

**Medical.**

**Vegetine,**  
Says a Boston physician, "has no equal as a blood purifier. Hearing of its many wonderful cures after all other remedies had failed, I visited the laboratory, and convinced myself of its genuine merit. It is prepared from herbs, roots and barks, each of which is highly effective, and they are compounded in such a manner as to produce astonishing results."

In the great blood Purifier.

**Vegetine.**  
Will cure the worst case of Scrofula.

**Vegetine.**  
Is recommended by physicians and apothecaries.

**Vegetine.**  
Has effected some marvelous cures in cases of Cancer.

**Vegetine.**  
Cures the worst case of Cancer.

Meets with wonderful success in Mercurial Diseases.

**Vegetine.**  
Will eradicate Salt Rheum from the System.

**Vegetine.**  
Removes Pimples and Humors from the face.

**Vegetine.**  
Cures Constipation and Agitates the Bowels.

**Vegetine.**  
Is a valuable remedy for Headache.

**Vegetine.**  
Will cure Dyspepsia.

**Vegetine.**  
Restores the entire system to a healthy condition.

**Vegetine.**  
Removes the cause of dizziness.

**Vegetine.**  
Relieves Pains in the Stomach.

**Vegetine.**  
Cures Pains in the Back.

**Vegetine.**  
Effectually cures Kidney Complaint.

**Vegetine.**  
Is effective in the cure of Female Weakness.

**Vegetine.**  
Is the Great remedy for General Debility.

Is acknowledged by all classes of people to be the best and most reliable blood purifier in the world.

**VEGETINE**  
IS THE BEST

**Spring Medicine.**  
Vegetine is Sold by all Druggists.

**Commercial House.**  
New opening a very large assortment of

**NEW SPRING**  
Staple & Fancy Dry Goods,

**MILLINERY, HATS, CAPS, CLOTHING, TRUNKS, VALISES, CARPET BAGS, CURTAIN MATERIAL, TABLE LINEN, DOVILLES, NAPKINS, TOWELS, HAMBURG, TRIMMINGS, LACES, EDGINGS & FRINGES, HALL & ROOM PAPER, OILCLOTH, SMALL WARES, & NICK, NACKS,**

Agency for Buttericks, New York, Fashion. W. B. HOWARD, Chatham, April 20th '81.

**BEST REFINED IRON.**  
Lowmoor, Swede, Londonderry and English common Best Iron and Pig Iron.

**CAST STEEL.**  
Thos. Firth and Son's Extra Axle, Tool and Drill Steel

**Spring, Sleigh Shoe & Tire Steel.**

**ROUND MACHINE STEEL.**  
Manufacture of STEEL & JACKSON.

**Tinplates, SHEET IRON, and COKE.**  
A special lot of Galvanized Sheet Iron—12 1/2 x 30 1/2, 14 x 30 1/2, 16 x 30 1/2, 18 x 30 1/2, 20 x 30 1/2, 22 x 30 1/2, 24 x 30 1/2, 26 x 30 1/2, 28 x 30 1/2, 30 x 30 1/2, 32 x 30 1/2, 34 x 30 1/2, 36 x 30 1/2, 38 x 30 1/2, 40 x 30 1/2, 42 x 30 1/2, 44 x 30 1/2, 46 x 30 1/2, 48 x 30 1/2, 50 x 30 1/2, 52 x 30 1/2, 54 x 30 1/2, 56 x 30 1/2, 58 x 30 1/2, 60 x 30 1/2, 62 x 30 1/2, 64 x 30 1/2, 66 x 30 1/2, 68 x 30 1/2, 70 x 30 1/2, 72 x 30 1/2, 74 x 30 1/2, 76 x 30 1/2, 78 x 30 1/2, 80 x 30 1/2, 82 x 30 1/2, 84 x 30 1/2, 86 x 30 1/2, 88 x 30 1/2, 90 x 30 1/2, 92 x 30 1/2, 94 x 30 1/2, 96 x 30 1/2, 98 x 30 1/2, 100 x 30 1/2.

I. F. BURPEE & CO. St. John N. B.

**IMMEDIATE ATTENTION.**  
If you want to "see yourself as others see you" write your name in the Photograph Studio, on Duke Street, nearly opposite the

**CANADA HOUSE**  
where we are prepared to take

**PHOTOGRAPHS & TINTYPES**  
at lowest possible rates

**CHILDREN A SPECIALTY.**  
old pictures copied and enlarged. We are also prepared to do picture framing to order

**AT PRICES THAT DEFY COMPETITION.**  
Our motto—"Satisfaction guaranteed or no pay." Give us a call—nearly opposite

**CANADA HOUSE**  
D. McIvor.

**MERRERAU & THOMSON.**  
**SEWING MACHINE.**  
I respectfully inform my friends and patrons, that I have by no means given up handling the celebrated

**WANZER SEWING MACHINES.**  
and may be found at the Retail above named, where all orders shall receive prompt attention. Repairing attended to as usual.

