

## THE TORONTO WORLD

A Morning Newspaper published every day in the year.

Telephone—private exchange connecting all departments—Main 232, between 11 a.m. and 12 p.m. After midnight and on Sundays or holidays use Main 232 Business and Circulation Dept., Main 233 Editorial and News Dept., Main 234 Sporting and Commercial Editors.

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES IN ADVANCE:**  
One year, Sunday included ..... \$3.00  
Six months, Sunday included ..... 2.50  
Three months, Sunday included ..... 1.50  
One month, Sunday included ..... .45  
One year, without Sunday ..... 3.00  
Six months, without Sunday ..... 2.00  
Three months, without Sunday ..... 1.00  
One month, without Sunday ..... .35

These rates include postage all over Canada or Great Britain.

They also include free delivery in any part of Toronto or suburbs. Local agents in almost every town and village of Ontario will include free delivery at the above rates.

Subscription rates, including postage, to United States:  
One year, Sunday included ..... \$9.00  
One year, without Sunday ..... 8.50  
Special terms to agents and wholesale rates to newspapers on application. Advertising rates on application. Address THE TORONTO WORLD, Toronto, Canada.

Advertisements and subscriptions are also received through any responsible advertising agency in Canada or the United States, etc.

## HAMILTON OFFICE—

Royal Bank, North James and Merrick streets, Telephone 363.  
Walter Harvey, Agent.

The World can be obtained at the following news stands:

BUFFALO, N.Y.—News stand, Elliott square; news stand, Main and Niagara streets; Sherman, 388 Main street.

CHICAGO, ILL.—P.O. News Co., 217 Dearborn street.

DETROIT, MICH.—Wolverine News Co., and all news stands.

HALIFAX—Halifax Hotel news stand.

LOS ANGELES, CAL.—Amos news stand.

MONTREAL—Windsor Hotel and St. Lawrence Hall; all news stands and news boys.

NEW YORK—St. Dennis Hotel and Hotel New York, 100 West 42nd street.

OTTAWA—Despatch and Agency Co.; all news stands.

QUEBEC—Quebec News Co.

ST. JOHN, N.B.—Raymond & Doherty.

WINNIPEG—T. Eaton Co.; T. A. McIntosh; John McDonald; Hotel Empire news stand.

All Railway news stands and trains.

## Does The Morning World

reach your home before 6.30?

If it does not, send in a complaint to the circulation department. The World is anxious to make its carrier service as nearly perfect as possible.

## THE PRIVY COUNCIL JUDGMENT.

Now that, thru the enterprise and public spirit of The Evening Telegram, the full text of the privy council judgment in the street railway litigation has been made available, the disadvantages attending a remote ultimate court of appeal have become clearly apparent. The points at issue have been determined on the narrowest and most technical grounds, and on principles far enough removed from those which ought to dominate a final tribunal. No greater contrast could be imagined in this respect than is provided by the reasoning of Lord Collins, who spoke for the judicial committee, as compared with the wide and comprehensive outlook which characterize the decisions of the supreme federal court of the United States when dealing with legal questions involving important public issues. It has been to the especial credit of that great appeal tribunal, that it has kept closely in touch with public affairs, and when the interests of the state required, has never hesitated to subordinate professional technicalities to constitutional requirements and the call of the higher equities. Such indeed, is one of the most necessary functions of a final court of appeal, and within the more limited range offered by the presence of parliamentary institutions, it has distinguished the action of the house of lords as the ultimate tribunal for the United Kingdom.

In the street railway cases the judicial committee of the privy council has unquestionably failed to read the agreement between the city and the street franchise holders as a whole, or according to its spirit and the evident intention of the contracting parties. The express stipulations designed to protect the citizens in the operation of a necessary public service have been set aside on a labored argument that they are inconsistent with the privilege granted in the earlier clauses of the agreement. Yet it is a cardinal rule of legal interpretation that if the intent of parties is clear, the court must be governed by the fact and the citizens may well ask why conditions agreed to by the franchise holders were not treated by the judicial committee as limitations under which the operation of the railway service was to be conducted. Instead of so holding them, this remote court of appeal, ignorant of local circumstances, has on two of the main issues reversed the judgment of all the Canadian courts, who in turn considered the questions submitted to them. The net outcome is that the provisions of the agreement, which are the basis of the public service, have been repudiated and the company left as absolutely free from regulation and supervision as if no agreement had been entered into at all.

Questions involving the operation of public services and utilities are precisely those where a supposed inconsistency or ambiguity should be construed in the sense which is most in accordance with the interests of the people, who are the real owners of the franchise and who are entitled to a limited period

on terms manifestly intended to secure efficient and satisfactory operation. The Canadian courts, conversant with local affairs, and in touch with public necessities, so construed the agreement, and thus vindicated their right to public confidence. It has been left to a tribunal four thousand miles distant and absolutely ignorant of Canadian and provincial circumstances, to treat an agreement between the citizens of Toronto and a provincial company, with no other knowledge than can be afforded within the four corners of the document before them. Even at that the judicial committee, in order to reach its extraordinary conclusions, strained the English language to the uttermost and attempted to justify them by arguments smacking more of the special pleading of a legal casuist than the quality that should distinguish an imperial court of appeal.

This melancholy business should make the citizens resolute in their determination to resume at the earliest possible moment every one of the civic franchises. Proper control of public service corporations, it has long been manifest, is practically impossible and cannot well be otherwise when safeguards imposed in the public interest are arbitrarily swept away as inconsistent with the bestowal of a franchise privilege.

