

"MASSEY'S ILLUSTRATED" CROP REPORT.—Continued.

QUESTIONS.—1. State generally the condition of the crops in your district as compared with same period last year? 2. Is the acreage in Wheat, Barley and Oats greater or less this year than last year? 3. Has any injury so far been done to the crops from wet, drouth, rust, insects, or any other cause? 4. What is the outlook for the harvest? 5. What about the hay crop? 6. General remarks, or condition of other crops or fruits?

ONTARIO AND DURHAM.—Thos. M. Luke, East Whitby and west half of Darlington.—1, Not as far advanced, nor as good. 2, Wheat and oats greater; considerable two-rowed barley sown, and looks well. 3, Grub damaging wheat crop in some localities; the rain on June 5th and 17th caused great damage to crops on rolling lands and on flat, low, grain and pasture lands. 4, Not as good as last year. 5, First cutting over an average crop; old and low land meadows light. 6, Root crop backward; fruit prospect better than last season.

DURHAM.—John Crawford, Newcastle.—1, About the same; through the rolling land fully better. 2, Wheat about the same, barley less, oats about the same, increase in peas. 3, Peas on low land a failure from wet; wheat short on wet land; barley good on high land; oats an average crop. 4, Present hot dry weather will bake the heavy land and injure the harvest in some parts; high land good. 5, Very good average. 6, Fruits give good promise as to yield.

VICTORIA.—Wm. Jordan, Fenelon Falls.—1, Looking more favorable. 2, About the same, probably less barley and more wheat. 3, Continuous wet weather and frequent thunderstorms have washed out considerable low land. 4, Good prospects of a fine harvest. 5, Hay crop may be short. 6, Root crops and fruits better than last year.

HALIBURTON.—M. Brown, Minden.—1, Later than last year, especially on low lands. 2, About the same. 3, Crops suffered from wet. 4, Fairly good. 5, Light crop on old meadows; on new lands heavy. 6, Very little land in roots or fruit, except potatoes, which look good; small fruits plenty.

NORTHUMBERLAND.—T. R. Learmonth, Hastings.—1, Fall wheat and barley not so good; spring wheat, oats, and peas about the same. 2, Fall wheat and rye greater; barley about 25 per cent. less; spring wheat and other grain about the same. 3, Slight injury from wet on very low lands. 4, Good. 5, Very heavy crop. 6, Potatoes, roots, and fruits good.

James Walsh, George Little, and John Tate, Trenton.—1, Wet fields look bad; yield light. 2, Fall wheat and barley, half crop; spring wheat, double. 3, None except wet land; rye, half crop, killed bad. 4, Good so far. 5, Extra good. 6, Oats look favorable; apples all gone except King's and Greening's.

J. H. Morrow, Brighton.—1, Hay crop far better, particularly timothy; fall wheat and oats about the same; spring wheat and barley better; corn backward, but looking fine. 2, Oats about the same, wheat more, barley about one-third less; large acreage of buckwheat. 3, None. 4, Never better for the past ten years. 5, Clover and timothy good. 6, Small fruits middling; plums and cherries looking well; apples poor; potatoes backward, but looking well.

PETERBORO'.—Samuel Nelson, Lakefield.—1, Better, except fall wheat, and odd spots on low lands. 2, Fall wheat over average; spring wheat, 20 per cent. over; barley, 20 per cent. under; oats, 75 per cent. over; peas, about an average. 3, None, except from wet on low lands. 4, Good. 5, Fully up to average. 6, Potatoes, average acreage, so far good; roots, small acreage, looking fine; fruits, good; wild fruits, a large crop. On the whole, outlook favorable.

PRINCE EDWARD.—J. G. Sprague, Demorestville.—1, Fall wheat fairly good; barley, 60 per cent. of last year; peas good except on low land; rye, poor. 2, Barley, about one-half; spring wheat more; oats, about the same. 3, None, except on flat land. 4, Not so good as last year, excepting peas, which look better. 5, Hay, about the same. 6, Apples, plums, and small fruits good; pears, middling.

Wm. B. Head, South Marysburgh.—1, Equally as good. 2, Fall and spring wheat and oats greater; barley, little less. 3, Barley somewhat injured by wet or frost. 4, Good. 5, Good. 6, Rye looking splendid; apples and small fruits fair; plums, poor; cherries, good.

