

Questions

investigations to find out to what extent, if any, the Unemployment Insurance Act might apply to a reasonable number of those who are engaged at sea in the fishing industry, many of whom are self-employed.

I hope to have a further report to make on this topic before many weeks. Even though it should be found that a plan could be formulated to provide coverage for fishermen generally, it will take a good deal of time to work out anything like a practical detailed plan. If a practical plan can be devised, while its effect upon the fund must be considered by the unemployment insurance advisory committee, it may not require an amendment to the act but may be put into effect by regulation under the authority of the governor in council.

[Later:]

On the orders of the day:

Mr. T. S. Barnett (Comox-Alberni): Mr. Speaker, I should like to address a question to the Minister of Labour in connection with a statement he made earlier on the Unemployment Insurance Act. Can the minister assure the house that the changes which are being made by regulation in connection with the inclusion of fishermen will be made during the current session of the house so that hon. members will have an opportunity of discussing the proposals that are brought forward?

Mr. Gregg: Mr. Speaker, I can make no promise regarding the time factor, but I can assure my hon. friend that since I have the unemployment insurance estimates still to be completed before the estimates committee and before the committee of supply of this house there will be plenty of opportunity to discuss his point.

RESEARCH

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEE

Mr. G. J. McIlraith (Ottawa West) moved that the first report of the special committee on research, presented to the house on May 14, be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

STARRED QUESTIONS

BRAIN-WASHING RESEARCH, MCGILL UNIVERSITY

Question No. 52—Mr. Fulton:

1. Were any payments made to McGill University or any agency or department thereof by or on behalf of the Department of National Defence, the defence research board or any other agency of the government of Canada for research in connection with "brain-washing", in each of the years 1951, 1952, 1953, 1954 and 1955?

2. If so, what amount was paid in each of these years?

[Mr. Gregg.]

3. To what departments or agencies of the government have the findings, or any of them, been made available?

4. To what outside individuals or agencies have the findings, or any of them, been made available?

Hon. R. O. Campney (Minister of National Defence): The answer to parts 1 and 2 of this question by years is as follows: 1951, \$4,178; 1952, \$8,502; 1953, \$4,320. No payments were made in this connection in 1954 or 1955.

The answer to part 3 is the Department of National Defence.

The answer to part 4 is in the United Kingdom, the admiralty, air ministry and the ministry of supply. In the United States, the navy, army, air force and the central intelligence agency.

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

SASKATCHEWAN—TOTAL FEDERAL EXPENDITURES

Question No. 453—Mr. Studer:

1. What was the total expenditure in the province of Saskatchewan for the fiscal year 1954-55, by the federal government, including the statutory subsidy and tax rental agreement payments?

2. What was the total expenditure during the fiscal year 1954-55 in Saskatchewan by each federal government department and agency?

3. What is the estimated amount that would be payable to Saskatchewan under the proposed national health plan?

4. What was the total amount of expenditures in Saskatchewan by all federal government departments and agencies since April 1, 1944?

MILITARY AIRCRAFT REPAIRS AND INSPECTION

Question No. 471—Mr. Pearkes:

1. When the Royal Canadian Air Force, or the Royal Canadian Navy aircraft stationed at (a) Sea Island; (b) Comox; (c) Patricia Bay, require repairing or major inspection, where is this work carried out?

2. What percentage of the work is carried out by Fairey Aviation Company of Canada at Patricia Bay?

3. What buildings, if any, at Patricia Bay airport have been sold or leased to Fairey Aviation Company of Canada?

4. What is the total area at Patricia Bay occupied by Fairey Aviation Company of Canada?

COLOUR ADDED ORANGE IMPORTS

Question No. 475—Mr. Hahn:

1. Are any oranges, labelled "colour added", permitted entry into Canada?

2. If so, what is the source of these oranges?

3. What quantity entered Canada last year?

4. What is the composition of the substance used to colour such oranges?

5. Can orange peels so treated be safely used in making marmalade?

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

WHARF CONSTRUCTION, BAIE STE. CATHERINE, QUE.

Motion No. 77—Mr. Maltais:

For a copy of all letters, telegrams, correspondence, maps, agreements and other documents