

THE
ONTARIO WEEKLY REPORTER.

(To and Including November 21st, 1903.)

VOL. II. TORONTO, NOVEMBER 26, 1903. No. 40

OCTOBER 31ST, 1903.

DIVISIONAL COURT.

STANDARD LIFE ASSURANCE CO. v. VILLAGE OF
TWEED.

Correction.

In the report of this case, ante 922, it is stated that defendants appealed from the order of FERGUSON, J., ante 747, allowing an appeal from the order of the Master in Chambers, ante 731, and that the appeal was dismissed.

The statement is incorrect. The parties stated a case for the opinion of a Divisional Court, and it was upon the case so stated that the judgment reported ante 922 was given.

The question whether it was a proper case for a summary judgment was, therefore, not before the Divisional Court, who dealt with the merits of the case upon the facts as agreed upon by the parties.

BRITTON, J.

NOVEMBER 14TH, 1903.

WEEKLY COURT.

RE PAKENHAM PORK PACKING CO.

*Company—Winding-up—Action—Refusal of Leave to Proceed—
Refusal of Leave to Appeal.*

Motion by William Gorrell for leave to appeal from order of BRITTON, J. (ante 951), affirming order of McAndrew, Official Referee, dismissing application for leave to proceed