

the system of judging, and a prize or an award must be some assurance of individual merit. Then will also be the time when agricultural exhibitions will flourish, being also established on the standard of merit, and the most attractive part in the performance will be that where the eye can distinctly see where the greatest profits reside.

Relative Profits in Permanent Pastures and Barley Growing.

To the Editor of the Farmer's Advocate:

SIR,—In your October issue I see a letter on permanent pastures by Prof. L. B. Arnold, setting forth his opinions of its uses or uselessness, and giving his reasons all from theory and hearsay, but nothing from actual test.

In the first place he starts off with the assertion that "permanent pastures are appropriate only for three classes of farmers, viz:—Those who have land not arable, those who have too much land, those who are too lazy to work what they do have." Now, sir, I propose to prove by actual test that permanent pastures do pay, not only where land is not arable, but where it is worth \$100 per acre. I have a field containing twenty acres in the rear of my farm, watered by a living spring, which I seeded to permanent pastures four years ago, and consequently have had three seasons' pastures from it. The land would sell for about \$50 per acre; the Prof. has been over the farm and knows something about its quality. It has never had any manure except the droppings from cattle pastured on it. The seed cost me \$5 per acre, or a total of \$120. It grew so rank the first season that I was obliged to pasture in the fall to keep from smothering during winter. The following season I got from it 4,370 days pasturing of beefing cattle, consisting of large steers, heifers and cows, about 7½ months' feed. The following year I pastured 20 milch cows constantly day and night from May 13th till July 11th; then I mowed it and obtained 11 loads of good hay, containing about 10 tons, after which I received 1,830 days' feed for beefing cattle, making a total of 2,970 days' pasture, or about five months' feed and half ton of hay per acre; this season I turned 16 cattle and 4 horses on it on May 19th, and they have never been off it, and are still on it, and you are aware this has been a trying season for pasture of all description; also the hay crops were light with us.

Now let us figure up the results, and see whether it has paid us, even putting the land at twice its market value, and the pasture at \$2 per month, which also leaves a margin of profit in cattle as well.

ACCOUNT WITH TWENTY-ACRE FIELD.	
Dr.	
To capital, 20 acres land @ \$100 per acre.....	\$2000
Interest on same @ 6 percent per annum for 4 years.....	480
Cost of seed.....	120
Sowing and fitting land.....	80
Repairs of fence and taxes.....	40
Total debit.....	\$2720
Cr.	
By 40 months feed, first season.....	\$ 80
150 " second season.....	300
100 " third season.....	200
10 tons hay @ \$10, 100.....	390
110 months' feed, fourth season.....	220
Value of seed catch.....	50
Capital invested.....	2000
Total credit.....	\$2950
Deduct debit.....	2720
Actual profit above interest.....	\$ 230

If the land were taken at its value, a still further profit of \$240 is obtained in interest,

leaving a total profit of \$710 from a capital outlay of \$1000 in four years, or \$177.50 interest on \$1000 worth of farm property per year.

Now, sir, I submit that if all the land in Ontario could make as good returns as the above (and I believe all ordinary land can), the Professor is a long way astray when he says "Pasture land in its best state generally pays the poorest of any of the arable part of the farm, and permanent pasture poorest of all." If the results I have obtained from my permanent pasture are the effects of being "lazy or shiftless, or having too much land," then all I have to say is, we should have more lazy and land-impoorished farmers in Canada to pay off the now existing mortgages on the soil, and I am not alone in this matter.

As I see the Professor names certain men to back up his theory of soiling, I will name some men of prominence who have experience with permanent pasture and are satisfied with the results, viz:—Hon. Robt. Read, of Belleville; Prof. Brown, Ontario Experimental Farm, Guelph; Prof. Roberts, of Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y., and F. W. Stone, of Guelph, all practical stock men.

I not only submit that permanent pasture pays, but that it pays better than my plowed land, and will prove it by results obtained, of which I have kept a record. I will take the barley crop, as that is the most grown in this section and said to be the most profitable, and will take the four years parallel with permanent pasture above quoted, all from my own farm, and the barley ground was by far the best soil, as I enter it in my books at \$100 per acre.

BARLEY CROP—1883.

