February 28, 1962

# **A Sports Editorial**

### We Lost

Dalhousie's basketball team their intercollegiate lost all games this season. As a matter of fact, in the past three years they have won only two games. The Gazette approached Harry Wilson, coach of the Tigers for the past two years to question about this sad record. It him was felt at the outset of the current season that Dal had finally come up with adequate representation. As the season progressed, losses accumulated and only the Halifax Senior B League saved us from complete whitewash. Why did a potentially strong team never get started?

There are many basic reasons. With a smile, Coach Wilson pointed out that Dal didn't score enough points. The reason for the lack of points was an extremely poor shooting percentage by all members of the team. For example, in the opening playoff game against Acadia, the Axemen shot 49% from the floor while Dal could only hit on one of every three attempts. Assuming all other things to be equal, that is, height, experience and other basics of the game, it would appear that shooting practice would solve this problem and make Dal a power. BUT . all other things are not equal.

The difference between Dalhousie and the rest of the league is experience. St. Francis Xavier and St. Mary's have almost wholly American rosters. Acadia has a ten man squad, two Americans and eight Canad-ians. However, the two big guns, Spears and Simmonds, both Nova Scotians, have had the benefit of professional coaching in the United States during summer basketball camp sessions.

The American basketball player at these schools has had a basketball in his hands since birth and from the time he engrade school had guidtered ance from good coaching.

Whenever a losing Canadian team is enumerating the rea-sons for its consistant setbacks, it points immediately to the American or Upper Canadian athletes on the other teams. This points out one of the madifferences between the jor athletic set-up at Dalhousie and that of other Maritime univer-

sities. This sounds like sour grapes but in fact is perfectly true if the reader wants to analyze the situation and the situation of athletics at Dalhousie certainly bears analyzing.

#### **Poor Varsity Stems From** Poor Interfac

Our record in intercollegiate athletics has been steadily worsening over the last few years. This decline can also be noted in inter faculty competition. Our intra-mural program been plagued by small has turnouts in every phase of its activity. Faculties with more than 75 students have repeatedly failed to field the minimum numbers for any interfac ranging from five for sport basketball to nine for football. An organized volleyball tournament was a failure because only two teams (of six each) showed up after nine had agreed to play in the meet.

The main purpose of an intra-mural program is to pro-vide physical activity to supplement the intellectual activity the student obtains by at-tending classes and thereby to make the student into Plato's "perfect man" . . . a sound mind in a sound body. A sec-ondary purpose of interfac ondary sports is to provide a proving ground for future varsity theletes. This is the first aspect in which the Dalhousie athletic system fails. It fails in its primary purpose but it also fails in the secondary function of acting as a farm system."

There are three basic reasons for an athlete to play well on a varsity team: (1) to live up to the honor of the varsity teams of the past, to uphold the name of the university and to do just credit to his alma (2) to play well for his mater; teammates and to improve the record of the current squad; (3) to satisfy and number of personal reasons that vary with the individual. It is generally felt by many people, not only Coach Wilson, that our athletes perform mostly for the last two reasons and to a very small extent for the first. The reason for this is simple. Athletes are no different than the vast majof Dalhousie students ority whose loyalty to and pride in their alma mater is negligible. This even carries over into alumni days. An example of the complete lack of interest of

some Dal grads was illustrated summer when football last coach Wilson spoke to a Toronto alumni group asking them to give him the names of any boys they felt would be interested in attending Dalhousie and possibly playing football at that school. Coach Wilson received not one response.

This points to the fact that this apathy is not merely a current situation but one that has been growing over the years. In most cases, students spend four years at the university, taking what they can from it and giving little in return. No one seems to be able to pinpoint the blame for this situation; it just exists.

#### "We Need More Dargie . . . Interfac

Athletics at Dal are not fulfilling the purposes for which they exist. The time has come for a major realignment of our sports policies and programs. Athletic director DeWitt Dargie feels that the perfect athletic system would be excellent varsity athletics and excellent interfac sports. The varsity situ-ation at Dal is bleak and looking bleaker and it is felt by Dargie that the money spent on varsity sports (about 94% of the athletic budget) could be better used in expansion of the present athletic department to handle a more complete and diversified intramural program. This would offer the opportunity to more students to get the physical training they need. To Win Or Not To Win

There is an alternative open to powers that be. Compete

with the other universities on an equal footing and obtain athletes in the same way (whatever that may be) that these schools do. This should only be done if the value of varsity athletics to Dalhousie is high enough to warrant it, and would in no way lower the scholastic standing of the university.

This is the situation according to informed authorities. What will happen in the future no one knows but a decision must be made as to what the future course of Dalhousie athletics will be. It is imperative that this decision be made in the near future lest the athletic department lose all its value to the student body and cease to exist.



Medicine swept all interfac leagues in the 1961-62 season. Saturday they added the basketball and bowling titles to their trophy case. The doctors have already taken the football and A section hockey crowns.

Playocs will be held in hockey and basketball and Meds will be favored to wrap up these championships as well .

