ரா. தூர் கூ OC. [] MONTBEAL, THUBBDAY, 17th JULY, 1823. [No. 40.-1 PH 10 Doubles -Audax Japeti genus mette Ignem fraude mala gentibus extulit; styre 1 HORACES 10 (F 11) 1 41 , Sa Gille . . . ٢, "Bold man, who all things dares essay? and an The heavenly fire to make hell look like day, at a Th'incendiary's torch, or merriment's mad mask ; Alike the slightest sport, alike the heaviest task. c^t 11 -VIE MANESE I deem it but justice to the editor of the Gazette Canadienne

. 12

to give a translation of his observations on that part of No. 36 of the Free Press, whereau I expressed my surprise that the Spectateur should have copied from the Gasette, an invecture against the custom of the Charrivarri. -

11.12

í

-

~~~

" The direct attack against the Spectatcur Canadien," it is said, " appears to us to be less heavy than the inducet one upon the Gazette Canadienne':' the former' is simply 'accused of not being a partisan of the Charrivarri, as an ancient French custom, but the latter is accused of not being a constitutional and anti-unionist paper. This appears to us the more strange. when we consider that the Gasette Canadienne," both in its origin, and at all subsequent times, when the occasion has presente editself, has evenced itself to be, perhaps, as constitutional, and as much opposed to the union, as any one of the Lower Canada papers, of which the editor of the Free Press, as well as all the world, might easily convince themselves. If the Free Press had confined itself to saying that the Spectateur Canadian and the Canadian Spectator were the two papers in Lower Canada, which had spoken the most frequently, and the most forcibly, against the union, we should not have thought ourselves under the necessity of calling his remarks in question ; but when the editor says that those two papers are the only constitutional and anti unionist papers in the province, we ought not to remain silent; it is our duty to point out the error, were it only to prevent its traversing the Atlantic. This remark of the Free Press might tend to induce the belief that the advocates for the union are very numerous, and that there are a great number of Canadians amongst them, on the ground that it might be concluded from what was said, that all the papers of the province, those two alone excepted, were unionist, and that even there existed French papers that were favourable to the union ; whilst,

. . . . . .