J. Y. MERRERAU, Chatham, April 30, '81.

**Miramichi Advance.**  
CHATHAM, JUNE 23, 1881.

**Town Management and Condition.**

In several cities and towns of Canada there are complaints, which find expression through the newspapers, of unreasonableness for visitors from abroad.—The principal ground of complaint is that property owners and householders have not made the preparations reasonably expected of them in the way of making the premises under their control presentable and attractive. One paper, the *Witness*, goes so far as to refer pointedly to particular city property. It says:—

The fences of Victoria Square are in a disgraceful state of disrepair. They should be removed at once. Then, a hint is given to private citizens who fail to spend a few hours in the Spring in "setting up" their fences in line.

A great many people's front fences need attention, and where the owners are wedded to their preservation should be at once set square upon their posts.

Then, the Street Commissioners get a hint:—

more palpably necessary piece of advice is that the streets should be cleaned. We are glad to see some of the blue stone sidewalks being laid in asphalt. It would probably have been better if the asphalt had been used at first, as it is, we are inclined to think, when well laid, as durable as stone, while it is both cheaper and much nicer. It is not necessary that it should have any perceptible odor, and when it is laid in a proper manner, it is a powerful destroyer of some diseases. However, for some reason gone to the expense of the stone, we are very glad that we are to have a foot or two of also asphalt paving sidewalk between it and the fence.

"Blind stone sidewalks" would be a luxury here, although asphalt walks, laid in a proper manner, are the foundation of permanent streets in northern cities and towns—can never become an established thing until the present system of managing our town is conformed to the more civilized and modern modes. We appear to be very slow to learn some things on the Miramichi—not because we lack enterprise or are naturally more dull than other people, but rather because we are too apt to assume that there is very little we do not already know. Strangers, however, who visit us are, perhaps, not so favorably impressed with the appearance of the town as we are who live in it, and, whether we realize the fact or not, it is perhaps because they are accustomed to see even smaller places managed under a better system. Our people who go abroad often remark upon the neat and tidy appearance of small towns they visit and they wonder how it is that Chatham, with its five thousand people, presents so few evidences of the public and private taste which renders other places attractive. Many of our citizens do not object to old boots and shoes, tin cans, barrel hoops, old hats, bottles and other refuse occupying the gutters, which, in most other places, are kept clean and tidy. The people who dispose of their domestic debris by depositing it in the gutters have to see cows perambulating the sidewalks, but, as they themselves, are permitted to use the streets as if they were private property, they refrain, on principle, from expressing their views on the matter.

The gentlemen interested in the tannery or the slaughter house, think people are over-fastidious if they suggest that these useful establishments are offensive in both sanitary and aesthetic respects, when situated in the midst of the town. If ornamental trees are planted in the Public Square the embryo citizen, the citizen's cow combine to destroy them, so that the place may be left to pig ground and pasture, respectively. Fences get crooked through faulty construction or frost, and are left so summer and winter, without paint or whitewash. Barns built on the streets and unpainted, tumbledown old houses are the leading features of some localities. We might mention of other things which people of good taste would like to see dealt with and remedied, in order that less cause for complaint in reference to town management might exist among us—not forgetting the habit indulged in by so many young men and boys of spending their time on the sidewalks and street corners—but the field is less inviting than large and those interested, while thinking over what we have referred to, will find much more in the same line suggesting itself.

The question of remedy for our shortcomings and bad habits is an important one, and it ought to be taken up seriously. It is impossible for the policeman to increase their usefulness by checking persons who throw refuse and dirty water into some of the streets. It is their manifest—though too often neglected duty—to clear the sidewalks and corners of loungers, whose persons and language are too often alike objectionable. It is the duty of the local Government to no longer neglect the appointment of a Board of Health to look after premises which are loading the atmosphere of their vicinity with noxious stenches. If some people can endure or even enjoy uncleanliness and filth, as home surroundings, they should not be allowed to stamp their wretched tastes upon a whole town. If they will not voluntarily become clean and tidy, they should be shamed into better habits by the example of their neighbors. There should be an organized crusade established against the miserable waste of taste, and happy-go-lucky slipshod disposition displayed by so many of our people in the matter of town improvements. It is only necessary for an earnest beginning to be made in correcting many old abuses, in order that a spirit of improvement may get abroad which will lead to salutary changes. If we were only half as far advanced in such matters as they are in the New England villages many of our citizens would find Chatham a much more agreeable place than it is to live in.

**More Fishery Tyranny.**

We have received the particulars of another case of official intolerance and tyranny in which Mr. John Hogan, Overseer, Newcastle, figures in his favorite role. Our information is to the effect that during last winter Mr. John Goddellow, jr., had a bass fishery at Whitney Flats. He was charged with violating the fisheries laws, convicted and fined some \$15—the

expenses and fine together amounting to some \$30, which he was compelled to pay. Mr. Goddellow had a salmon fishery off the Miramichi property near the Scott School House, and when he wished to fish it, as usual, Overseer Hogan refused to give him a license, claiming he was an outlaw.