## SUCCESSFUL MUNICIPAL STREET RAILWAYS.

From details of the year's working to March 31 last of the street railways of Leeds, England, given in a recent number of The London Municipal Journal, it appears that the net profit available in relief of rates amounts to \$250,000, or \$10,000 more than the estimate. This was reached after payment of rates and taxes, amounting to over \$97,000, paying all interest on borrowed money, and \$190,000 towards the sinking fund and setting aside \$150,000 for the reserve or renewal fund. On submission of the accounts the committee in charge cordially congratulated their manager on the result and his staff. Prosperity and splendid service are the hall marks of public ownership and operation conducted on strict business rules, and can be as easily secured in Toronto as they have been in Leeds and many other cities of Great Britain.

## "IMPERIAL UNITY," LOCAL LIBERTY.

Mr. Wilfrid Laurier coined a happy motto for the British peoples when he suggested "Imperial Unity, Local Liberty." Lord John, later Earl Russell, another apt phrase-maker, once described a proverb as the wisdom of many and the wit of one, and the Canadian premier's pithy maxim epitomizes the lesson not the centuries for the makers of the British empire to-day. Certainly the present imperial conference will establish no better title to historic importance than by making it clear beyond shadow of dispute that its successors will not be of mere departmental significance, but will be real meetings for discussion and deliberation among governments, each supreme within its own sphere and convened on a footing of absolute equality. Only by this means can the natural aspirations of the outer Britains for complete self-governing independence be met and satisfied. If this conception of the empire as a close brotherhood of nations, each freely pursuing its own course and developing on its own peculiar lines, can be realized—there is no reason why it should not—a new and pregnant principle will be given tangible expression. Experience is a guide, not a barrier to progress, and because a commonwealth of independent states on the basis of voluntary partnership has hitherto been unknown, affords no valid proof or even inference that it is impossible.

The conspicuous feature of the pending conference has been the general acceptance of this principle, embodied as it is in Sir Wilfrid Laurier's motto, "Imperial Unity, Local Liberty." In some of the cabled advice appearing in United States papers, an effort has been made to represent the conference as divided into two sections—the Australasian, Cape Colony and Natal premier on one side advocating the creation of a full imperial council, meaning something different from the Imperial conference—and the Canadian and Transvaal premiers as successfully opposing this proposal. The impression sought to be produced is not warranted. Premier Deakin of Australia, in his speeches delivered before the opening of the conference, made it

perfectly plain that the change he proposed was one in name only and in no way altered or enlarged the scope of the imperial conference as now in being. On the necessity of complete local autonomy he was just as insistent as the Canadian premier. The discussion showed the British government equally antagonistic to the creation of any body possessing, even inferentially, powers calculated to affect the free action of the individual states in dealing with the recommendations of the conference. The decided character of Lord Elgin's declaration may possibly have been prompted by the prospect of a reversal of the imperial trade but its significance is not thereby diminished, and it leaves the self-governing states a unit in the matter of imperial development.

## THE TELEGRAM SCOOP.

Toronto owes much to John Ross Robertson and his fearless newspaper, The Telegram, and this fact was never more clearly shown than in the public-spirited enterprise that caused the text of the privy council decision in the street railway case to be cabled to Canada and printed exclusively in The Telegram on Saturday. Whenever the big thing needs to be done, Toronto can always depend that The Telegram will be among the first, if not the first, to do it.

## THE RAILWAYS AND THE BOARD OF RAILWAY COMMISSIONERS FOR CANADA.

UNIFORM BILL OF LADING.—The Canadian railways have submitted for the approval of the board of railway commissioners for Canada, general terms and conditions of carriage, which they desire to place on their bills of lading, consisting of twenty-nine clauses, all of which are intended to protect the railway companies against loss. In other words, the carrying companies have asked the board of railway commissioners to override the Railway Act and common law of the country, and authorize a bill of lading which would be absolutely in the interest of the railway companies.

The board of railway commissioners have already issued one general order covering the whole of Canada, which was absolutely and entirely in the interest of the carrying companies. We refer to the legalization of demurrage or car service charges.

The success of the railway companies in this particular case has emboldened them to seek further privileged legislation and their request for the approval of the general terms and conditions of carriage submitted by them is simply another attempt to secure the legalization of their selfish and not in the interest of the people of Canada.

The suggestion made by the Toronto board of trade, that a clause No. 10 of lading should be adopted without any conditions other than those imposed by the Railway Act and the common law of the country is a fair and equitable, and will meet with the approval of the public. If the railway companies are to be allowed to impose their own conditions, they should also, on that bill of lading, clearly show what they are getting in return. It would be manifestly unfair to adopt a bill of lading which is issued absolutely in the interest of one party to a contract, and that is precisely what the board of railway commissioners will authorize if they approve of the bill of lading submitted by the carrying companies. There are a number of clauses in the proposed bill of lading which are contrary to the common law, and until such time as the matter is dealt with by the board of railway commissioners cannot approve of any such clause.

Clause No. 10 is contrary to the provisions of the Railway Act, inasmuch as it gives the railway companies a lien upon traffic, and that is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act specifically provides that the carrying companies shall have a lien upon traffic for the charges upon that specific shipment, but it does not permit the company to maintain a lien upon traffic, but that it is in violation of the act which gives the owner of such goods. The Railway Act