

I say that the ~~ing~~ trade; and, after completing their cargoes, to carry them to England. These things, with others, give every indication as yet little known that it is the purpose of the Hudson Bay Company to monopolize the whole hide and tallow trade of the coast of California, which I am compelled to say—a trade which now employs more than half a million of American capital. Even putting them ~~out~~ at the Sandwich Islands the company have a large trading establishment, and have commenced engaging in the commerce of the country, with evident designs to monopolize, if possible, and to drive off the Americans who have heretofore been its chief creators and conductors.

Right certain to strike ~~me~~ I have been informed by one of the agents of the Hudson Bay Company that the agricultural and commercial operations of the English at Puget's sound, Columbia river, California, and Sandwich Islands, are carried on, not actually by the Hudson Bay Company, but by what may be termed a branch of the East. Not by gentlemen who are the chief members and stockholders of said company, and who have associated themselves under the firm of Polby, Simpson & Co. in London, and with a capital of more than \$15,000,000.

"Seeing these companies, then, marching with iron foot-fingers to the possession of the most valuable portion of country bordering at least half the Northern Pacific; and considering, too, the immense amount of their capital, the number, enterprise, and energy of their agents, and the policy pursued by them, great reason to some two thousand there to fear the American commerce in that part of the world must soon lower its tone. But sir, it is to be hoped that Government will soon do something to break up the British settlements in the Oregon Territory, and thereby destroy the source from which now emanate the most dire evils to the paltry amount of American interests in the Western world. In the endeavor to bring about that desirable object, you have done much; and every friend to his country, every person interested in the commerce of the Pacific, must feel grateful for the valuable services rendered them by you."

"With great respect, your obedient servant,
HENRY A. PIERCE."

*FURS.

TEASERY DEPARTMENT,
Register's Office, May 21, 1842.

Sir: I have the honor to enclose the statement of furs exported to Canton. I have the honor to enclose the general trade, as far as returns have been made.

JAMES McCLEARY.

Hon. N. G. PENDLETON.

Value of furs exported to Canton on American account, annually, from 1821 to 1840.

Years.	Direct trade.	General trade.	Remarks.
1821	\$142,399	\$340,991	
1822	78,159	430,081	
1823	100,910	319,261	
1824	8,939	269,449	
1825	33,139	270,413	
1826	45,110	258,325	
1827	100,936	255,893	
1828	101,761	—	No return.
1829	80,180	—	Do.
the Russians have	13,306	—	Do.
parts of their fur trade	42,396	—	Do.
and the continental sh	123,570	—	Do.
ns have, however,	109,075	133,055	
or New Archangel,	8,383	130,348	
the Russians have	13,306	—	
parts of their fur trade	42,396	—	
and the continental sh	123,570	—	
trading establishmen	109,075	133,055	
s Stickeen, and o	8,383	130,348	
Hudson Bay Comp	49,934	134,518	
p. Thus the Hudson Bay Company is	51,884	10,070	Estimated.
polying the heretofore	561	95,162	
plying the Russians	37,884	51,822	
w completely cut off	16,794	49,040	Do.
able fur regions in the	2,368	—	No return.

This table, exhibiting a gradually diminishing trade in furs, on the period that the hunting and trading of British subjects to your better known

Company at Puget's sound was authorized by the convention of 1818, is the best commentary upon the principles and provisions of that convention. Individual disinterestedness or generosity may surrender

Concession at Puge's sound, but the wiser, safer, and more general rule of law, in every grant to demand an equivalent. The invention of 1818, was a departure from this statutory rule, and

it a large house, as consequences we read in the above table—the uncomprehendable, and they transfer to the Hudson Bay Company of all that trade to kind at each of high our own citizens have lost. This simple statement affords a lesson by which we shall do well to profit in time, before

The Senator from Kentucky gave the other day a very striking sketch of the vast and widespread operations of the Hudson Bay Company. They may be yet more visibly traced in a map of their possessions before me. Here Senators may cast their eyes over a territory, stretching from the bay of the north Atlantic which has lent its name to the association, to the Pacific and the borders of Mexico. Over this wide dominion, dotted with their frequent forts, settlements, and factories, and over its many Indian tribes, they hold undisputed rule, as complete as was ever exercised in Hindostan by the great company which was the skilful instrument of England in seizing upon her present empire there. The red spots on this map designate the various forts; the others, the settlements of the company's retired servants.

What landmarks, what signs of dominion or possession, has this Government affixed to the soil? Note. How have your claims been vindicated? What care have you had of even the subordinate matter of your trade, usually not slighted by actively commercial nations? Your fur trade, as I have said, is gone; that with the Russian possessions has been suffered to pass into foreign hands; that with the Sandwich Islands lies at the mercy of your watchful rival; and not a step is taken to stay her encroachments upon your soil, or her inroads upon your commerce!

It is not, sir, because I am a Western man, or because my immediate constituents take a deep concern in this matter, that I urge it. I regard it only as a national question. It is as a great and acknowledged interest of the whole Union that I would preserve it, let it directly benefit what section of the country it may. Nothing local, nothing sectional, enters into my feelings, or shall sway my judgment. I view it—and trust that every other Senator will view it—only in its broader relations to the whole country, and have aimed to treat it only in that way.

In regard to the particular interests involved in the question, I could read many documents, with which I will not weary the attention of the Senate. They will permit me, however, to cite from the excellent report of Mr. Pendleton to the other House, the following important letter of Captain Spalding, of the ship Lausanne, of New York, addressed to myself:

"At present, the company cultivate about three thousand acres of land, and raise about eighteen thousand bushels of wheat, fourteen thousand bushels of potatoes, three thousand bushels of peas, and have both flour and saw-mills; they have seven thousand head of cattle, two thousand sheep, hogs, &c., and have engaged to supply the Russians with eight thousand bushels of wheat annually, and I do not know how many thousand bushels of butter, at £d. sterling per pound; they have a large number of men in their employ, four ships, two schooners, and a steamer; they have several forts on the south side of the Columbia, and take out of the river probably not less than five hundred thousand dollars in value per annum; while our Government remains perfectly passive and unconcerned. I must

terly worthless the object for which we have so long contended. If the successful and beneficial assertion of our right shall ever be made, it must be made now. Further acquiescence in the exclusive possession of the Hudson Bay Company (for north of the Columbia, it is in fact exclusive,) if not an absolute surrender of our claim, is what is almost equivalent to it—an abandonment of the game, which constitutes its principal value, to the annihilation of thousands hunting and indiscriminate slaughter.

From six to eight hundred men annually go to the Rocky Mountains, on hunting and trapping expeditions who collect a large amount of furs, the value of which, however, the committee has no means of ascertaining with any degree of accuracy. This trade would greatly and rapidly increase under the protection which the contemplated laws will afford.