If there be no delivery upon a sale of moveables and they are seized in the possession of the defendant, fraud will be presumed and the seizure be maintained. Miville vs. Fay, 1813, no. 496.

Garantie.

One who intervenes in a contract of sale and thereby binds himself with the vendor solidairement to warrant and defend the purchaser, is a garant formel and may be compelled to take the fait et cause of the purchaser. Peltier vs. Puize et al.,

1818, no. 885.

In the case of a simple garantie de fait, the cedant of an obligation warrants: 1st. That the debt which he assigns is his own property; and 2dly, That the debtor at the time of the assignment is solvent or (if the debt is payable à terme) that the debtor will be solvent when the debt will become due. Bellanger vs. Binet, 1820, no. 547.

An executor, if he sells an estate of the testator, may warrant the title in his own name. Baley vs. Measam, and Measam vs. Gauvreau, 1821,

no. 857.

Habeas Corpus.

The court on habeas carpus will not, without proof, take notice that the prisoner is a member of the assembly and as such entitled to privilege from arrest. Ex parte Bedard, 1810, no. 87.

A defendant in a civil suit detained in custody for want of bail cannot be discharged on habeas corpus. Ex parte, Whitfield, 1813, no. 296.

A prisoner committed to the common gaol by the assembly during pleasure is entitled to his discharge, as soon as the parliament is prorogued and on habeas corpus may obtain it. Ex parte Monk, 1817, no. 0.

Inferior Courts.

Commissioners for the recovery of small debts cannot take cognizance of an action of damages ex delicto. Legendre vs. Lemay, 1820, no. 117.