Mr. John Goddellow, sr., then leased the shore privilege from Mr. McLean, and applied for a license, but was refused by Hogan, who said he had decided to close up that particular salmon-fishing station.

From the above facts, it will be seen that Mr. John Hogan's self-importance and insolence is about on a par with the assumptions of the Department of which he is an officer. Taking the most extreme view of the case of Mr. Goddellow, jr., it may be assumed that he had broken some regulation of the Department. But he was subjected to a penalty which, though large, he paid. Having done so, he was in the same position as any other fisherman respecting his right to a license. Mr. Hogan, however, took it upon himself to place a civil disability upon Mr. Goddellow—to pronounce him an outlaw—and at that ground to refuse him a license, which he was prepared to pay for. This is an outrage for which Overseer Hogan should be required to answer. It is an attack upon the rights and privileges of one of his fellow-citizens, which can only be temporarily excused on the ground that he is incapable of comprehending the magnitude of the offence of which he has been guilty. We think Mr. Hogan will find it rather difficult to explain the origin of his authority for proclaiming a fellow-citizen an outlaw, and proceeding to give effect to his *ipse dixit* by robbing him of his means of making an honest living. Mr. Hogan is morally and legally in the wrong, and if his conduct should lead to reprisals equally illegal and unbecoming as those it has caused Mr. Goddellow to experience, who will pity him?

The closing up of the McLean fishery is quite as high-handed a proceeding as the outlawing of Mr. Goddellow, jr. Here, we have a fishery that has been, for years, a source of income to the owner of the property off which it lies. Of his own motion, and because he has conceived a prejudice against the fisherman leasing it, Overseer Hogan does it up, thus depriving the legitimate user of the property and establishing a precedent which menaces property rights to an alarming extent. No such power as Mr. Hogan has assumed should be placed in the hands of any one man, and especially such a man as Overseer Hogan, whose sense of equity and respect for the rights of his fellow-citizens is as dull and barbarous as his general conduct in dealing with fishermen is unfeeling and tyrannical. Aside altogether from the question of hardship upon the fisherman-lessee, we think that owners of property ought to be protected against the whims of fishery officers, and that riparian privileges which give value to land should not be practically swept out of existence as has been done in the case under notice. The Fisheries Department will do well to tone down its exercise and usurpation of authority in New Brunswick. Our people are law-abiding, but when they find attacks made upon their rights and privileges, without authority in law therefor, they cannot be expected to be restrained from resenting it. There are many men who would not allow Mr. Overseer Hogan to close up their fisheries as he has done in the case of Mr. Goddellow. They would tender to him the license fee and if he refused it, proceed to fish as usual. The Department and its officers ought to be taught the difference between their duty in regulating fisheries, and their insolent assumption of power to repress and confiscate them.

**The Dredge is all right again, now that her six Pictouians helped to save her country.**

**Our Manufactures.**

Under the title "time will tell" the *Advocate* says:—

The *Advocate* tries very hard to believe that the lumbering interest is not wanting, and doubtless has strong personal reasons for hoping that it may flourish here for many years. But it is no use hoping against hope. There are persons in the County whose pursuits consist in doing up the lumbering interest cannot be profitably conducted in Northumberland.

We may be very ignorant and the *Advocate's* informant very intelligent—all in our contemporary judgment—yet "while there is life there is hope," and until we see better reasons than now exist for taking the sombre view of our lumber industry presented in the above paragraph, we will continue to contend that our people are engaged in a branch of manufacture that is as beneficial to the community as any other in which we may engage. At all events, it is a branch of industry which we have strong personal reasons for hoping that the lumber business may flourish here for many years to come, and if we had not we would fail to represent the feeling of every good citizen of the country. We also have such faith in the enterprise of our friends and neighbors in the trade as to be quite confident that we are not in any way being snuffed. At all events, it will be time enough to croak over and belittle the greatest industry we have when those directly interested in it—who are the best judges of it—set the example.

We found fault with the *Times* of Moncton, for being misled by the *Advocate's* intimations that we had no manufactures on the Miramichi. We saw the *Advocate's* article when it was published, but did not think it worth correcting, because we did not wish to give a currency to its absurdities which it cannot gain when simply confined to its own pages. When the *Times*, however, treated them seriously, and intimated that we were doing nothing in the manufacturing line in Chatham and Newcastle, we said among other things:—The Miramichi is already a great thing in a district, and the value of its manufactures exported abroad is larger, per head of population than that of any other locality in the Province. At the same time, there is ample room and opportunity for introducing new industries. What we require, however, is new capital, because nearly all that is available among our wealthiest people is

now invested in our present mills and workshops.

There is nothing in the above to justify the *Advocate* in saying:—

The advocacy of new industries in our midst may perhaps not be acceptable to mill-owners, as a rule, in that increased competition in labor would result in better pay for the workmen. \* \* \* It seems to be the policy of our journal in our midst to keep up a monopoly in labor market, beyond which it is unwilling, and attempts to thwart the efforts of those who, actuated by the desire to further the interests of the people, are seeking to open up new avenues of labor.

