though that hon. member and myself represent people who are resident on the Ontario side of the Ottawa Valley and our constituents are side by side, we do not always agree. All members were happy to serve on this committee and came to the unanimous conclusions which are contained in the report.

At committee stage the hon. member for Regina-Lake Centre (Mr. Benjamin) suggested the possibility of extending this provision to cover more people than just the teachers and administrators of the Department of National Defence. During those discussions we referred to MacMillan-Bloedel out in British Columbia which has salesmen in Australia and Malaysia, as well as other enterprises that have agents overseas for periods of one and two years. In fact at any one particular time there are approximately 250,000 to 300,000 Canadians overseas. It was decided that it would be just too expensive to include all those people.

The people who are overseas on government business and on diplomatic and trade missions can be included because the government is aware of their Canadian residences. We decided to deal with DND people as a block because they are required to put their home residences on a form before leaving the country.

People who travel on leave from university or on sabbatical, or people touring or working with another company overseas, would have to register with the various Canadian embassies abroad. This process was felt to be too expensive. In fact Canada does not have embassies in every country. I am aware some countries utilize this process, but it was regarded as being too expensive. For this reason the subcommittee accepted what the hon. member for Provencher had included in his private member's bill. The standing committee was very harmonious, and we reached an agreement in 1976.

As there is a military base in my riding and a number of my constituents are required to go overseas as administrative civilian support personnel, I am happy to see this adopted.

**Mr. Cafik:** Mr. Speaker, I have no personal desire to intervene in this debate, but a substantive question was posed by the hon. member for Renfrew North-Nipissing East (Mr. Hopkins). I seek the unanimous consent of the House to answer that question.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** This being a motion to amend, the hon. minister does not have the right to reply. Therefore he requires the unanimous consent of the House in order to do so. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Cafik: Mr. Speaker, the hon. member for Renfrew North-Nipissing East asked a question concerning this amendment. He wanted to know if the spouses and children of those covered under the act, namely, teachers and administrators, were convered as well. In light of the fact that this motion deems them to be employees of the Department of National Defence, they are covered in the same way as spouses and

## Business of the House

children of members of the Armed Forces in the same circumstances. Therefore the answer is that they are in fact covered.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The question is now on motion No. 16, in the name of the Deputy Prime Minister and President of Privy Council (Mr. MacEachen). Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

## Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion No. 16 (Mr. MacEachen) agreed to.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** As was agreed previously, motion No. 16 affirmatively disposes of motion No. 18.

Motion No. 18 (Mr. MacEachen) agreed to.

**Mr. Hopkins:** Mr. Speaker, it is with great pleasure that I rise to withdraw motion No. 17 and to thank hon. members for their co-operation on motion No. 16.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order, please, Is there unanimous consent for the hon. member for Renfrew North-Nipissing East (Mr. Hopkins) to withdraw motion No. 17?

## Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion No. 17 (Mr. Hopkins) withdrawn.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The consideration of the report stage of Bill C-5 is now completed, except for motion No. 15 which has been stood by agreement. Under an order of the House, this bill will go through all stages and be adopted tomorrow before private members' hour. Is this agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

• (2202)

## **BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

**Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order with respect to the business of the House. There was some discussion early in the day with respect to motions. Could the deputy House leader inform us whether he put down a motion with respect to external affairs to be debated on Monday or whether he intends to do that on Monday, and also, can he tell us about the adjournment which he and I discussed earlier?

**Mr. Cafik:** Yes, Mr. Speaker. In light of the agreement of the House and the House order with respect to the final disposition of Bill C-5, I think that is a reasonable request and I assure the House that I will do everything possible to have both those motions brought in tomorrow, if they are prepared. I will do whatever I can this evening and early tomorrow morning to make such arrangements, if that can be done.