LENNOX.—Miles S. Plumley, Napanee.—1, Fair crop. 2, More wheat and oats, barley about the same, other grain less. 3, Crops greatly damaged in low places by heavy rains. 4, Generally good. 5, Old meadows thin, new meadows heavy. 6, Fruit crop not generally good.

LENNOX AND ADDINGTON.—Leonard Wager, Sheffield.—1, Better. 2, Every kind greater except barley. 3, Some injury to low lands by wet. 4, Plentiful. 5, New meadows, extra good; old, an average. 6, Apples, light; small fruits abundant.

FRONTENAC.—Henry Hughes, Storrington.—1, Better. 2, Less. 3, Slight injury from wet. 4, Fair. 5, New seeding good, old fair. 6, Fruit good.

RENFREW.—John Delahay, Cobden.—1, About the same. 2, Scarcely as much. 3, None. 4, Very good. 5, About an average. 6, Everything looks well.

LEEDS.—L. A. Griffin, Caintown.—1, Hay good; promise of good crop. 2, Average. 3, Low land damaged by wet. 4, Very fair. 5, First class.

W. S. Bilton, Westport.—1, Rather better. 2, Greater. 3, Small per centage by wet. 4, Good. 5, New meadows extra good. 6, Extra good.

J. P. Martin, North Crosby.—1, Spring crop better; fall wheat not so good. 2, Greater. 4, Good. 5, Not so heavy as last season, but better quality. 6, Prospects good.

John Tackaberry, Malorytown.—1 and 2, About the same. 3, Rain has injured the crops a little. 4 and 5, Good. 6, About the same as last year.

Wellington Landon, Lansdowne.—1, Grain crop in high land fairly good. 2, About the same. 3, All crops on low land, except hay, damaged by wet. 4, Poor. 5, Good. 6, Fruit crop light.

LANARK.—F. A. Cowie, Almonte.—1, Much better, although a little later. 2, Wheat and oats slightly larger; barley not much grown. 3, Some flat lands slightly injured by wet. 4, Good. 5, More than average. 6, Root crops good; fruit better than last year; coarse grains looking well.

Colonel Loucks, A. E. Johnston, North Elmsley and Montague.—1, Fully better. 2, Wheat greater; oats and barley same. 3, Very little damage by wet. 4, Good. 5, Fully the average of last season. 6, Potatoes better than last year; apples and small fruits double last year's.

CARLETON.—David Horton, Nepean.—1, Not looking so well. 2, More wheat and less barley; oats about the same. 3, Considerable injury by wet and wire worm. 4, Prospects fair. 5, Old meadows poor, new meadows very good. 6, Roots and fruits promise fair.

DUNDAS.—P. P. Everette, Iroquois.—1, Grain crops about half of last year. 2, About one-half. 3, Injury all done by wet. 4, Very dull. 5, New meadows fair, old meadows damaged by wet; about two-third crop. 6, Fruits bid fair.

David Webster, Dunbar.—1, Looking better. 2, About the same. 3, A good deal on low lands by heavy rains. 4, Better than last year. 5, New meadows good, old thin. 6, Fruits poor; small fruits and roots looking well.

J. M. Christie, Mountain.—1, Not as good. 2, Less. 3, Crops suffered from wet. 4, Will be light. 5, Old meadows poor, new meadows looking well. 6, Potatoes and corn doing well; apples and other fruits better than last year.

STORMONT.—Geo. S. Johnstone, Cahore.—1, Grain crops little or nothing. 2, About one-third less. 3, Crops injured by wet. 4, Poor. 5, Not one-third of a crop. 6, Fruit fair.

GLENGARRY.—J. McNaughton, Dominionville.—1, Far behind. 2, Wheat, great deal less; barley and oats about the same. 3, Crops suffered very much with wet and wire worm. 4, Very bad indeed if there is much more rain. 5, New meadows very heavy, old meadows very light. 6, Corn and potatoes on high land look well.

James Howden, Lancaster.—1, Generally poor. 2, About the same. 3, Injury from wet and insects. 4, Very middling. 5, Very light and poor quality. 6, Fruits pretty good.

RUSSELL.—P. Blois, Claren.—1, Grain crop not so good; hay about the same. 2, About the same. 3, Good deal of injury by wet and hail. 4, Not very good. 5, New meadows extra good, old meadows poor. 6, Root crop looking well.

