

QUEEN'S COLLEGE JOURNAL.

VOL. XI.

KINGSTON, CANADA, JANUARY 26, 1884.

No. 7.

Queen's College Journal.

Published in TWELVE NUMBERS during the Session by the
ALMA MATER SOCIETY of Queen's University.

STAFF:

J. J. WRIGHT, - *Managing Editor.*

EDITING COMMITTEE:

Divinity—D. MCTAVISH, M.A.

Medicine—T. CUMBERLAND.

Arts—S. W. DYDE, B.A.

A. G. FARRELL, '84.

A. GANDIER, '84.

J. R. SHANNON, '84.

G. F. CAMERON, '86.

A. McROSSIE, *Secretary-Treasurer*

TERMS:—Per Session, \$1.00; Single Numbers 10 cents.

Matter for publication should be addressed to J. J. WRIGHT; Business letters to A. McROSSIE, P.O. Drawer 1146, Kingston, Ont.

The Editor must be acquainted with the name of the author of any article, whether local or literary.

IS there anything of jealousy in our opposition to this proposal by its friends to grant additional State Aid to University College? We hope not; bickerings among each mean weakness for all. Even if her endowment should become five times that of Queen's, we say heartily that if University College is in need of more money she should have it. Advance in Toronto means advance for Queen's; just as the advance of Queen's is one of the influences now moving Toronto to greater effort. What we object to is the principle, the lines of argument on which the grant is proposed. We object to being asked to stand quietly by and acquiesce in a proposition which simply means that Queen's has neither part nor lot in the higher education of Ontario.

To speculate as to the result of this agitation would be folly. We hope for a commission of enquiry into the whole question.

No matter about the result we are sure of this, that many erroneous views regarding Queen's have been cleared away. It is interesting to see how many Rip Van Winkles there are right here in progressive and practical Ontario. Men who thirty years ago, caught a glimpse of Queen's, are now awaking and talking of the College as it was in days gone by; and yet these men fume and sputter printer's ink about, when gently reprimanded for expecting to influence the question of the present by giving mere reminiscences of Queen's.

“BYSTANDER,” having mounted the tripod, with an air of calm superiority which is to say the least amusing, has pronounced concerning the College question a verdict against Queen's. He pays Drs. Nelles and Grant a compliment which is but a back-handed compliment. He applauds them for their power, while condemning them for their narrowness. He would have it, in spite of the words of Dr. Caven and the Paris Presbytery to the contrary, that the Presbyterians would follow like a flock of sheep the leadership of our Principal, and that in consequence the Local House would be taking a suicidal step were it to pass a measure, which would lose for the Ministry the Methodist and Presbyterian votes. In his first manifesto he cries out against the shutting up in such places as Cobourg and Kingston, which are as far from the centre of intelligence as they are distant from Toronto, those who in Victoria and Queen's are studying with a view to the Methodist and Presbyterian ministry. And in his