## TARIFF LEGISLATION, 1789-91.

dutles, would recommend, as a like general rule, the allowance of drawbacks in favor of the manufacturer. . . . VIII. The encouragement of new inventions and discoveries at home, and of the Introduction Into the United States of such as may have been nucle in other countries; purtlenlarly those which relate to machinery. This is among the most useful and unexceptionable of the aids which can be given to manufactures. The usual means of that encouragement are pe-eunlary rewards, and, for a time, exclusive privileges... IX. Indicious regulations for the inspection of manufactured commodities. This is not among the least important of the means by which the prosperity of manufactures may be promoted. It is indeed in many cases one of the most essential. Contributing to prevent frauds upon consumers at home and exporters to foreign conutries, to improve the quality and preserve the character of the national manufactures; It cannot fail to nid the expeditious and advantageous sale of them, and to serve as a guard against successful competition from other quarters. . . X. The facilitating of pecuniary remittances from place to place is a point of considerable moment to trade in general and to manufactures in particular, by rendering more easy the purchase of raw maiterials and provisions, and the payment for manufactured supplies. A general circulation of bank paper, which is to be expected from the Institution lately established, will be a most valuable mean to this end. . . . XI. The fucili-tating of the transportation of commodities. Improvements favoring this object intimately concern all the domestic interests of a community; but they may, without impropriety, be ernment which have a direct view to manufactures should be calculated to assist and protect them; but that those which only collaterally affect them, in the general course of the ad-ministration, should be guarded from any pe-culiar tendency to injure them. There are cerculiar tendency to injure them. There are cer-tain species of taxes which are apt to be oppressive to different parts of the community, and, among other ill effects, have a very unfriendly aspect towards manufactures. AİL Doll or capitation taxes are of this nature. They either proceed according to a fixed rate, which operates unequally and injuriously to the industrious poor; or they vest a discretion in certain offleers to make estimates and assessments, which are necessarily vague, conjectural, and liable to abuse, . . . All such taxes (including all taxes on occupations) which proceed according to the amount of capital supposed to be employed in a business, or of profits supposed to be made in R, nre unavoidably luriful to industry, " $-\Lambda$ . Hamilton, Report on Manufactures (Works, r. 3).

Ilton, Report on Manufactures (Dorks, r. 5). ALSO IN: State Papers and Specifics on the Tariff.-R. W. Thompson, Hist. of Protective Terriff Lares, eds. 6-7. (England): A. D. 1815-1828.—The Corn Laws and Provision Laws.—The sliding-scale.—During the Napoleonic wars in Enrope there was a prohomed portical of searcity on. there was a prolonged period of scarcity, ap-proaching to famine, in Great Britain. There were scant harvests at home and supplies from

## English Corn-Laws TARIFF LEGISLATION, 1815-28.

abroad were cut off by the "Continental system" of Napoleon. "In 1801 wheat was 115 shillings and 11 pence per quarter; from 1801 to 1818 the price averaged 84s.; whilst In the 20 years ending 1874, it averaged only 52s. per quarter. . . The ery of starvation was everywhere heard amongst the working classes, and tradesmen of all kinds suffered severely; whilst the only wellto do people were the Farmers and the Landlords. As soon as the war was over, and our ports were opened for the reception of foreign grain, prices came down rapidly. Then the Landlords took alarm, and appealed to Parliament to resist the Importation of foreign grain, which they as-serted, would be the ruin of the English Farmers. They insisted that in this country, the costs of cultivation were extremely heavy, as compared with those of foreign producers of grain, and that therefore the British Farmer must receive protection in order to prevent his ruin. Hence a Purliament, composed mostly of Landlords, proceeded, in 1815, to enact the Corn Law, which excluded foreign wheat, except at high rates of duty, until the market price should reach 80s. per quarter; and other kinds of grain, until there was n proportionate elevation in prices. The discussions in Parliament on this question made a great impression, and led to a wide-spread sympathy, and to the belief that there was need of a measure, which, according to its advocates, would preserve our Agriculture from ruin, and be at the same time n provision ngainst famine. But by many thoughtful and patriotic people this haw was viewed with lutense dislike, and was characterised as an atrocions fraud. The fact was that . . . when rents ought either to have been lowered, or the methods of cultivation Improved, the Corn Law was passed by the Landlords in order to keep out foreign corn and to maintain high rents; and many of the common people saw, or thought they saw, what would be the effect; for whilst the legislature was engaged In the discussion of the question, the people of London became riotous, and the walls were chalked with invectives such as 'Bread or Blood,' 'Guy Fawkes for ever,' etc. A loaf, steeped in blood, was placed on Carlton House, (now the Tory Club House.) The houses of some of the most unpopular of the promoters of the measure were nttacked by the mob. At Lord Eldon's house the Iron raillngs were torn up, whilst every pane of glass and many articles of furniture were broken and destroyed, and it was facetiously remarked that at last his lord-hip kept open house. The military were called out, and two persons were killed; the Houses of Parliament were guarded hy soldiers, and, indeed, the whole of London appeared to be in possession of the Army. various parts of the country similar of In various parts of the country similar dis-turbances prevailed. . . Large popular meet-ings were held at Spa Fields in Loudon, public meetings were also held at Birmingham, and In many other parts of the kingdom. . . In some of the towns and populous localities, the operatives having in view a large aggregate meeting to be held on St. Peter's field in Manchester, submitted themselves to marching discipline. . . . Regardless, however, of the public demon-strations of dislike to the Corn and Provision Laws, the Legislature persisted in upholding the most stringent provisions thereof until the year 1825, when the duties on the importation of