Bank of Toronto Eccles.

protection of creditors against fraudulent conveyances, or is void at common law?

Whatever may have been held in cases coming only under the statute of 27 Elizabeth, respecting voluntary conveyances being necessarily and as a legal inference void against subsequent purchasers, upon which point the language of judges has not been always consistent, (a) there is no doubt, I think, that under the statute 13 Elizabeth, ch. 5, a creditor resisting a conveyance upon the ground that in the language of that act, "it is feigned, covinous, and fraudulent, and contrived of malice, fraud, covin, collusion or guile, to the end, purpose, and intent to delay, hinder or defraud creditors of their just and lawful actions, debts," &c., must shew something more than the mere want of adequate and valuable consideration; because a man acting honestly and in good faith, and having no design to delay or defeat creditors, is not disabled by that statute, or un_ Judgment able at common law to make a voluntary gift of his lands; or at least it may be said that the jury must be satisfied of something more than merely that the deed was made without a valuable consideration, before they can find it to be fraudulent as against creditors.

And if, as against persons not becoming creditors subsequent to the deed, but who were creditors before it was made, the jury cannot properly be told that in the absence of other evidence they may treat the total absence of consideration, or a grossly inadequate consideration, as prima facie leading to a conclusion of fraudulent intent, then it must on the other hand be open to the person claiming under the deed to uphold it against the imputation of fraud, by giving evidence dehors the deed of the intent and purpose for which it was really made.

The case of Gale v. Williamson, (b) cited in the

judgn point conve made intend object the as withou deed o

This statute and sti of then upon th honestl tors.

Ther

have n siderati the only be at lil poses an is settle can be a seems to the arra executio declarati

The ex ing the q upon as t paration by creati and which regarded tion that

⁽a) Roberts on Fraudulent Conveyances, ch. 1, sec. 4 & 5. (b) 8 M. & W. 405.