been initiated in consequence of which you are here to-day. Were those men let out in consequence of that, or were they left over from the strike?-A. No. They were left over from the strike.

Q. The assertion was made in the House that representations were made by the head of the Union Steamship Company-it was not an assertion but a statement-that they could not be taken on again because the Canadian government were objecting to them employing them. As a matter of fact, A. Yes.

Mr. MACINNIS: The point that was made in the House was, I think, that the management had taken the decision that they would not take them back because of the objection taken by the government.

By Mr. Neill:

Q. Which it appears is not correct.—A. As a matter of fact, Mr. Harold Brown wanted to take them back, and the Congress of Canadian Organizations were actually the first to put in a protest against their re-employment.

By Mr. Reid:

Q. It would appear that Harold Brown was using this resolution here as an excuse for taking back the seamen, but as a matter of fact there was not any truth in it at all.—A. That is exactly how it appears to me.

Q. That is an exact statement of it.-A. That is exactly how it appears to me. It was the Congress of Canadian Organizations that took the matter up first and that was two weeks ago.

The CHAIRMAN: Are there any further questions?

By Mr. MacInnis:

Q. I should like to ask a few questions, Mr. O'Donovan. How many members are there in your organization?—A. At the present time there are 400; that is, in good financial standing.

By the Chairman:

Q. What has been the maximum membership of your organization?-A. Well, I will tell you, the whole membership consisted at one time of 800 members.

By Mr. MacInnis:

Q. In putting white men on the ships, you are asking that a preference should first be given to the native sons of Canada?-A. Absolutely.

Q. Are all the members of your union native sons of Canada?-A. Well, I may assure you that at the present time the biggest majority are native sons. Q. The biggest majority are native sons?-A. Yes.

Q. In finding employment for the members of your union, that would be discrimination on the basis of nationality; that is, on the basis of Canadian citizenship?—A. Yes.

Q. For example, if both Mr. Reid and myself were members of the union and there was a position on a ship, I would have the preference because I was a native son?—A. If you had the qualifications.

Q. Surely; if our qualifications were equal, I would have the preference as against Mr. Reid, because I happened to be born in Canada and he happened to be born in the United Kingdom.-A. Yes.

[Mr. Charles Patrick O'Donovan.]