

dark. He, apparently, although residing in the adjoining city, was not familiar with the ground; and there is, to me at least, the suggestion of recklessness in what he did.

My doubt, however, is not sufficiently strong to justify me in dissenting from the conclusion in the plaintiff's favour upon the issue of contributory negligence.

For these reasons, I would dismiss the appeal of the county corporation with costs.

Appeal dismissed.

APRIL 26TH, 1915.

*RE SINGER.

Will—Construction—Gift of Income to Wife for Life or Widowhood “for the Maintenance of herself and our Children”—Equal Division of Corpus among Children upon Death or Re-marriage of Wife—Provision for Advancement to Sons—Obligation of Wife to Maintain Children—Forisfiliation—Discretion—Postponement of Time for Conversion of Real Estate into Money—Effect upon Advancement—Interest upon Sums Advanced—Security.

Appeal by Annie Singer, widow, and cross-appeal by Israel and Alexander E. Singer, sons, of Jacob Singer, deceased, from the judgment of MIDDLETON, J., 7 O.W.N. 625.

The appeals were heard by MEREDITH, C.J.O., MACLAREN, MAGEE, and HODGINS, J.J.A.

G. H. Watson, K.C., and S. J. Birnbaum, for the appellant Annie Singer.

H. E. Rose, K.C., and J. W. Pickup, for the appellants Israel Singer and Alexander E. Singer.

C. J. Holman, K.C., for Max Singer and others, respondents.

M. H. Ludwig, K.C., for the widow of Solomon Singer, respondent.

H. H. Dewart, K.C., and G. S. Hodgson, for M. J. Singer, the surviving executor, respondent.

F. W. Harcourt, K.C., Official Guardian, for the infants.