

Summary of Recommendations	Official Committee			National Committee		
	Approve	Reject	Abstain	Approve	Reject	Abstain
	%	%	%	%	%	%
11. ... they continue or establish programs of post-doctoral fellowships awarded for a maximum period of five years;	71	11	18	65	12	19
12. ... they provide research grants only to applicants who have demonstrated international quality standards in their past performance but that excellence be more generously rewarded and subjected to less administrative control; and	60	24	16	62	25	13
13. ... they improve their peer system, wherever necessary, to ensure the highest possible degree of competence and impartiality.	79	8	13	75	6	19
14. ... the Minister of State for Science and Technology initiate a thorough reappraisal of all the Canadian government's scholarship and fellowship schemes in the light of the current scientific and technological manpower situation and of the likely requirements of the new orientation that the national R&D effort will take in the 1790's. This study should be conducted in close collaboration with the proposed foundations and the Department of Manpower and Immigration.	84	8	8	83	8	9
15. ... the proposed foundations, in applying the criterion of social merit, turn down research projects or programs that involve undesirable duplication of others carried out elsewhere in the country or abroad and assist only those that are relevant to the Canadian scene. We further recommend that the foundations reject Big Science projects to be carried out with Canadian support alone.	47	42	11	47	37	16
16. ... at least during the 1970s the order of priority in government support for curiosity-oriented basic research should be, first, the social sciences and the humanities, and second, the life sciences, mainly those related to human health, provided of course that international standards of excellence can be developed and achieved in these areas.	34	39	27	33	43	24