f

fixed at the time of their appoint- they became due," and was not quired, and it would in any case meaning of section 5, sub-section be difficult to decide such a a of the Act, and that the petitmatter in advance: but the Court | ion must be dismissed with costs. adopted the suggestion of the meetings as to the proportions in based on a judgment alleged to several liquidators should share the remuneration to be allowed.

As to the costs of the contest the learned judge, following the rule laid down in Re London and Northern Insurance Co., 19 L. T. N. S. 144.

Held, that one set of costs should be allowed to the shareholders and one to the creditors appearing on the petition, not including, however, any costs occasioned by the contest, and that costs must also be allowed to the Bank and to the petitioning creditor, those of the latter to include all reasonable disbursements connected with the holding of the meetings.

Re The Commercial Bank of

2. Company—Petition for winding up order-Allegation of insolvency-When Company insolvent within the meaning of The Winding Up Act-Pleading assignment of a chose in action.]-In a petition for an order against a company under The Winding Up Act, R.S.C. c. 129, the petitioner alleged that the Company " is insolvent and utterly unable to pay your petitioner's said debts and its other debts."

was "unable to pay its debts as will be dismissed,

ment, as notice of an application a sufficient allegation of the Comfor that purpose seems to be re- pany's insolvency within the

> The petitioner's claim was have been recovered by another person, and acquired by the petitioner, of which he "is now the bona fide holder and owner."

> Held, a sufficient statement of the claim of the petitioner, without an allegation that the judgment had been assigned by an instrument in writing.

Re Rapid City Farmers' Elevator Co. 571

3. When company deemed to be insolvent-Proof of insolvency under The Winding Up Act.]-In supporting a petition for an order against a company under The Winding Up Act, R.S.C. c. 129, it is not sufficient to show that several demands of payment have been made by the creditor without success, unless a demand in writing has been served on the Company in the manner in which process may legally be served on it, under section 6 of the Act; nor can the Company be deemed to be insolvent within the meaning of the Act, because an execution has been returned nulla bona by a County Court bailiff.

The provisions of sections 5 and 6 of the Act are exclusive, and a petitioner for a winding up order must strictly prove the existence Held, that this was not equiv- of one or more of the circumstanalent to stating that the Company ces there set forth, or his petition