

3. No such correspondence has taken place while Mr. Austin has been an employee of the government of Canada.

4 and 5. Not applicable.

* * *

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

CBC—COSTS OF OPERATION

Question No. 1,709—**Mr. Jones:**

1. Have any studies been made as to the comparative costs of operation of CBC and other networks?
2. What are the total costs for CBC for each year 1966 to 1974?
3. What regulations or controls are exercised over CBC and other networks?
4. Who has jurisdiction over the types of programmes shown on CBC television?
5. Has any consideration been given to establishing some sort of regulatory body to consider, classify and rate various programmes?
6. For what reason have programmes of violence, exploitation of sex and the like continued to be shown on various television networks?
7. How many complaints have been received by Members of the Cabinet and CBC with respect to programmes in the past six months?

Return tabled.

Mr. O'Sullivan: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order; for over four months now we have been waiting for answers to starred questions 1,738 and 1,739. Since the government House leader is here, I should like to bring this serious situation to his personal attention. I express the hope that the minister will personally see to it that the various government departments come up with the information, certainly before the House plans to rise, so that we do not have to bring up the matter on the adjournment debate.

Mr. Jones: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order; on February 3 of this year, almost five months ago now, I put a question on the order paper, No. 1,455, which occupies one word more than three lines. I wanted to know how much money had been spent by the government on cancer research, heart research and medical research and I have not yet had an answer. It is both important and essential that this question be answered, and I should like to ask the government House leader or his parliamentary secretary why it has not been answered.

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

[English]

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, notice of motion for the production of papers No. 49 is acceptable to the government, subject to the usual reservations concerning confidential documents and to the authorization of the government authorities concerned.

Would you be so kind as to call notice of motion for the production of papers No. 45.

The Budget—Mr. Coates

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the other notices of motions be allowed to stand.

PROPOSED TRANSFER OF WESTMINSTER HOSPITAL

Motion No. 49—**Mr. Marshall:**

That a humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House copies of all correspondence between the government and (a) other levels of government (b) veterans organizations concerning the proposed transfer of Westminster Hospital in London, Ontario.

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker: Subject to the reserves and conditions mentioned by the parliamentary secretary, does the House agree that notice of motion No. 49 be deemed to be passed as an order for return?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

[English]

COPY OF AGREEMENT RELATING TO NELSON RIVER POWER PROJECT, MANITOBA

Motion No. 45—**Mr. Dinsdale:**

That a humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House a copy of the 1962 agreement between the Government of Manitoba and the Government of Canada with respect to the Nelson River Power Project in the Province of Manitoba.

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, there is no 1962 agreement between the governments of Canada and Manitoba relating to the Nelson River power project in Manitoba. However, a 1963 agreement between the governments of Canada and Manitoba relating to surveys and studies of the Nelson River power potential was tabled on December 21, 1963, Sessional Paper No. 276. I would, therefore, respectfully request the hon. member to withdraw his motion.

Mr. Dinsdale: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: Agreed and withdrawn.

Motion withdrawn and order discharged.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

THE BUDGET

FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF THE MINISTER OF FINANCE

The House resumed, from Friday, June 30, consideration of the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton) that this House approves in general the budgetary policy of the government; and the amendment thereto of Mr. Stevens (p. 7063).