, but may be rendered so by re-vaccination.

3rd.—That vaccination may produce, in some instances, a certain degree of inflammatory action, which may be modified, increased, or diminished, by the age, constitution or condition of the patient, or by the state of the atmosphere.

4th.—That vaccination does not, in any instance, produce scrofula or other hereditary disease.

5th.—That neither the evidence hitherto furnished to, nor the experience of, the members of this Society, is of a character to lead to the conclusion that syphilis is ever inoculated with vaccine lymph. *Carried unanimously.*