time attempted to use any pressure on you or any improper inducement with respect to the way you voted with reference to NONG?

A. No. As I pointed out earlier, Mr. Landreville was always showing great leadership in all matters, and certainly he indicated to me that he was very anxious for the passing of that by-law that night.

Q. Right.

A. But I wouldn't want to construe this as being that he was putting pressure on me for any personal reasons, to have this passed.

Q. No. Tell me, was it characteristic of Mayor Landreville that, in doing the City's business, he was urging in many matters that Council should make up their minds, one way or the other, and not postpone the decision. Was that characteristic of him.

A. Oh, yes, very much so.

That disposes of that Alderman. Alderman Theriault at page 495, correction page 497, at line 20, questioned by Mr. Morrow:

Q. Do you remember Mayor Landreville taking any particular part in the meeting on that occasion?

A. No, he only acted as leader of Council.

And he also refers to his acceptance of an option for the purchase of shares which he did not consider a bribe. At page 498, no, that is not the correct page—499 at line 7, Mr. Theriault:

Q. And is it fair, to summarize it very quickly, Mr. Crozier was urging the Council to get on with the matter, and in effect, settle the form of the franchise and give it to NONG?

A. He did.

Then, gentlemen, there are just one or two more. We will examine in Book V of the inquiry, the evidence of Alderman Guimond at page 508, questioned by Mr. Morrow, line 1:

A. I presume it was all these communications from the Fuel Board and mainly the Fuel Board who told us that possibly Sudbury, or the northern part of the province, would be by-passed by the pipe line.

This is in answer to Mr. Morrow.

Q. And this would be a firm—Mr. pressure on you?

Crozier, I believe, actually attended before Council later, about July 3rd, 1956?

A. Yes.

Q. And he was creating a note of urgency as well?

A. That's right.

This deals with this witness. The next witness is at page 509, Alderman Guimond, questioned by Mr. Morrow, line 3:

Q. Did you get any feeling at any time during the period that we are discussing, right up to July 17th, 1956 that anyone was pushing you with respect to the franchise?

A. No, I didn't feel that any particular person was pushing. It was a general movement.

At page 512, line 8:

Q. Now, you, I think, have recalled July 3rd, 1956, when Mr. Crozier was there; he answered a lot of questions, did he?

A. Yes, he did, sir.

Q. And did he reassure people, such as yourself, who were on Council on a lot of the problems that Mr. Kelly had been raising?

A. Yes, he did.

Mr. Kelly is the City Solicitor, if I may remind you.

Q. Did that have any effect in changing your attitude towards the by-law?

A. No, it didn't.

Q. You still wanted to assess the situation with Inco; is that right?

A. Yes, sir.

And at page 513, line 23, question by Mr. Morrow, the same witness.

Q. Do you recall Mayor Landreville making statements at that time?

And this refers to July 17.

A. No, I don't.

And at page 514 he says he did not receive an option to purchase stock. Yes, he did receive in the mail an offer to purchase stock. That was, again, in April '57. At page 520, it deals with the question of rates which were not to be put in the agreement.

At page 522, a question by Mr. Robinette, at line 21:

Q. The Mayor didn't put any improper pressure on you?