Ordered, that the Report be referred back to the committee, with instructions to report to Convocation, when practicable, some scheme.

Mr. Britton, from the Reporting Committee, presented their Report

on the state of the reporting as follows:

The work of reporting is in a forward state. In the Court of Appeal there are 14 unreported cases, 8 of April and 6 of May. In the Queen's Bench there are 17—14 of March and 3 of April. In the Chancery Division Mr. Lefroy has 3—1 of March, 1 of April, and 1 of May. Mr. Boomer has 6—1 of February (Reg. v. Connolly, a long and the control of the control of May and the control of May are the control of May and the control of May are the control of May and the control of May are the control of troublesome case, now ready for revision), 3 of March, 1 of April, and 1 of May. In the Common Pleas there are 3—all of March. Of Practice cases there are 8, of which 5 are of March, 1 of April, and 2 of May.

Moved by Mr. Barwick, seconded by Mr. Martin, that the salary paid to the late Principal of the Law School be continued and paid to his widow up to the fifteenth day of September next. Carried.

The following Report of the acting Principal of the Law School was

read, and was ordered to be printed and distributed as usual:

SIR,-I have the honour to report to your committee as follows, respecting the term of the School which closed on the 3rd day of May last, under the melancholy circumstances occasioned by the sudden death of our late Principal.

The number of students entered in the books of the School is as follows:

	The Comoon is as ionow
First year	
Second year	
Time year	127
Total	
The number of lectures delivered was	
By the Principal	
MI. Atmout	126
Mr. Marsh	122
Mr. King	
Wit. Foung	
Total	785

I have not given the average attendance in each year, as it involves a long computa-tion, for which, unfortunately, I cannot afford the time at present. I am able to state, however, that the attendance in the first year was excellent, and quite as large as could be expected; the attendance in the second year did not reach as high a standard, but was very good; the attendance in the third year was decidedly below what it should have been.

Last year, however, was perhaps an exceptional one. A good deal of illness prevailed, as we all know; the term was an unusually long one; and, as a general rule, students in the third year have more engagements in their offices than students of a lower grade. And, as the third year was a very large one, all three causes produced an effect which was strikingly apparent on that account.

On the whole, I think the attendance is exceedingly good, and the attention given

the lectures is all that could be desired.

In two cases, students who had obtained scholarships from the Society were not permitted to attend the lectures at the opening of the School until they had paid their fees. They were depending upon payment by the Law Society of their scholarships to enable them to pay their Law School fees; but the late Principal felt himself bound by the Rules, notwithstanding this, to refuse to enter them without payment.

If the Rule is as strict as this, I would recommend that in such cases the student should be entered on his producing to the Principal a certificate, or upon the Principal being otherwise assured that he is entitled to a scholarship, payment of which is deferred. I understand that the object of the Rule is to secure payment, and where the

Society holds a student's scholarship payment is amply secured.

Experience has shown, I think, conclusively, that sufficient time is not allowed in the examinations for a proper treatment of the papers. Under the Law Society curriculum the time allowed was ample. But under the Law School curriculum the students,