The Economy

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

[English]

THE CANADIAN ECONOMY

PROPOSED MEASURES TO STIMULATE ECONOMY—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Lincoln M. Alexander (Hamilton West): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a motion of urgent and pressing necessity under Standing Order 43. In light of the immense human problem that eight years of economic failure has created, I move, seconded by the hon. member for York-Simcoe (Mr. Stevens):

• (1410)

That this House direct the government to bring forward a revised budget for the economic reconstruction of the country which shall include the following: income tax cuts of the order of \$250 million to stimulate the economy, federal sales tax reductions on certain products such as building materials to stimulate demand and create jobs and long term tax contracts for companies investing in 'have-not' regions of this country.

Mr. Speaker: Such a motion under the terms of Standing Order 43 requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: No!

SUGGESTED MEASURES TO ALLEVIATE UNEMPLOYMENT AND STIMULATE GROWTH—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Sinclair Stevens (York-Simcoe): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity.

When the "magnificent seven" gathered in London this past weekend, it was determined that unemployment is the *cause célèbre* of the industrial world today. As the administration's last budget did not give sufficient attention to our own mounting unemployment, the highest of the seven, ensuring as it does at least one million unemployed in Canada, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Dauphin (Mr. Ritchie):

That this House instruct the government to bring in a new budget which will address itself to the critical unemployment problem in Canada and our lack of real growth.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Speaker: Presentation for debate of such a motion can be done only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there such consent?

Some hon. Members: No! [Mr. Speaker.]

ENERGY

PROPOSAL TO DEBATE CONSTRUCTION OF NORTHERN PIPELINE FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF REPORTS THEREON—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. John C. Crosbie (St. John's West): Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. In view of the fact that the government of Canada will have to make a decision on a northern pipeline and on the issues considered by the Berger inquiry and by the National Energy Board, by consultants and by Dean Lysyk of the University of British Columbia, and in view of the paramount importance of the involvement of and consultation with the House of Commons before the government makes any final decision upon proposals for the construction of a northern pipeline, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Provencher (Mr. Epp):

That the government be instructed to initiate a full debate in this House concerning proposals for the construction of a northern pipeline once all of the relevant consultants, boards, commissions and committees have reported on the matters referred to them in connection therewith, and that no final decisions be taken in connection with such matters until after full debate so that the views of members of the House as to what action should be taken in the national interest may be known.

Mr. Speaker: A motion under Standing Order 43 can be presented only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: No!

PROPOSAL TO ACCEPT BERGER RECOMMENDATION TO SETTLE NATIVE LAND CLAIMS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF NORTHERN PIPELINE—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Wally Firth (Northwest Territories): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity under the terms of Standing Order 43.

In view of Mr. Justice Berger's strong recommendation in his report on the Mackenzie Valley pipeline, tabled yesterday, that a pipeline should await both a settlement and implementation of native land claims, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Sault Ste. Marie (Mr. Symes):

That this government accept and implement the recommendation.

Mr. Speaker: Presentation for debate of such a motion can be done only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: No!