

2. If so, (a) on what date was the report made; (b) who was the official signing the report; (c) what was his position; (d) the name of the company employing him; (e) what were the findings of this independent report?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 16)—1. Were the piers of the substructure of the Cornwall High Level Bridge washed to obtain an analysis of silt content prior to remedial measures being carried out?

2. If so, (a) who conducted the washing operations; (b) on what dates; (c) how many washings were carried out; (d) why were each considered necessary?

3. Was a copy of the analysis of silt content of the piers asked for by the contractor undertaking the remedial work?

4. If so, (a) was it given to him, and on what date; (b) what was the date of the request and its nature?

5. If not, why was his request refused by the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 17)—1. Were remedial methods applied to the substructure of the Cornwall High Level Bridge approved by both American and Canadian authorities, and accepted by the United States Corps of Engineers, Dr. Steinman and the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority prior to starting such remedial work?

2. If so, who approved in the case of each authority, and on what date?

3. If not, what person or authority did not approve?

4. Were remedial procedures approved on the completion of said work by both American and Canadian Authorities as being satisfactory according to specifications and standards?

5. If so, who approved for each authority, on what date, and on what results were such approval based?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 18)—1. Was any other consultant or company approached relative to the design and/or location of the Cornwall High Level Bridge prior to the consultation of Dr. Steinman by the St. Lawrence Seaway Authority?

2. If so, who were they, on what date were they approached, and what was the specific nature of the business raised?

3. If not, why were the services of these consultants not employed?

*Mr. Argue*—On Monday next—INQUIRY OF MINISTRY—(No. 19)—1. Were any control measures set up to guard against possible substructure defects developing unnoticed in the Cornwall High Level Bridge (a) during steel erection; (b) after completion?

2. If so, what were they?

3. Were these control measures as set up followed out?

4. Were reports made from time to time under these control measures?

5. If so, what was the nature of the reports, by whom, and to whom were they made, and at what frequency?