PRESCOTT.—Jas. Hurley, Barle.—1, In a backward condition. 2, About the same. 3, A great deal in the lowlands from wet. 4, Might be an average with favorable weather. 5, Average. 6, Potatoes and apples promise well.

David Bertrand, Caledonia Springs.—1 and 2, About the same. 3, Crops injured by wet, drouth, rust, and insects. 4, Good on high land, bad on low undrained land. 5, New meadows good, old meadows winter killed. 6, Good appearance.

W. E. N. Byers, Hawkesbury.—1, Looking well. 2, Wheat and barley larger, oats about the same. 3, Crops on low lands suffered from wet. 4, Good. 5, About the average. 6, Small fruits very plentiful; apples promise well; grapes medium.

QUEBEC.

STE MAURICE.—O. H. Skroder, Three Rivers.—1, Better showing. 2, Wheat and barley about the same; oats, peas and buckwheat greater. 3, None except at one or two points some oat fields plowed over on account of insects. 4, Pretty good generally. 5, New meadows immense, old meadows about ten per cent. less than last year. 6, Vegetable crop very good; fruit plentiful.

QUEBEC.—John Dundon, St. Roch's.—1, Promising well. 2, One quarter less on account of rainy weather, farmers not being able to plough. 3, None. 4, Everything looking well. 5, Twenty five per cent. short of last year's crop. 6, All looking well at present.

MONTMORENCY.—Francois Meroier, Ste. Anne de Beaupre.—1, Worse, a quarter less. 2, About the same. 3, Yes, from wet. 4, A little worse than last year. 5, Less than last year. 6, Potatoes coming on well; appearance of a good crop of apples.

ARGENTEUIL.—McOuatt and McRae, Lachute.—1, Better. 2, About the same. 3, Injury from excessive rains. 4, With moderate rains crops will be very good. 5, New meadows extra good, old meadows and low land poor. 6, In low land potatoes a complete failure, the seed having rotted.

CHAMPLAIN.—P. J. Meehan, Radnor Forges.—1, Looking well and fully as good as last year, except hay. 2, Oats and wheat about the same; no barley. 3, Hay crop injured by frost during winter. 4, O. K. 5, Short. 6, Strawberries in great abundance.

J. A. Baribeau, Ste. Anne de la Perade.—1, Look well enough but ten days later. 2, Wheat and oats the same; barley a little greater. 3, Rains and cold weather retarded growth. 4, Good enough. 5, One third less than last year. 6, Corn very poor; potatoes very nice; fruit very nice.

WOLFE.—R. A. Oughtred, Marbleton.—1, Better. 2, Barley and oats greater; wheat less. 3, None. 4, First-class. 5, Hay crop light on low land but better than last year upon the hilly farms. 6, Fruit greater than for several years if no frost; hop yards looking well.

BEAUCÉ.—Michael Cahill, Jersey Mills.—1, Root crops looking well. 2, Oats and barley greater, wheat much less. 3, Growth very slow. 4, Good. 5, Crop very light, winter-killed. 6, Not much fruit cultivated.

COMPTON.—C. R. Clark, Compton.—1, Fully as good but two weeks later. 2, About the same. 3, None. 4, Good. 5, Good, crop lighter but on an average. 6, Fruit fair.

ARTHABASKA.—Paul Tourigny, Victoriaville.—1, Inferior. 2, Little less. 3, Wet weather very prejudicial to crops generally. 4, Too early to give sure prospect. 5, About same as last year. 6, Vegetables, garden produce and fruit have a good appearance.

KAMOURASKA.—Alf. R. Desjardins, Ste. Andre.—1, Greatly damaged by rain. 2, Wheat about the same. 3, No answer. 4, No answer. 5, Better than last year on high ground but a little less on low ground. 6, Apples and cherries very productive.

HUNTINGDON.—Robert Kelly, Hinchinbrook.—1, Good but about three weeks later. 2, About the same. 3, Small portion damaged by wet. 4, Favorable. 5, Light. 6, Fruit good average, much better than last year.

LOTBINIERE.—Israel Thiboutot, Lotbiniere.—1, Very backward. 2, No answer. 3, Crops not far enough advanced to say. 4, Appearance good. 5, Much less than last year. 6, Roots good.

LAKE ST. JOHN.—W. T. A. Donohue, Roberval.—1, Vast difference for the better. 2, Barley and oats much greater, wheat less. 3, None. 4, The best for three years back. 5, Splendid. 6, Fruits not worth mentioning.