The fact that the *Advocate* attacks the mill-owners without cause is proved by its own pages, for in its first article it stated that Senator Michaud—one of our prominent mill-owners and lumber merchants—had offered a site to any person or company who would start a factory. It is well known that Mr. Snowball, M. P., has not only offered free sites for similar purposes but also taken stock, and he is, perhaps, the largest millowner, and employer of labor in the lumber business on the Miramichi. The lumbermen furnish the abundant evidence that we have always done what we could to encourage the starting of manufactures, other than those of lumber, but in doing so we have not fallen into the *Advocate's* error of croaking over the live manufacturing establishments we already have.

The *Moncton Times* returns to the subject of our manufactures. It denies it intended to intimate that we had not "a certain class of manufactures" and says it never "threw cold water on the milling enterprises of the Miramichi." This is in any outrage for which Overseer Hogan should be required to answer. It is an attack upon the rights and privileges of one of his fellow-citizens, which can only be temporarily excused on the ground that he is incapable of comprehending the magnitude of the offence of which he has been guilty. We think Mr. Hogan will find it rather difficult to explain the origin of his authority for proclaiming a fellow-citizen an outlaw, and proceeding to give effect to his *ipse dixit* by robbing him of his means of making an honest living. Mr. Hogan is morally and legally in the wrong, and if his conduct should lead to reprisals equally illegal and unbecoming as those it has caused Mr. Goddellow to experience, who will pity him?

The closing up of the McLean fishery is quite as high-handed a proceeding as the outlawing of Mr. Goddellow, jr. Here, we have a fishery that has been, for years, a source of income to the owner of the property off which it lies. Of his own motion, and because he has conceived a prejudice against the fisherman leasing it, Overseer Hogan does it up, thus depriving the legitimate user of the property and establishing a precedent which menaces property rights to an alarming extent. No such power as Mr. Hogan has assumed should be placed in the hands of any one man, and especially such a man as Overseer Hogan, whose sense of equity and respect for the rights of his fellow-citizens is as dull and barbarous as his general conduct in dealing with fishermen is unfeeling and tyrannical. Aside altogether from the question of hardship upon the fisherman-lessee, we think that owners of property ought to be protected against the whims of fishery officers, and that riparian privileges which give value to land should not be practically swept out of existence as has been done in the case under notice. The Fisheries Department will do well to tone down its exercise and usurpation of authority in New Brunswick. Our people are law-abiding, but when they find attacks made upon their rights and privileges, without authority in law therefor, they cannot be expected to be restrained from resenting it. There are many men who would not allow Mr. Overseer Hogan to close up their fisheries as he has done in the case of Mr. Goddellow. They would tender to him the license fee and if he refused it, proceed to fish as usual. The Department and its officers ought to be taught the difference between their duty in regulating fisheries, and their insolent assumption of power to repress and confiscate them.

**The Dredge is all right again, now that her six Pictouians helped to save her country.**

**Our Manufactures.**

Under the title "time will tell" the *Advocate* says:—

The *Advocate* tries very hard to believe that the lumbering interest is not wanting, and doubtless has strong personal reasons for hoping that it may flourish here for many years. But it is no use hoping against hope. There are persons in the County whose pursuits consist in doing up the lumbering interest cannot be profitably conducted in Northumberland.

We may be very ignorant and the *Advocate's* informant very intelligent—all in our contemporary judgment—yet "while there is life there is hope," and until we see better reasons than now exist for taking the sombre view of our lumber industry presented in the above paragraph, we will continue to contend that our people are engaged in a branch of manufacture that is as beneficial to the community as any other in which we may engage. At all events, it is a branch of industry which we have strong personal reasons for hoping that the lumber business may flourish here for many years to come, and if we had not we would fail to represent the feeling of every good citizen of the country. We also have such faith in the enterprise of our friends and neighbors in the trade as to be quite confident that we are not in any way being snuffed. At all events, it will be time enough to croak over and belittle the greatest industry we have when those directly interested in it—who are the best judges of it—set the example.

We found fault with the *Times* of Moncton, for being misled by the *Advocate's* intimations that we had no manufactures on the Miramichi. We saw the *Advocate's* article when it was published, but did not think it worth correcting, because we did not wish to give a currency to its absurdities which it cannot gain when simply confined to its own pages. When the *Times*, however, treated them seriously, and intimated that we were doing nothing in the manufacturing line in Chatham and Newcastle, we said among other things:—The Miramichi is already a great thing in a district, and the value of its manufactures exported abroad is larger, per head of population than that of any other locality in the Province. At the same time, there is ample room and opportunity for introducing new industries. What we require, however, is new capital, because nearly all that is available among our wealthiest people is

now invested in our present mills and workshops.

There is nothing in the above to justify the *Advocate* in saying:—

The advocacy of new industries in our midst may perhaps not be acceptable to mill-owners, as a rule, in that increased competition in labor would result in better pay for the workmen. \* \* \* It seems to be the policy of our journal in our midst to keep up a monopoly in labor market, beyond which it is unwilling, and attempts to thwart the efforts of those who, actuated by the desire to further the interests of the people, are seeking to open up new avenues of labor.

