

code of by-laws governing the teaching faculty of the College.

FINANCIAL POSITION

The Registrar read the financial statement as follows:

ASSETS.	
College building, per contract.....	\$17,120 59
College lot.....	5,000 00
Furniture, apparatus, etc., as per statement Aug., 1, 1889.....	\$4,192 34
Microscopes, \$129.60, freight, \$15.29.....	144 89
Eimer & Amend's goods, \$23.70, freight, \$5.75	29 45
Dr. Robert Muenche's goods, \$114.59, frht., \$17.06.....	131 65
Gutta Percha Co.....	53
Poison books, J. Winer & Co., Hamilton.....	3 75
Debenture, Peoples' Loan.....	1,500 00
Freehold Loan and Savings' Co., Deposit.....	1,500 00
Interest on Debenture of \$15.00, Peoples' Loan to 1st Feb. 1890	31 25
Interest, Freehold Loan and Sav- ings Co., of \$1,500 to 1st Feb., 1890.....	23 95
Outstanding fees, (estimated), 2 for 1885; 5 for 1886; 25 for 1887; 76 for 1888; 117 for 1889	9 00
Less amount considered doubtful, \$1.50.....	7 50
Balance in Bank of Commerce	6,846 23
" " Cash.....	26 10
	<hr/>
	37,300 83
LIABILITIES.	
"Pharmaceutical Journal," to date (estimated).....	\$ 305 70
Interest, Court Chancery.....	100 00
" Freehold Loan.....	123 64
Mortgage, Court Chancery.....	4,000 00
" Freehold Loan Co.....	10,000 00
Sal. ac't., Wm. Young.....	\$ 20 00
I. T. Lewis.....	50 00
Prof. Shuttleworth	892 00
" Avison.....	127 77
" Harrison.....	40 00
	<hr/>
	1,066 77
Deposit fees returnable.....	290 00
Edgar & Malone's account.....	95 00
Elias Rogers & Co's account.....	31 75
O. R. Avison.....	5 00
Jas. Bain & Son's account.....	22 25
Dr. Robt. Muencke.....	114 59
Lane, rent'l ac't, McLean Howard	15 00
To balance.....	21,131 13
	<hr/>
	37,300 83
To balance assets, \$21,113 13	
Audited and found correct, James Watt, } J. C. Laidlaw, } Auditors.	

SECOND DAY.

The morning session was occupied with the reading and discussion of the

REPORT OF INFRINGEMENT COMMITTEE.

Mr. J. H. Mackenzie, chairman, reported earnest efforts to reach all cases of infringement, but this involved time, labor, expense and trouble. In several instances the local magistrate refused to act, which involved getting others from a distance. In some cases the sympathy of the magistrate was apparently against us. In one notable case the magistrate refused to inflict the statutory fine for a second offence. We have had a number of cases reported of medical men

carrying on business without registration. Fortunately the present Pharmacy Act, being clear on that point, we are pleased to report that we have been able to get them registered without prosecution. Our attention was also called to a number of branch stores conducted by incompetent clerks. These have been attended to. It is our opinion that there are still a number of drug stores being carried on throughout the Province that are not registered, and it is our earnest wish to reach all such offenders at no distant day. We desire the council to recommend a course in regard to the collection of renewal fees. The large amount of outstanding dues warrant radical action in this matter. Your committee is of the opinion that the druggists of this Province are deprived of a large part of the trade which legitimately belongs to them by the sale in general stores of drugs and poisons which the law requires to be sold only by registered druggists; and in view of this fact, and in order to carry out more efficiently the work of the Infringement Committee, your committee would suggest the advisability of appointing a salaried prosecutor to systematically and thoroughly deal with all infringements of the Act.

Your committee have been as careful and economical as possible in handling the many cases which have engaged their attention, having only used the sum of \$18 of the grant voted at the last meeting of the council. The following is the list of cases dealt with:

Miss G. Carlisle, Beaverton, fined \$20 and costs; Miss M. L. Carlisle, Beaverton, case withdrawn, promising discontinuance of business and payment of costs incurred, \$9; Dundas Street Pharmacy, Toronto, sold out to Dr. Harris, business registered, case settled upon payment of all costs incurred.	
Dr. Savard, Ottawa, fined... \$ 20 with costs	
J. Switzer, Carleton Pl., fined 20	"
Dr. Savard, Ottawa, fined... 20	"
Oliver Bascom, Kemptville, fined..... 20	"
W. H. Bolton, Kemptville, fined..... 20	"
C. L. Casselman, Chester-ville, fined..... 20	"
John R. Brown, Tottenham, fined..... 20	"

Total receipts \$169

Expenses incurred in prosecuting:	
Napanee re Perry & Co.....	\$ 15
Beaverton re Carlisle.....	32
Ottawa re Savard, two cases; Kempt-ville; Carleton Place; Chesterville re Casselman.....	110
Tottenham re John R. Brown.....	15
Napanee re Perry & Co.....	15

Total expenditure..... \$187

Your committee desire to record their appreciation of the assistance rendered by the Registrar, Mr. Lewis, in facilitating and assisting in their work.

Regarding the letter from Messrs. Belcourt & McCracken, solicitors, re Dr. Savard, no further action by this council is necessary.

DISCUSSION ON INFRINGEMENT REPORT.

Mr. Watters said the public needed protection in the sales of poison. It is not right to have indiscriminate selling. If a man were appointed by this council to go through the country and see how the trade is done, it would be a great benefit to the sale of

drugs and also a great protection to the public.

Mr. Hobart urged caution in dealing with general merchants, and told of one who had been fined for selling a bottle of laudanum with his (Hobart's) name on. (Laughter).

Mr. Mackenzie said the trade in sales of Paris green and other poisonous drugs in common use was being driven from the drug stores to general stores because parties are asked to sign the book. These general stores are selling all the goods on the list, even strychnine, without restriction, and it is high time we had a man who would wipe out this thing. The way to do it is to get a salaried man, because the salary gives a man a certain standing not as an informer.

Mr. Hall thought we should be careful not to array the general storekeepers against us, and we had better let this matter rest a while.

President Clark suggested that as the Committee had to its credit now about \$180, the council should add a sum sufficient to enable the Committee to engage a detective for six months.

Registrar Lewis said it would be a great advantage to have a man out, as he kept the fees paid up and the registry book in good order.

J. H. Mackenzie moved, seconded by Henry Watters, that this Council continue the grand of \$200 to the Infringement Committee which has been in force for the past six months, for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the Pharmacy Act. Carried.

The report was adopted.

THE CANADIAN DRUGGIST.

Just before the adjournment for luncheon the letter introducing the representative of the CANADIAN DRUGGIST was read to the Council by the President, and a resolution was passed authorizing him to take a full report of the proceedings of the Council. During the adjournment, however, it was pointed out that this resolution was a violation of one of the conditions of the agreement between the Council and Prof. Shuttleworth the publisher of the Pharmaceutical Journal. The clause in the agreement binds the Council not to assist, support, sanction or recognize any other journal than the Pharmaceutical. Here was a snag of some dimension at the gentlemen of the Council, with the aid of a little legal wisdom, overcame the obstacle. It happened in this wise:

At the afternoon session, Mr. Petrie rose and said: I was unavoidably absent this morning, and there is a matter I would like to bring up, with the indulgence of the Board, with your permission, and that is in reference to the resolution that was passed granting certain privileges to one paper. I would like to have that amended if you would take it up now.

The President: Any objection to this matter being taken up now? [Voices, "No?"]

Mr. Petrie then moved, seconded by Mr.