OTTAWA.—Dennis Dwyer, Thurso.—1, Very promising. 2, About the same. 3, A little by frost and rains. 4, Very good. 5, New meadows excellent, old meadows as good as last season. 6, Good prospects for fruit crop.

SHEFFORD.—R. E. Bell, West Shefford.—1, Two weeks backward. 2, Oats and barley more than usual. 3, Crops kept back by too much rain. 4, Won't be an average one, but a good fall may make up for the backward spring. 5, Short crop. 6, Apples showing up good.

TEMISCOUATA.—M. Damasse, Riviere du Loup.—1, Inferior. 2, Less. 3, Great damage by rain. 4, Middling. 5, Poor so far; injured by frost. 6, Fruits, good appearance.

RICHMOND.—J. W. Stockwell, Danville.—1, Ten days later. 2, Little less. 3, None. 4, Favorable. 5, Not more than two-thirds average. 6, Apple prospects good.

STANSTEAD.—C. N. Remick, Barnston.—1, Two weeks later than for several years past. 2, About the same. 3, None. 4, Wet weather of past week placed all crops in a position of safety. 5, Crop about 80 per cent., grass badly winterkilled. 6, Potatoes look well; fruit in first-class shape.

TERREBONNE.—Pierre Valiquette, Ste. Anne des Plaines.—1, About the same. 2, About the same. 3, Old meadows damaged a little by frost, peas injured by wet. 4, Pretty fair. 5, Old meadows look poorly, new meadows looking fine. 6, Fruits, except plums, plentiful; potatoes coming up well but potato bug as numerous as ever.

SHERBROOKE.—A. T. Lawrence, Sherbrooke.—1, Fully up to last year, except hay. 2, Nearly the same. 3, None. 4, Good. 5, Twenty-five per cent. lighter than last year. 6, Root crops good; fruit above the average.

DRUMMOND.—Patrick Dore, St. Germain.—1, Far shorter, but in general a good color. 2, About the same. 3, Yes, from wet. 4, About one-third less than last year. 5, About one-third less also. 6, Prospects for potatoes and fruit good.

NOVA SCOTIA.

INVERNESS.—D. E. McKay, Port Hastings.—1, One month later owing to cold and dry spring. 2, About the same. 3, No particular injury done. 4, Very difficult to judge yet. 5, A fair average. 6, No answer.

CUMBERLAND.—Thos. A. Fraser, Pugwash River.—1, Not so far advanced owing to late cold spring. 2, Oats and barley greater; wheat about the same. 3, Too much cold rain injured crops, more especially in low lands. 4, If hot dry weather from now till September harvest will be an average. 5, Light, much of it being winterkilled. 6, Fruit promises to be abundant.

NEW BRUNSWICK.

CHARLOTTE.—H. D. Maxwell, Moore's Mills.—1, Backward owing to late spring but everything now has a fair start. 2, Greater. 3, None. 4, Good. 5, Very fair but probably two weeks later than last year. 6, Prospect for cultivated and wild fruit good.

CARLETON.—Hamilton Emery, Jacksonville.—1, Two to three weeks later. 2, About the same, except oats which is greater. 3, None except to potatoes which have rotted owing to rains. 4, Good with ordinary fair weather. 5, Better than last year but two weeks later. 6, Fruit prospects fair.

WESTMORELAND.—R. R. Colpitts, Forest Glen.—1, Fully three weeks later. 2, Less. 3, Some damage done by wet. 4, Impossible to tell yet. 5, Good from present appearances. 6, Fruit crop very promising. Owing to extreme wet cropping not yet finished; the land is just now covered with water.

NORTHUMBERLAND.—R. Swim, Doaktown.—1, Favorable, although the season so far has been cold and wet. 2, Wheat and barley about the same; oats somewhat less. 3, None except from wet and cold. 4, Good, if warm dry weather sets in. 5, Good on high land, but not so good on low land. 6, Fruit prospects good; danger of root crops being injured by wet.

Prince Edward Island.

PRINCE.—John A. Sharp, Summerside.—1, Fully three weeks later, owing to cold, late spring. 2, About the same. 3, No. 4, Good. 5, Old meadows considerably winter-killed, new ones very poor on account of cold spring; never saw a poorer outlook for hay on the Island. 6, Very little fruit grown, but what trees there are have the appearance of an abundant yield.

Continued on page 14.