The fact that the *Advocate* attacks the mill-owners without cause is proved by its own pages, for in its first article it stated that Senator Michaud—one of our prominent mill-owners and lumber merchants—had offered a site to any person or company who would start a factory. It is well known that Mr. Snowball, M. P., has not only offered free sites for similar purposes but also taken stock, and he is, perhaps, the largest millowner, and employer of labor in the lumber business on the Miramichi. The lumbermen furnish the abundant evidence that we have always done what we could to encourage the starting of manufactures, other than those of lumber, but in doing so we have not fallen into the *Advocate's* error of croaking over the live manufacturing establishments we already have.

The *Moncton Times* returns to the subject of our manufactures. It denies it intended to intimate that we had not "a certain class of manufactures" and says it never "threw cold water on the milling enterprises of the Miramichi." This is in any outrage for which Overseer Hogan should be required to answer. It is an attack upon the rights and privileges of one of his fellow-citizens, which can only be temporarily excused on the ground that he is incapable of comprehending the magnitude of the offence of which he has been guilty. We think Mr. Hogan will find it rather difficult to explain the origin of his authority for proclaiming a fellow-citizen an outlaw, and proceeding to give effect to his *ipse dixit* by robbing him of his means of making an honest living. Mr. Hogan is morally and legally in the wrong, and if his conduct should lead to reprisals equally illegal and unbecoming as those it has caused Mr. Goddellow to experience, who will pity him?

The closing up of the McLean fishery is quite as high-handed a proceeding as the outlawing of Mr. Goddellow, jr. Here, we have a fishery that has been, for years, a source of income to the owner of the property off which it lies. Of his own motion, and because he has conceived a prejudice against the fisherman leasing it, Overseer Hogan does it up, thus depriving the legitimate user of the property and establishing a precedent which menaces property rights to an alarming extent. No such power as Mr. Hogan has assumed should be placed in the hands of any one man, and especially such a man as Overseer Hogan, whose sense of equity and respect for the rights of his fellow-citizens is as dull and barbarous as his general conduct in dealing with fishermen is unfeeling and tyrannical. Aside altogether from the question of hardship upon the fisherman-lessee, we think that owners of property ought to be protected against the whims of fishery officers, and that riparian privileges which give value to land should not be practically swept out of existence as has been done in the case under notice. The Fisheries Department will do well to tone down its exercise and usurpation of authority in New Brunswick. Our people are law-abiding, but when they find attacks made upon their rights and privileges, without authority in law therefor, they cannot be expected to be restrained from resenting it. There are many men who would not allow Mr. Overseer Hogan to close up their fisheries as he has done in the case of Mr. Goddellow. They would tender to him the license fee and if he refused it, proceed to fish as usual. The Department and its officers ought to be taught the difference between their duty in regulating fisheries, and their insolent assumption of power to repress and confiscate them.

**The Dredge is all right again, now that her six Pictouians helped to save her country.**

**Our Manufactures.**

Under the title "time will tell" the *Advocate* says:—

The *Advocate* tries very hard to believe that the lumbering interest is not wanting, and doubtless has strong personal reasons for hoping that it may flourish here for many years. But it is no use hoping against hope. There are persons in the County whose pursuits consist in doing up the lumbering interest cannot be profitably conducted in Northumberland.

We may be very ignorant and the *Advocate's* informant very intelligent—all in our contemporary judgment—yet "while there is life there is hope," and until we see better reasons than now exist for taking the sombre view of our lumber industry presented in the above paragraph, we will continue to contend that our people are engaged in a branch of manufacture that is as beneficial to the community as any other in which we may engage. At all events, it is a branch of industry which we have strong personal reasons for hoping that the lumber business may flourish here for many years to come, and if we had not we would fail to represent the feeling of every good citizen of the country. We also have such faith in the enterprise of our friends and neighbors in the trade as to be quite confident that we are not in any way being snuffed. At all events, it will be time enough to croak over and belittle the greatest industry we have when those directly interested in it—who are the best judges of it—set the example.

We found fault with the *Times* of Moncton, for being misled by the *Advocate's* intimations that we had no manufactures on the Miramichi. We saw the *Advocate's* article when it was published, but did not think it worth correcting, because we did not wish to give a currency to its absurdities which it cannot gain when simply confined to its own pages. When the *Times*, however, treated them seriously, and intimated that we were doing nothing in the manufacturing line in Chatham and Newcastle, we said among other things:—The Miramichi is already a great thing in a district, and the value of its manufactures exported abroad is larger, per head of population than that of any other locality in the Province. At the same time, there is ample room and opportunity for introducing new industries. What we require, however, is new capital, because nearly all that is available among our wealthiest people is

now invested in our present mills and workshops.

