

EDITORIAL NOTES.

London physician in extensive practice, was £16,000 sterling. The Common Pleas Division refused a new trial on the ground of excessive damages, and it is said that the Company will appeal to the House of Lords.

In the Index Number of the Supreme Court's Reports (Vol. ii.), just issued, there is a list of Errata, numbering twelve. These could be increased and yet not exhaust all the errors. For instance the author of the well-known law treatises is not "*Archibold*" (pp. 357, 363). Coke on *Littellon* (p. 436) has an odd appearance, as has *Phillipp's Law of Insurance* (p. 416). *Brown* on the Statute of Frauds, adds another letter to his name (pp. 682, 634). *Blackburn's Commentaries* (p. 446) is rather a glaring blunder. So the spelling of Lord *Hardwick* (p. 509), and 2 *Sand. R.* (p. 492) might be amended.

A confectioner had for more than twenty years used large mortars in his back kitchen, which abutted on the garden of a physician. Subsequently the physician erected in his garden a consulting room, one of the side walls of which was the party wall between the confectioner's kitchen and the garden. The noise and vibration caused by the use of the mortars, which had previously caused no material annoyance to the physician, then became a nuisance to him, and he brought an action for an injunction. *Held*, that the defendant had not acquired an easement either at Common Law or under the Prescription Act, and that the plaintiff was entitled to an injunction: *Sturgess v. Bridgman*, 41 *Law Times*, 219.

We learn from the columns of the *Solicitor's Journal* that the Temple

Benchers, following the example of those of Lincoln's Inn, are about to provide a set of rooms for barristers and students. Members of the Inn will subscribe 10s. a year therefor. The rooms are to consist of a reading-room, a writing-room and a smoking-room, with a kitchen for preparing tea, coffee and other provisions, on a tariff to be settled by a committee elected by the subscribers. The Benchers at Osgoode Hall might follow these precedents a little more closely, and develop the very serviceable luncheon-room so as to provide for a few more of the creature comforts to sweeten professional life.

It does not seem to be generally known, but it is nevertheless a fact, that there is a Committee of the Law Society known as the Discipline Committee, appointed under section 1 of cap. 31, 39th Vict., which gives power to the Benchers to make by-laws, amongst other things, respecting "matters relating to the interior discipline and honour of the members of the bar." We frequently receive communications on subjects of this nature, and should be glad if, in future, correspondents would authorise us to forward their letters with their names to the Secretary of the Society to be laid before this Committee. Some would probably not like to do this; but every member of the profession owes a duty to his brethren in this matter, which should not lightly be disregarded. The Committee, we understand, do not consider it *their* duty to take up such cases, unless formally brought before them. There is room for question as to how far they are right in this, but it should certainly be some one's duty; possibly it should devolve upon the Solicitor of the Society to make the preliminary enquiries, and lay the case before the Committee.