

VOL. XXIX. NO. 18

THE CANADA MILL

BY-PRODUCT

**Absolutely Necessary to
Mixed Farming**

WORLD'S BREAD-BASKET

**Good Plan to Let Militarism Alone for
Period and Divert Annual Expen-**

With so much agitation about the high cost of living in Canada, and the appointment of commissions to suggest ways and means to ameliorate conditions, and reduce the living expenses of our people certain arguments presented by J. Lockie Wilson, Superintendent of Agricultural Societies, at a recent banquet in Clinton, Ont., are illuminating indeed. Some of the estimates regarding production and consumption as set forth from his address throw considerable light on the economic conditions regarding production, manufacture and consumption in Canada.

Canada is known as the breadbasket of the world, and each year approximately 100,000,000 bushels of wheat are exported to other countries. This is valued at about \$1.00 per bushel, amounting to \$100,000,000. The point in connection with this, and worthy of consideration is that the price of wheat compared with that of fifteen years ago, has not altered to any appreciable extent, yet the by-products from this commodity have increased at an alarming rate. Formerly could be procured at from \$8.40

grain could be produced at from \$6.00 to \$10.00 per ton, whereas at present in many towns throughout the country it cannot be had even at \$25.00 a ton. Mr. Wilson's argument is that if we wish to reduce the high cost of living, we must first reduce the articles that go to produce cheap beef, pork, butter, cheese, eggs and poultry. Furthermore every bushel of wheat exported

represents in the by-products alone fertility to the extent of twenty-four tons making in the total exportation a dissipation of \$24,000,000 worth of fertility from Canadian soil. This will result in a depletion of Canadian farms and in addition to this it assists the foreign producer through the easy acquisition of cheap feeding stuffs to

Worth Considering.

One bushel of clean wheat makes 44 lbs. of flour and 16 lbs. of bran and shorts. The two latter articles combined would mean the exportation, through the quantity of wheat sent out many millions worth of cheap feed-stuffs.

More light is thrown on the argument by the information that our grain

Canadian wheat after being loaded and re-loaded onto trains, loaded and re-loaded onto ships, crossing the ocean, and in all totalling a distance of nearly 6,000 miles is finally ground into flour in a foreign country and the bran in this process is sold to London bakers for \$20.00 a ton, thus giving the very producer of the Mother Country a \$35.00 per ton advantage over the Canadian producer of dairy products.

Canada is known as the breadbasket of the world, yet in the heart of the great wheat producing country had bells for 62-3 cents per pound. This is the price in Calgary. Other places are 5 cents per pound in Winnipeg 5½ cents per pound in Fort

Montreal, 3½c in Quebec, 4.2-3
s in Halifax but most astonishing
all, after this wheat has gone
ugh the various

of the transportation and milling processes of the flour, and the cost of the flour to the London consumers for 24 cents a pound. What effect must this have on the English, Scotch and Canadian prospective consumers of Canada as being the great wheat producing country, yet at the same time the country of bread to be sold for 10 cents in the home market?

Some claim that hard Canadian flour is mixed with a softer grade in the milling process but this generation can in no way account for an enormous increase in price.

An Discrepancy in Wealth.

His 100,000,000 bushels of wheat are ground into flour, it together with the products, would be worth about \$4,500,000,000, and he is not left at home to facilitate the adoption of mixed farming and farms that would be sufficed by exclusive wheat growing. The loss of these by-products is a loss to the country if mixed farming is to be adopted. The loss is not only there that agriculture is poorer, but the farmer is poorer. Some claim that bran worth 100 per ton is lost, but the waste is not so great. It is a necessity to compound a balanced ration for the animal to retain the health of the herd.

Canada, of course, cannot produce all that flour milled from the quantity of our wheat. The States mill and export the surplus and pays it down in the form of money. Why cannot Canada do the same thing?

Wilson's suggestion in this connection is that, whereas the Government and a General Association of elevators, could not be in conjunction with the millers, the hard Canadian wheat? It is said that the millers are not averse to divert the annual export of \$14,000,000 into this more profitable channel, would at the same time magnify the value of the mills and give the laboring class the cheaper flour and the millers the higher price.

Canada has a large material

reduce materially the high living." Furthermore, if we have the most efficient machinery in the flour-producing industry, we can produce flour for their consumption at a lower cost than we can produce it for the general public. Mr. Wilson proposed to take the flour industry out of competition with the general public and would have it controlled by the Government for the benefit of the flour-consuming public.

should be ascertained and then should be thrown down, allowed to come in duty free, in order we may have the last word in process machinery. This has been on other lines and should be done in this case.

...in connection with this
...give em
...vide che
...cultural
...dound to

the higher value consumer