There is nothing in the above to justify the *Advocate* in saying:—

The advocacy of new industries in our midst may perhaps not be acceptable to mill-owners, as a rule, in that increased competition in labor would result in better pay for the workmen. \* \* \* It seems to be the policy of our journal in our midst to keep up a monopoly in labor market, beyond which it is unwilling, and attempts to thwart the efforts of those who, actuated by the desire to further the interests of the people, are seeking to open up new avenues of labor.

The fact that the *Advocate* attacks the mill-owners without cause is proved by its own pages, for in its first article it stated that Senator Michaud—one of our prominent mill-owners and lumber merchants—had offered a site to any person or company who would start a factory. It is well known that Mr. Snowball, M. P., has not only offered free sites for similar purposes but also taken stock, and he is, perhaps, the largest millowner, and employer of labor in the lumber business on the Miramichi. The lumbermen furnish the abundant evidence that we have always done what we could to encourage the starting of manufactures, other than those of lumber, but in doing so we have not fallen into the *Advocate's* error of croaking over the live manufacturing establishments we already have.

The *Moncton Times* returns to the subject of our manufactures. It denies it intended to intimate that we had not "a certain class of manufactures" and says it never "threw cold water on the milling enterprises of the Miramichi." This is in any outrage for which Overseer Hogan should be required to answer. It is an attack upon the rights and privileges of one of his fellow-citizens, which can only be temporarily excused on the ground that he is incapable of comprehending the magnitude of the offence of which he has been guilty. We think Mr. Hogan will find it rather difficult to explain the origin of his authority for proclaiming a fellow-citizen an outlaw, and proceeding to give effect to his *ipse dixit* by robbing him of his means of making an honest living. Mr. Hogan is morally and legally in the wrong, and if his conduct should lead to reprisals equally illegal and unbecoming as those it has caused Mr. Goddellow to experience, who will pity him?

The closing up of the McLean fishery is quite as high-handed a proceeding as the outlawing of Mr. Goddellow, jr. Here, we have a fishery that has been, for years, a source of income to the owner of the property off which it lies. Of his own motion, and because he has conceived a prejudice against the fisherman leasing it, Overseer Hogan does it up, thus depriving the legitimate user of the property and establishing a precedent which menaces property rights to an alarming extent. No such power as Mr. Hogan has assumed should be placed in the hands of any one man, and especially such a man as Overseer Hogan, whose sense of equity and respect for the rights of his fellow-citizens is as dull and barbarous as his general conduct in dealing with fishermen is unfeeling and tyrannical. Aside altogether from the question of hardship upon the fisherman-lessee, we think that owners of property ought to be protected against the whims of fishery officers, and that riparian privileges which give value to land should not be practically swept out of existence as has been done in the case under notice. The Fisheries Department will do well to tone down its exercise and usurpation of authority in New Brunswick. Our people are law-abiding, but when they find attacks made upon their rights and privileges, without authority in law therefor, they cannot be expected to be restrained from resenting it. There are many men who would not allow Mr. Overseer Hogan to close up their fisheries as he has done in the case of Mr. Goddellow. They would tender to him the license fee and if he refused it, proceed to fish as usual. The Department and its officers ought to be taught the difference between their duty in regulating fisheries, and their insolent assumption of power to repress and confiscate them.

**The Dredge is all right again, now that her six Pictouians helped to save her country.**

**Our Manufactures.**

Under the title "time will tell" the *Advocate* says:—

The *Advocate* tries very hard to believe that the lumbering interest is not wanting, and doubtless has strong personal reasons for hoping that it may flourish here for many years. But it is no use hoping against hope. There are persons in the County whose pursuits consist in doing up the lumbering interest cannot be profitably conducted in Northumberland.

We may be very ignorant and the *Advocate's* informant very intelligent—all in our contemporary judgment—yet "while there is life there is hope," and until we see better reasons than now exist for taking the sombre view of our lumber industry presented in the above paragraph, we will continue to contend that our people are engaged in a branch of manufacture that is as beneficial to the community as any other in which we may engage. At all events, it is a branch of industry which we have strong personal reasons for hoping that the lumber business may flourish here for many years to come, and if we had not we would fail to represent the feeling of every good citizen of the country. We also have such faith in the enterprise of our friends and neighbors in the trade as to be quite confident that we are not in any way being snuffed. At all events, it will be time enough to croak over and belittle the greatest industry we have when those directly interested in it—who are the best judges of it—set the example.

We found fault with the *Times* of Moncton, for being misled by the *Advocate's* intimations that we had no manufactures on the Miramichi. We saw the *Advocate's* article when it was published, but did not think it worth correcting, because we did not wish to give a currency to its absurdities which it cannot gain when simply confined to its own pages. When the *Times*, however, treated them seriously, and intimated that we were doing nothing in the manufacturing line in Chatham and Newcastle, we said among other things:—The Miramichi is already a great thing in a district, and the value of its manufactures exported abroad is larger, per head of population than that of any other locality in the Province. At the same time, there is ample room and opportunity for introducing new industries. What we require, however, is new capital, because nearly all that is available among our wealthiest people is

now invested in our present mills and workshops.

