Wun Langwejand wun Spech -This iz the onli grat contri hwich haz butwun langwej. In Ingland, the Yorkshirman canot tek with the man from Cernwel. The pezat ov the Ligurian Apeninz drivz hiz gots hom at evning ovr hilz that luk doun on six provinsez, nethr ov huz dialects he can spek or comprehend. The Yuropean relwez tek the traveler hwar he herz a scor ov dialects in a singl da. Hwil her, from forests ov Men tu the gloing savanaz ov the Grat Gulf and far tu the Pasific cost, ther ar a hundred resez, but ther iz onli won langwej.-Deli pepr. Sound a $\varepsilon \mathrm{e} \varepsilon \mathrm{i} \dot{\mathrm{b}} \theta 0 \mathrm{o} \mathrm{u}$ u sz in art at ale ell eel it 1 or or no $u$ p put do
The above is in Scool ( rth ografy whose limits wer givn p. 61, but with $e$ and io for the vowels in he and high. It is not open to criticism as to consonants. It is a fair representation of an ideal, which might be stil betr represented typogratic aly. It may be said to be the latest alfa. bet of Mr E. Longly, which many favor, with Roman (German?) vowel valyus and other letrs to harmonize and make a 12 yowel alfabet. Shal we farther ilustrate and try it during 1887 ? $A$ and $u$ ar used in exact harmony with other nations; and ther is no discord as to $e, i$, or $o$ an im portant matr. It is tru that $\mathfrak{I}$, is a more natural capital for $i$ or $i$ than $\mp$ which consorts best with strokt $i$. In other ways the latr is preferd by some: the Jurnal on American Orthoepy says:-
$\bar{i}$ is more redily distinguibht than $\dot{b}$ from $i$ in both print and soript and is more beutiful. It requires one les movement and it is more easily made with the pen, and graces the line betr. It is siredy in use and almost all who hav erer used a dictionary ar aquainted mith it. Honoe me ar introducing no new letr, a thing important at this stage.

Goon!-One of the publications of the Eng. S. R. A. contains the foloing:-
Some of the now-letr typos hero used ar im. porfeet maseshifte. Critices reoipients of this paper may esiat in rewedying suoh mecaniosl defect by sending to the ceoretary moderat subsoriptions specialy for the "Type Fund".

- In the l6tb and erly part of the 17 th century jea'ousy was spelt ielovsie. also iealossie. This was before $j$ came in use as a new letr and while $v$ and $u$ wer stil used indiscriminatly-at any rate $v$ was not restricted to its consonant power as now. It wud hav been les of an inovation had our forefathers changed once ior all to "jelvsi" which is simpl and tilosotic.
-Ther is a scheme afoot to establish in Ontario a Morlern Language Asociation. It is to be wisht that it may beacomplisht and that it wil be pat on a sientifie basis. The system of studying and teaching modern languages as pursued in this cuntry is reched and unsatisfactory. Mr Sweet has wel said:-
If our present reched system of studying modern languages is ever to be reformd, it must be on the basis of a preliminary truining in general fonetics, which wud at the same time lay toundation for a thoro practioal study of the pronunoiation and elocution of our awn language-subsoots totaly ignored in our present education.
Sp. in 1566.-The editor of Port Hope Guide having had his trip to Britan this sumr, reports that in the room in which at Edinburg James VI. of Scotland and I. of England was b.rn the initials I. R. ancs M.R. ar workt in alternat compartments of the panels. On the walls is the foloing inscription surmounted by Scotih arms:
Lord Jesu Chryst, that orounit was with Thornse, Preserve the Birtn, qubais Badx e berr is berne, And send Fir tonne Suceescione, to ke gne stille Lang in this Realme, if that it be thy will. Als grant, $G$ Lord, quibat ever of Eir procesed, Be to l'hy Honer and Praise, sobied.

19th IVNII, 1566,
I. R. is for Iacobvs Rex, Latin for King James, Iacosvs (modernized, Jacobus, altho ther is neither $J$ nor $u$ in clasic Latin) being James. In like manr, Ifont is for Junii, genitiv oase of Junius.

## AN INTERNATIUNAE ASOCIATION,

An international fonetio asociation wud sertnIs bo a boon, if it oud be carid out, especialy if is led to more agreement on questions of notation. Acreement is imposibl as long as eaoh nation expects concesions to be made to the traditions of itr ofn speling. We in England hev made a great sten in the directi $n$ of Internationslity by adopting Romsn instad of English velyns, and it wud be agood thing if Frenchmen ond be got to mako a similar concesing by a bandening thois cumbras diacrities.. Tho valyu of evry letr $0^{\prime} t$ to be setld on grocinds of clerrnes end consistenoy and eaz and quiknos of riting.
I m not in favor of 80 parating sientific from pr"otical fonetics any more than can pocibly be helpt. We want the bert system, that is. the most fonotiosly simpl and consistent, both for sience and practice. I think st present sience o't to come first. Popular erors mast be disipated and poopl must be taut to face the sotual facts of language, insted of shirking them by unfonetic compromises. Let the sohems be wel disoust betore anything definit is atempted.-H. SWEET, M. A., in Paris Fonetic Teacher.

