

ourselves more or less than did our forefathers of foreign materials only the future can say. But one can imagine that he observes certain influences at work which are forcing the leaders of legal thought to know more and more of other legal systems than our own. The existence of such Courts as the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council and our own Supreme Court, bound to take judicial notice of the laws of countries ruled by the most diverse systems of law, is one influence which calls for and produces lawyers and Judges learned in more than one system of law. Again, the increasing importance of questions of foreign law arising from the extension of commerce and travel is forcing upon our bar and bench some study of other sorts of law than our own. But, above all, there is a constantly increasing demand that our legal training be guided so that "when the lawyer comes to the bar," as Mr. Root said, in his recent address at the American Bar Association, "he will have learned to think not merely in terms of law, but in terms of jurisprudence" (American Bar Asso. Journal, October, 1916, p. 747). And that means that he shall have made to some extent a comparative study of legal systems. Elaborate programs in the study of legal science, including Roman, French, and German legal systems, are now presented in several of our university law schools to meet the need which even so practical a lawyer as Mr. Root recognizes as an actual one. The fact that a good beginning has been made presages well for fruitful results in our country from the scientific study of comparative law.—*Case and Comment*.

In a judgment reported 36 O.L.R. 405 the expression "Lords Justices;" is used. Query, is it right? If the plural "Lords" is used should not "Justice" follow? Or if "Justices" should be used, should not the word "Lord" precede? Is not the word "Lord" in this connection really an adjective defining the nature of the "Justices" referred to? If so, the expression "Lord Justices" would be correct. Or if the word "Justice" be used as the adjective indicating the kind of Lords referred to, then "Lords Justice" would be correct, but "Lords Justices" seems to be of doubtful propriety. It seems like saying "blackshorses."

The abbreviation is always "L.Jj." not "LL.JJ."