There is nothing in the above to justify the *Advocate* in saying:—

The advocacy of new industries in our midst may perhaps not be acceptable to mill-owners, as a rule, in that increased competition in labor would result in better pay for the workmen. \* \* \* It seems to be the policy of our journal in our midst to keep up a monopoly in labor market, beyond which it is unwilling, and attempts to thwart the efforts of those who, actuated by the desire to further the interests of the people, are seeking to open up new avenues of labor.

The fact that the *Advocate* attacks the mill-owners without cause is proved by its own pages, for in its first article it stated that Senator Michaud—one of our prominent mill-owners and lumber merchants—had offered a site to any person or company who would start a factory. It is well known that Mr. Snowball, M. P., has not only offered free sites for similar purposes but also taken stock, and he is, perhaps, the largest millowner, and employer of labor in the lumber business on the Miramichi. The lumbermen furnish the abundant evidence that we have always done what we could to encourage the starting of manufactures, other than those of lumber, but in doing so we have not fallen into the *Advocate's* error of croaking over the live manufacturing establishments we already have.

The *Moncton Times* returns to the subject of our manufactures. It denies it intended to intimate that we had not "a certain class of manufactures" and says it never "threw cold water on the milling enterprises of the Miramichi." This is in any outrage for which Overseer Hogan should be required to answer. It is an attack upon the rights and privileges of one of his fellow-citizens, which can only be temporarily excused on the ground that he is incapable of comprehending the magnitude of the offence of which he has been guilty. We think Mr. Hogan will find it rather difficult to explain the origin of his authority for proclaiming a fellow-citizen an outlaw, and proceeding to give effect to his *ipse dixit* by robbing him of his means of making an honest living. Mr. Hogan is morally and legally in the wrong, and if his conduct should lead to reprisals equally illegal and unbecoming as those it has caused Mr. Goddellow to experience, who will pity him?

The closing up of the McLean fishery is quite as high-handed a proceeding as the outlawing of Mr. Goddellow, jr. Here, we have a fishery that has been, for years, a source of income to the owner of the property off which it lies. Of his own motion, and because he has conceived a prejudice against the fisherman leasing it, Overseer Hogan does it up, thus depriving the legitimate user of the property and establishing a precedent which menaces property rights to an alarming extent. No such power as Mr. Hogan has assumed should be placed in the hands of any one man, and especially such a man as Overseer Hogan, whose sense of equity and respect for the rights of his fellow-citizens is as dull and barbarous as his general conduct in dealing with fishermen is unfeeling and tyrannical. Aside altogether from the question of hardship upon the fisherman-lessee, we think that owners of property ought to be protected against the whims of fishery officers, and that riparian privileges which give value to land should not be practically swept out of existence as has been done in the case under notice. The Fisheries Department will do well to tone down its exercise and usurpation of authority in New Brunswick. Our people are law-abiding, but when they find attacks made upon their rights and privileges, without authority in law therefor, they cannot be expected to be restrained from resenting it. There are many men who would not allow Mr. Overseer Hogan to close up their fisheries as he has done in the case of Mr. Goddellow. They would tender to him the license fee and if he refused it, proceed to fish as usual. The Department and its officers ought to be taught the difference between their duty in regulating fisheries, and their insolent assumption of power to repress and confiscate them.

**The Dredge is all right again, now that her six Pictouians helped to save her country.**

**Our Manufactures.**

Under the title "time will tell" the *Advocate* says:—

The *Advocate* tries very hard to believe that the lumbering interest is not wanting, and doubtless has strong personal reasons for hoping that it may flourish here for many years. But it is no use hoping against hope. There are persons in the County whose pursuits consist in doing up the lumbering interest cannot be profitably conducted in Northumberland.

We may be very ignorant and the *Advocate's* informant very intelligent—all in our contemporary judgment—yet "while there is life there is hope," and until we see better reasons than now exist for taking the sombre view of our lumber industry presented in the above paragraph, we will continue to contend that our people are engaged in a branch of manufacture that is as beneficial to the community as any other in which we may engage. At all events, it is a branch of industry which we have strong personal reasons for hoping that the lumber business may flourish here for many years to come, and if we had not we would fail to represent the feeling of every good citizen of the country. We also have such faith in the enterprise of our friends and neighbors in the trade as to be quite confident that we are not in any way being snuffed. At all events, it will be time enough to croak over and belittle the greatest industry we have when those directly interested in it—who are the best judges of it—set the example.

We found fault with the *Times* of Moncton, for being misled by the *Advocate's* intimations that we had no manufactures on the Miramichi. We saw the *Advocate's* article when it was published, but did not think it worth correcting, because we did not wish to give a currency to its absurdities which it cannot gain when simply confined to its own pages. When the *Times*, however, treated them seriously, and intimated that we were doing nothing in the manufacturing line in Chatham and Newcastle, we said among other things:—The Miramichi is already a great thing in a district, and the value of its manufactures exported abroad is larger, per head of population than that of any other locality in the Province. At the same time, there is ample room and opportunity for introducing new industries. What we require, however, is new capital, because nearly all that is available among our wealthiest people is

now invested in our present mills and workshops.