Had 23½ acres in barley, which yielded 700 bushels, and which I sold at 60 cts. per bushel, leaving as total receipts for barley, \$420; straw, \$25; total, \$445.

Dr.	
To 1 gang plowing.....	\$23 50
" 1 single.....	47 00
" harrowing, sowing, etc.....	21 00
" 47 bushels seed.....	28 25
" harvesting.....	42 00
" threshing, cleaning and hauling.....	70 00
" interest @ 6 percent on \$2,350.....	149 00
" taxes and fences.....	32 01
" insurance and buildings.....	20 00
By receipts (as above).....	\$403 70
Total profit.....	\$41 30

BARLEY CROP—1884.

Had 25 acres in barley; yielded 680 bushels; sold at 65 cts. = \$442; straw, \$28; total, \$470. Debit without going into details, which I can give if necessary.....\$271 50

Interest on \$2,500 at 6 percent.....	150 00
Total debit.....	\$421 50
Total receipts (as above).....	470 00
Profit.....	\$48 50

BARLEY CROP—1885.

Had 43 acres in barley; yielded 1,872 bush., which realized \$1,023 36; value of straw, \$84; total, \$1,107 36. Cost (by actual book reference, which I can give if needed).....\$26 33

Interest on \$4,300 at 6 percent.....	258 00
Total receipts (as above).....	\$1084 33
Total profits.....	\$23 03

BARLEY CROP—1886.

Had 61 acres in barley; yielded 2,265 bush.; netted \$1,265.75; value of straw, \$90; total, \$1,355.75. Cost (figured as in the former

cases).....	\$995 30
Interest on \$6,100 at 6 percent....	366 00
Total receipts (as above).....	\$1361 30
Total loss.....	\$5 55
RECAPITULATION.	
Profits, 1883.....	\$41 30
" 1884.....	48 50
" 1885.....	23 03
Less loss, 1886.....	5 55
Profits.....	\$112 83
23½ acres, 1883, interest.....	\$107 28
25 " 1884, ".....	\$140 00
43 " 1885, ".....	150 00
61 " 1886, ".....	258 00
152½ ".....	366 00
Profits.....	\$914 00
152½ acres realized in 4 years.....	\$1021 28
Or 38 acres per year left.....	255 32

Compare this with 20 acres permanent pasture:
 20 acres realized in 4 years..... \$710 00
 Or 20 acres per year left..... 177 50
 Or 1 acre in barley per year for 4 years, left..... \$6 72
 1 acre in pasture per year for 4 years, left..... \$8 87

These figures show that the barley left 6½ percent on the investment in land @ \$100 per acre, and the permanent pasture left 8½ percent in investment in land @ \$100 per acre.

Now, sir, I leave the public to judge between pasture and grain and the method of testing, and the grain certainly impoverished the soil more than the pasture. As to the Professor's theory of soiling, all I have to say is this, that during the months of August and September I have found it has paid me to have some green crop to help up the pasture in dry seasons, as milch cows, if allowed to shrink on their milk, can almost possibly be brought up again; but cows will not give as much nor as good milk from soiling as on permanent pasture. But whether I am "to be pitied for my stupidity, or whether I employ "common sense" in my management, or "get what little I do easily and lazily," I would like the Professor or any one else to show me where I can "realize six or eight times as much with absolute certainty."

R. J. GRAHAM, Belleville, Ont.

Notes from Prince Edward Island.

[By a P. E. Island Farmer.]

As I do not often see anything from this "Isle of the Sea" in the ADVOCATE, I thought a few items regarding our doings in this pre-eminently agricultural Province might be of some little interest to your readers in other parts of our Dominion. Though our Island is small, it is by no means unimportant. We have soil and climate admirably adapted to the production of roots and all the cereals produced in the Dominion, except corn. With proper cultivation, wheat succeeds well; this year it is an excellent crop, the season being dry and therefore suitable to the growth of that cereal.

Oats, which is our staple crop, is rather light in the straw this year, but is well filled and turns out well on the scales. The price of oats has been low for some years; it is selling now for 28 cents per bushel of 34 pounds, but it mostly sells readily for cash.

Wheat is difficult to sell in any quantity for cash in this country. Our millers are content to grind custom grain for the farmers, and do