religion and virtue. He may be truly faid to have performed his duty who loves that which is right with all his heart, and does it with all his power. How far our gracious Sovereign has acted up to this rule, a view of his character as a private gentleman and as the first magistrate of a powerful

ration will sufficiently prove.

In his private character, we have every thing to praise and nothing to condemn. We know that many have supposed that a public man may have a double character. That he may be lax in his private deportment, that he may even indulge in many vices, and allow himself a latitude of acting inconfistent with religion and virtue, and yet in his public capacity adhere to the most rigid integrity. I must confess that I am not among the number of those who can admit that he who is neither a good husband nor an affectionate parent, can acquit himself uprightly in a public station: or that the monarch whose private deportment fanctions licentiousness and diffipation can ever be a patriot king. Should his public measures issue from the most correct principles, he could never do so much good, because he never will be trusted. It is impossible to give such a person our unqualisied considence. Doubts will continually intrude themselves, and the disagreeable impression will be always fuggesting itself, that he may prove false. His public virtue feems to be affected; a delufion, a borrowed character which we never can believe folid. It is at home, we fay, in the bosom of his family, that a man is properly known it is amidst his domestic circle, in the company of his friends

and ings we n publ apper fecre from this tion

a pa mine fpiri the fhall our long

reign

lf

mor band tion of t riag hear mos wise con dep Cha

Kin

his