

*Printing on Canadian Bank Notes*

Speaker, I am going to speak on this bill only briefly. I suggest that it be withdrawn, because since I introduced it the government decided to adopt it. Whether my prodding of the government made it adopt this measure, I shall leave to the historians to decide. I introduced the bill the last session and reintroduced it this session, and the government announced recently that it would adopt the measure.

• (5:40 p.m.)

When I introduced this measure it was with the intention that Her Majesty's effigy remain on one of the bills, perhaps the \$20 bill, and that former prime ministers should be placed on all other bills. I believe that rather than this the government has seen fit to leave the effigy of Her Majesty on the \$1, \$2 and \$20 bills and depict former prime ministers on the remainder. Since the matter is a *fait accompli*, I would suggest that the House concur in my removing this bill from the Order Paper at this time.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** Is it agreed?

**Mr. Bell:** Mr. Speaker, I understand you will be asking for unanimous consent for this action, and we are in favour of it; but I would ask that on the \$30 bills we depict the Minister of Finance (Mr. Benson).

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** Is it agreed that Bill C-30 be withdrawn and the order discharged accordingly?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Order discharged and bill withdrawn.

**Mr. Forest:** Mr. Speaker, due to the remarkable progress we have made this afternoon I think there would be a general disposition to call it six o'clock.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** Is this agreed?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** It being six o'clock, I do now leave the chair until eight o'clock p.m.

At six o'clock the House took recess.

[Mr. Stewart (Cochrane).]

## AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 8 p.m.

## GOVERNMENT ORDERS

## NATIONAL PARKS ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING DEFINITION, ACQUISITION, ADMINISTRATION, ETC.

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Chrétien that Bill C-152, to amend the National Parks Act, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

**Mr. Harding:** Mr. Speaker, just before the adjournment I was speaking on some of the main amendments outlined in Bill C152, an act to amend the National Parks Act. I expressed my concern over the trend in government to turn many of these vital public functions over to Crown corporations, over which this House has very little control. When it comes to these very vital resources, the national parks, I believe we should have a good look at this legislation and satisfy ourselves that we are moving in the right direction before we pass it.

There are one or two points I should like to make about park development—you might call it general resource development—which are very pertinent to the legislation before us. All members are aware that the various parks throughout Canada, municipal, provincial and federal, are of different types and categories as far as development is concerned. Some parks allow logging and mining within their boundaries: this is something which naturalists and conservationists have been fighting for generations, and I presume will go on fighting it. That is the reason I believe there is more concern today than ever before over the need to set up a large number of wilderness parks where nothing in the way of commercialization is allowed. As I mentioned earlier, I do not believe we can proceed too quickly to set up such areas.

When it comes to general resource development, I do not believe that either the federal or provincial administrations should be too pleased with what has happened in the past. In many instances we find that resource development in Canada has been based on single-purpose development: it might be, for example, the damming of a stream that flows into a national park and the one thought in mind is