Privilege

another question of privilege. I will hear him and determine whether he does have a question of privilege.

Mr. Diefenbaker: Mr. Speaker, the statement that a report was made by Mr. Lin to the government appeared in the press months

Mr. Trudeau: In the press! So what's new?

Mr. Diefenbaker: And the Prime Minister never denied it. Now he does deny it because he knows the implication.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Trudeau: Mr. Speaker, if I were to spend my time denying statements that appear in the press, or saying whether what is said in the press is false or not, I would have time for nothing else.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

• (2:10 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I have to maintain my suggestion that there was no question of privilege. Once again this is a dispute between the two right hon. members as to alleged facts. There is therefore no privilege and I have to so rule. I hope no member will want to raise a question of privilege on behalf of the Press Gallery.

Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, I assure you I do not rise to attack the press or anybody else.

Mr. Trudeau: That's nice.

Mr. Stanfield: There is an inaccuracy in Hansard. Unfortunately I do not have a copy in front of me and cannot refer to the page number. In a question addressed to the Prime Minister I referred to a statement made by Dr. Young, the chairman of the Prices and Incomes Commission, with regard to an unacceptable rate of employment. I now have a copy of Hansard, and at page 935 I am reported as follows:

-in view of the statement by Mr. Young that in the event of the failure of a policy of restraint the authorities may have to resort to a degree of unemployment which will be acceptable to all con-

I believe I said "unacceptable". This is clear from the question. It only makes sense that way; otherwise it does not make sense.

Mr. Trudeau: They don't always, though.

Mr. Stanfield: I am sorry, Mr. Speaker, if I [Mr. Speaker.]

that Hansard be changed to read "a degree of unemployment which will be unacceptable", rather than "acceptable."

[Translation]

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

## COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE

First report of Standing Committee Transport and Communications, in English and in French-Mr. H.-Pit Lessard.

First report of Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs-Mr. Paul-M. Gervais.

[Editor's Note: Text of foregoing reports appears in today's Votes and Proceedings.]

[English]

## TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS

AIRPORT GROUND TRANSPORTATION-PROPOSED REFERENCE TO STANDING COMMITTEE

Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the House will recall an announcement by the Minister of Transport some days ago proposing that the question of ground transportation at airports in Canada should be the subject matter of a committee study. There has now been consultation with the representatives of the various parties and I believe there might be unanimous consent to an order in the following terms:

That the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications be directed to examine the subject matter of ground transportation at airports in Canada:

And that during its consideration of the aforementioned matter the committee be empowered to adjourn from place to place within Canada.

Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River): Mr. Speaker, I am afraid I have to apologize to the minister in this regard. I was not present at the House leaders' meeting that took place yesterday. The order is in fact acceptable except for the clause with regard to adjourning from place to place. I regret having to tell the minister this because he did consult with me immediately before the House sat.

I must say that the latter part of the order which provides for adjourning from place to am offending the Prime Minister. I request place in Canada is not acceptable. I do not