There is nothing in the above to justify the *Advocate* in saying:—

The advocacy of new industries in our midst may perhaps not be acceptable to mill-owners, as a rule, in that increased competition in labor would result in better pay for the workmen. \* \* \* It seems to be the policy of our journal in our midst to keep up a monopoly in labor market, beyond which it is unwilling, and attempts to thwart the efforts of those who, actuated by the desire to further the interests of the people, are seeking to open up new avenues of labor.

The fact that the *Advocate* attacks the mill-owners without cause is proved by its own pages, for in its first article it stated that Senator Michaud—one of our prominent mill-owners and lumber merchants—had offered a site to any person or company who would start a factory. It is well known that Mr. Snowball, M. P., has not only offered free sites for similar purposes but also taken stock, and he is, perhaps, the largest millowner, and employer of labor in the lumber business on the Miramichi. The lumbermen furnish the abundant evidence that we have always done what we could to encourage the starting of manufactures, other than those of lumber, but in doing so we have not fallen into the *Advocate's* error of croaking over the live manufacturing establishments we already have.

The *Moncton Times* returns to the subject of our manufactures. It denies it intended to intimate that we had not "a certain class of manufactures" and says it never "threw cold water on the milling enterprises of the Miramichi." This is in any outrage for which Overseer Hogan should be required to answer. It is an attack upon the rights and privileges of one of his fellow-citizens, which can only be temporarily excused on the ground that he is incapable of comprehending the magnitude of the offence of which he has been guilty. We think Mr. Hogan will find it rather difficult to explain the origin of his authority for proclaiming a fellow-citizen an outlaw, and proceeding to give effect to his *ipse dixit* by robbing him of his means of making an honest living. Mr. Hogan is morally and legally in the wrong, and if his conduct should lead to reprisals equally illegal and unbecoming as those it has caused Mr. Goddellow to experience, who will pity him?

The closing up of the McLean fishery is quite as high-handed a proceeding as the outlawing of Mr. Goddellow, jr. Here, we have a fishery that has been, for years, a source of income to the owner of the property off which it lies. Of his own motion, and because he has conceived a prejudice against the fisherman leasing it, Overseer Hogan does it up, thus depriving the legitimate user of the property and establishing a precedent which menaces property rights to an alarming extent. No such power as Mr. Hogan has assumed should be placed in the hands of any one man, and especially such a man as Overseer Hogan, whose sense of equity and respect for the rights of his fellow-citizens is as dull and barbarous as his general conduct in dealing with fishermen is unfeeling and tyrannical. Aside altogether from the question of hardship upon the fisherman-lessee, we think that owners of property ought to be protected against the whims of fishery officers, and that riparian privileges which give value to land should not be practically swept out of existence as has been done in the case under notice. The Fisheries Department will do well to tone down its exercise and usurpation of authority in New Brunswick. Our people are law-abiding, but when they find attacks made upon their rights and privileges, without authority in law therefor, they cannot be expected to be restrained from resenting it. There are many men who would not allow Mr. Overseer Hogan to close up their fisheries as he has done in the case of Mr. Goddellow. They would tender to him the license fee and if he refused it, proceed to fish as usual. The Department and its officers ought to be taught the difference between their duty in regulating fisheries, and their insolent assumption of power to repress and confiscate them.

**The Dredge is all right again, now that her six Pictouians helped to save her country.**

**Our Manufactures.**

Under the title "time will tell" the *Advocate* says:—

The *Advocate* tries very hard to believe that the lumbering interest is not wanting, and doubtless has strong personal reasons for hoping that it may flourish here for many years. But it is no use hoping against hope. There are persons in the County whose pursuits consist in doing up the lumbering interest cannot be profitably conducted in Northumberland.

We may be very ignorant and the *Advocate's* informant very intelligent—all in our contemporary judgment—yet "while there is life there is hope," and until we see better reasons than now exist for taking the sombre view of our lumber industry presented in the above paragraph, we will continue to contend that our people are engaged in a branch of manufacture that is as beneficial to the community as any other in which we may engage. At all events, it is a branch of industry which we have strong personal reasons for hoping that the lumber business may flourish here for many years to come, and if we had not we would fail to represent the feeling of every good citizen of the country. We also have such faith in the enterprise of our friends and neighbors in the trade as to be quite confident that we are not in any way being snuffed. At all events, it will be time enough to croak over and belittle the greatest industry we have when those directly interested in it—who are the best judges of it—set the example.

We found fault with the *Times* of Moncton, for being misled by the *Advocate's* intimations that we had no manufactures on the Miramichi. We saw the *Advocate's* article when it was published, but did not think it worth correcting, because we did not wish to give a currency to its absurdities which it cannot gain when simply confined to its own pages. When the *Times*, however, treated them seriously, and intimated that we were doing nothing in the manufacturing line in Chatham and Newcastle, we said among other things:—The Mir