

that Thomas Wasson be appointed public prosecutor.

The Registrar read a letter from Thomas Wasson, and testimonials as to ability.

The President—While there is a provision that fines shall be retained by the Council, there is no provision if the fines are not sufficient to pay the expenses what shall be done.

Dr. Bray—The Council would have to pay the expenses. That is what it did before. Carried.

COMMUNICATIONS.

Dr. Pyne read a letter from Dr. F. C. Mewburn, which was referred to the Discipline Committee.

Dr. Bergin moved, seconded by Dr. Johnson, that Dr. H. H. Wright, Treasurer, and the Registrar, be a committee to meet once a month to consider the financial affairs of the Council, make suggestions to the Council as to the best and most feasible means of economy and retrenchment, and to report to the Council at the annual meeting, without any expense to the Council.

I move this motion, and suggest the name of Dr. Wright as that of the gentleman to be associated with the Treasurer and Registrar, because he is warden of this building, and an old member of the Council who takes great interest in our affairs, and who will give his time once a month to a conference with the Treasurer and Registrar, as to the best means of economy and lessening the expenditure to the Council; and give a report to the Council in addition to that which the Treasurer usually makes. Of course they will be advising with the Property Committee as to the best means of leasing the property, which is now bringing us no income of any kind whatever; and it will lessen very much the responsibilities of the Registrar, to have such advice from these gentleman and the Property Committee. Carried.

Dr. Bergin moved, seconded by Dr. Johnson, that the address of the President at the opening of this Council be published in pamphlet form and distributed to every member of the profession and to the members of the Legislature of Ontario. Carried.

Dr. Harris presented the third and final report of the Education Committee, and moved, seconded by Dr. Day, that the report be received. Carried.

Moved by Dr. Day, seconded by Dr. Harris, that the report be referred to the Committee of the Whole. Carried.

Dr. Geikie in the chair.

The report was read clause by clause.

In reference to clause No. 7, Dr. Harris made the following explanation: I have an estimate by which it is found we will give better satisfaction to the examiners and still save a considerable sum. Each examiner gets \$20 for being present;

it is on the same principle as the examiner's fees are fixed at the Toronto and Trinity Universities, it is a retainer for the examination. There are twelve examiners, and averaging them at 150 papers it would make \$115 each. The Homœopathic examiner would receive about \$40. He would receive much less than the other examiners because he has not so much to do as the others; that would make a total of \$1,420; expenses about \$300, making a total of \$1,720.

The examinations this year amounted to \$2,289 as against \$1,720, making a gain to us of \$469, the amount saved; and the examiners would be better satisfied with this arrangement, and it ought to be a more satisfactory arrangement with the Council, for the man is paid really for the work he does.

Dr. Rosebrugh—If it is not obligatory that he should be present at the examination will it be necessary for him to be there the ten days?

Dr. Harris—No. That is taken into consideration.

Dr. Harris moved the adoption of this clause of the report. Carried.

Dr. Harris—In explanation of clause No. 8, said the communication asked what we meant by the clause in our report which reads, "Each candidate will be required to prove he has carefully dissected the whole adult human body." I took advice of Dr. Primrose himself and Dr. Richardson. Dr. Richardson, one of the most able anatomists, I suppose, in the Dominion, made the following remarks before the committee: "The study of anatomy is best secured from requiring from the first year students a thorough knowledge of the osseous system, the dissection of the abdomen and thorax and upper extremities, leaving the remainder for the second year; I think it would be preferable not to insist on the dissection of both sides, because I believe it could not be done with that care and reading which are requisite." I think Dr. Richardson's words should have a great deal of weight with this Council.

Dr. Fowler—I regret very much the committee should have thought fit to diminish the amount of dissection required from students of medicine. I think it is one of the most important things connected with the study of medicine, required from our students; and I may mention that this is not the direction that they are going in Germany and in other countries where advanced views are held in regard to the study of medicine. In Germany I well know that the students in the first year are required to make themselves perfect in the dissection of the muscles of the human body. It is of great importance that this should be first attended to, because when they commence dissection first they are in a measure unable properly to dissect the finer structures of the body; and it takes a year, at all events, before they are able to