#### TAKE B'BALL CROWN

The interfac basketball schedule and the interface basiceban schedular is inderface basiceban and interface Bowling champ-Med A and Med B retained their first place positions for the sec-ond year in a row. Interface Bowling champ-ionship at Fenwick Bowl when they nipped Pharmacy by four pins. Med B closed out their

-Dentistry, Engineers and Arts. Med B scored five wins without setback and Pine Hill won five and lost one. Their only loss was third with six points.

scored their fifth win with a convincing 36-14 win over Science. The game was close throughout the frist half with Pine Hill holding a 12-9 lead at the break. In the second half, the deacons ran wild outscoring the opposition 24-5. Hugh Farquhar scored 10 points to lead the winners. D. Wright had eight and Hugh MacLean and D. Seller had seven each. Mason scored six and Ken Abelson four to lead Science.

Commerce scored their sole win of the season by tripping Educa-tion 44-33. Willie Strug and Eddie McCarthy topped the winners' score sheet with 17 and 16 points respectively. Peter MacLeod pac-ed the teachers, who trailed 20-16 at the half, with 16 points. Jerry Blumenthal scored 10.

Two games were forfeited. Pharmacy defaulted their fourth game in a row and ended the season with seven setbacks. Law sky, Science, 117; Kinley, Med B were the recipients of the gift win. Education, after playing a tough game to open the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in their second scheduled game of the day's card, gave up their two points in the second scheduled game of the day's comments and the second scheduled game of the day's card the second scheduled game of the day of the second scheduled game day as Science took the win.

Playoff dates remain undecided at press time but the final game will be played on Munro Day.

## FINAL STANDINGS

	A S	ectio	on		
	W	L	$\mathbf{F}$	A	Pts
Medicine	6	0	346	134	12
Law	4	3	204	209	8
Dents	3	3	141	150	6
Engineers	3	3	144	194	6
Arts	3	3	116	186	6
Pharmacy	0	7	50	128	0
	B Se	ectio	n		
Medicine	5	0	176	118	10
Pine Hill	5	1	219	125	10
Science	3	3	142	146	6
Commerce	1	5	171	218	2
Education	1	6	138	239	2



Medicine B captured the first Med A scored six wins in a row to lead runner-up Law by four points. Three teams tied for third —Dentistry, Engineers and Arts. Pine Hill A followed in that order. Eric Hillis of Arts set high single and high triple standards in to Med B 20-18. Science placed pacing Arts to their fourth place third with six points. Finish. Hillis posted a 126 single In Saturday's action, Pine Hill wiping out Dave Seaman's prev-cored their fifth win with a con-ious high of 123. The Artsman al-

TOP BOWLING CIRCUIT

so scored a 322 triple to knock Rick Kinley's 311 off the board. Hillis started slowly with a 78 but then scored a 118 and a 126 to set the new marks. Members of the winning Med B

team are: Eddie George, Lou Simon, John Stewart, Brian Hen-nessey, Dick Godbout and Rick Kinley. The runners-up Pharmacy crew consisted of: Michael Moore, Peter Herbert, Jim McMellon, Ron Nadeau, Fred Chisholm and Dave Jamieson.

Final Standings: Med B 5360; Pharm A 5356; Men's Res A 5261; Arts 5187; Pine Hill A 5120; Comm A 5105; Comm B 5101; Science 5099; Eng A 5040; Dent A 5022; Pharm B 4962; Dent B 4948; Pine Hill B 4947; Med A 4935; Eng B 4861; Med C 4841.

322; Kinley, Med B 311; Tracey, Comm A 309; Herbert, Pharmacy 308; Cumming, Comm B 305.

### **Green Undefeated** Defending Champion Don Green leads the Dalhousie Curling Play-

downs with a 2-0 record. In sec-ond spot with two wins and a loss are Charlie Piper and Jack Conrod. MacLeod, Hills and Bane have 1-1 records, Christie and Haywood and winless.

Dave Haywood has been the hard luck rink of the tourney, forfeiting their first game and losing their second game in an extra end.

The tourney has been highlighted by upsets, the unranked Conrod foursome scoring two. Other upsets included victories by Bane and MacLeod Piper off to a slow start defeated Christie in an extra end and then downed Conrod, tying the latter for second spot in the Bonspiel.



Agents for ALLIED VAN LINES NALIFAX TRANSFER St. HALIFAX NOVA SCOTIA LOCAL & LONG DISTANCE MOVING BONDED HOUSE TO HOUSE EVERY LOAD COAST TO COAST 135 GRANVILLE STREET

## "66 Years in Business" Moving in the spring? Why not discuss it now? **REMEMBER** – A well planned move can save you money

Walter Hopkins is our representative specializing in "Dalhousie" inquiries.

> PHONE TODAY and arrange to have him call on you, at your convenience.

PHONE 423-7138 or 423-7139

ganization whose editors emerge into the sunlight once a year for their annual tomato juice, has once again challenged The Dalhousie Gazette to a game of bas-ketball. Last year, as observers close to the athletic scene will recall, Pharos flopped by a 234-11 score. Undaunted by the prospect of facing Mighty Mike Kirby in The Gazette line-up, Pharos editors have consented to appear in the Del gwan at 6:30 nm Saturday Dal gymn at 6:30 p.m. Saturday to take their annual defeat. When last seen. Pharos editors were clustered around their burger-meister Otto "Henny" Henline in hopes of strengthening their squad with extra players from Mr. Henline's grade nine manual training class.

Mimeographing, typing, **Addressing Service** V. MORRIS, 5 Fairmount Rd., Armdale. PHONE 454\_3285