

Mr. Valade, seconded by Mr. Pigeon, by leave of the House, introduced Bill C-66, An Act to amend the Representation Act, which was read the first time and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed consideration in Committee of the Whole of a certain proposed resolution to amend the Pension Act.

*(In the Committee)*

The following resolution was adopted:

Resolved,—That it is expedient to bring in a measure to amend the Pension Act to provide for increases to disabled veterans and their dependants; to permit payment of supplementary pensions in certain cases; to permit appeals from decisions of the former Board of Pension Commissioners; and to provide for certain further changes in connection with the administration of the Act.

Resolution to be reported.

---

The said resolution was reported and concurred in.

Mr. Churchill, seconded by Mr. Monteith (Perth), by leave of the House, presented Bill C-67, An Act to amend the Pension Act, which was read the first time and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The House resolved itself again into Committee of Supply, and progress having been made and reported, the Committee obtained leave to sit again at the next sitting of the House.

---

*Returns and Reports Deposited with the Clerk of the House*

The following papers having been deposited with the Clerk of the House were laid upon the Table pursuant to Standing Order 40, namely:

By Mr. Dorion, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council,—Return to an Order of the House, dated January 18, 1961, (\*Question No. 53) showing: 1. Has the government been considering the building of a new post office on Sydney Road, Reserve Mines, Nova Scotia?

2. If so, has land been purchased for this purpose, from whom, on what date, for what amount, and where is it located?

3. Was any member of the local municipal government, or anyone else, consulted as to the suitability of the proposed building site?

4. If so, who were they, what was the nature of the consultation with each, and what advice was received?

5. Was a petition received by the government expressing dissatisfaction with the site chosen by the government?

6. If so, from whom, what date did it bear, when was it received, what were its contents, and what consideration has the government given to its request?