" If nothing of a dangerous tendency is published, there is no libel." It would have done this great man much more honor to have spoken, in other places as in this, more decidedly and less equivocally; he could not in conscience support the doctrine of libels; he was unwilling to offend the court and ministry, for he was solicitor to the Queen, he has given a feeble opininon in favour of them, and a strong one against them. Such as they are, we will take the fentiments of this great commentator to be the criterion and standard, and by them try if this publication can, with the aid of the most subtle sophistry, or siction of law, be construed into a libel, or to contain matter defamatory to Henry Caldwell; if it contains nothing injurious to him in his person, property, or reputation, if it has nothing in it of mischievous or illegal tendency, it cannot hurt him, and confequently can have no degree of criminality in it; nothing malicious, nothing libellous, no ways tending to the diffurbance of the public tranquility.

Upon criticifing and diffecting \* this piece, is there found any one expression in it malicious, mischievous, or illegal? It may be called a lampoon, a satire, a pasquinade; pasquinades are not offensive things in the eye of the law, and fensible men laugh at them; this publication is very harmless; it is laughable and jocular, written with great good humour,

without malignity, without rancour.

Ir. Mur-

unknown

a man

ling, the ng them

d in any

ppen to

ry plain

ourts for

person,

persona! ufficient

reat and iduals;

fubject,

political nisterial

le was

ipport-

which tands:

foun-

ociety,

of cri-

great

owed;

Tudge

onsti-

ontra-

ned to

narkright

C; to

legal.

If

If it contains nothing in it to make any body laugh and smile, certain it is that the most surly cynic can take no offence at it: Neither was it published wantonly and unprovokedly, for the defendants can produce the most ample proof of the greatest provocation on the part of the profecutor, that one man can receive from another. pursue the doctrine of libels as insisted upon by Lord Mans-But to field, to shew its fallacy and that it does not even upon his iples apply in the present instance, let us state what might en printed and published, and which surely would

appendix No. I.