

consulted and instructions were given to Alph. Manelli and John Teolis to see that said Eva Watson should leave the premises. The defendants contested the action of the grounds of good faith alleging that if the said premises had been occupied by disreputable persons and for illegal purposes, it was not with their knowledge; and that they terminated the tenancy and have removed these premises upon the first notice they ever received of it.

The court maintained the action for costs only, and refuse the resiliation of the lease.

*Archer, J.* — "There is no proof that the defendants knew that the house in question was ever occupied by said Eva Watson. Moreover, Alph. Manelli and John Teolis swear positively that they never knew that the woman Eva Watson was keeping a disorderly house. There is no evidence as to the character of the house in question except the conviction in the Recorder's Court. There is no evidence that the character of the house was known in the district, and there were no facts put before the court which would show that the said Mannelli and Teolis ought to have known of the character of the woman who occupied the house in question. The evidence shows that the first notification which the defendants Manelli and Teolis had about the character of the woman who had occupied the house in question was when the present writ was served.

"As I said, immediately on receipt of the writ, the defendants took steps to have this woman abandon the premises. It is in evidence that Eva Watson was immediately notified to leave the premises, but she only left on the 17th of February, 1914, when John Teolis took possession of same. It is under these circumstances that the court is asked to rescind the lease. I may say that all the other grounds mentioned in the declaration have been abandoned, the only