

## TORONTO'S GREAT EXHIBITION

The Formal Opening by Major-General Hutton is Less Than a Week Away.

THE LARGEST LIVE STOCK CLASSES. A Chicago Speculator Offers Four Thousand Dollars in Hard Cash for Twenty Thousand Tickets.

There are 800 entries in the horse classes, nearly 100 more than last year, announced Vice-President Davies at a wind-up meeting previous to the fall of the Board of Directors of the Industrial Exhibition Association, and he added, cattle, sheep and swine are all greatly in excess of previous years. There was a large, almost, in fact, an entire attendance of the directors, and a number of the public, including J. & W. Withrow, who for 21 years has hardly been absent from a meeting, was in the chair and gathered round the speaker. There was no very important business to transact, and what little there was was put through expeditiously and unanimously. Then the members of the board set to discussing the prospects of the great annual function which will be opened on Monday next and continued until Saturday, Sept. 2.

Not only will the show in the agricultural classes be numerically ahead of the record, but the quality will improve. At the other end of the show, many breeders having, at great expense, imported prize animals, including J. & W. Withrow, who for 21 years has hardly been absent from a meeting, was in the chair and gathered round the speaker. There was no very important business to transact, and what little there was was put through expeditiously and unanimously. Then the members of the board set to discussing the prospects of the great annual function which will be opened on Monday next and continued until Saturday, Sept. 2.

Manager Hill was surprised recently by the receipt of a letter from Chicago, asking that the writer might be sold 20,000 tickets of admission to the Toronto Exhibition for \$4000 in cash. The writer, who was a desire to encourage speculation and recognizing that if the deal went through the purchaser would make a clear gain of \$1000, politely declined the offer, which, however, shows the fame of the Exhibition is a deal better than anything else could do.

All is now in readiness for the monster tattle and fireworks exhibit on the opening night, Tuesday next, the 26th inst. Mr. Harold Jarvis will sing several hymns and several patriotic songs, the refrain of which will be taken up by a choir of 20 stationed in the Grand Stand, under Mr. E. W. Schuch. All the people will be invited to join in singing the hymns for Ever, and the Old Hundred, and as it is probable that the effect promises to be remarkably impressive and even thrilling, the committee there will be a brilliant fireworks display and on the 27th inst. the entire aggregation of hands will strike up God Save the Queen, the vast assembly will join in chorus and will disperse to their homes, leaving the fair grounds in a blaze of light and the best arranged, the most brilliant and the most excellently devised.

On this occasion, the committee has been made for placing the seats of sale at Northumberland on Thursday, Friday and Saturday of next week. The seats have been limited to 2000 in number. It will be understood that early application will be necessary to get them.

Entries for the "novelty" bicycle races close at the office, 52 East King-street, to-morrow.

Entries are still being received for the trotting and pacing races.

A few more gentlemen's turn-outs in the club allotted to yesterday's race, of interest and increase the keenness of the competition.

Horsemen will be able to ride and drive over the track to-morrow, a statement which was elicited at yesterday's board meeting by Alderman Sheppard from the chairman of the race committee. It was found that Superintendent Swift has done wonders with a very unpromising track.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

At first the audience seemed to be apprehensive of the Col. Jounet, but when he came, he was met with a demonstration which would warrant him in clearing the court. It began, therefore, with a few minutes of the Col. Jounet, who was followed by general applause upon the part of the audience, who were all excited and a few persons standing at the back of the court.

attention lavished upon you permits you to resume your duties to-day. [Applause.]

In spite of the President's exhortation to fatigue himself, M. Labori replied: "Monseigneur is President, I am not. I am a simple citizen, and I am not going to do anything but what I think is right for my country."

What Perret Perreted Out. Perret, a former secretary of the War Office, who is alleged to have caught Dreyfus trying to get into the work of some of his fellow-officers during their absence, testified that towards the end of 1895, on his turning from his lunch, at an hour the when he was in the fourth bureau, standing with a stranger, a civilian, at the table, consulting a document which seemed to the witness to be connected with the transport of troops.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

given by the Military College. That has been the subject of remark.

What Perret Perreted Out. Perret, a former secretary of the War Office, who is alleged to have caught Dreyfus trying to get into the work of some of his fellow-officers during their absence, testified that towards the end of 1895, on his turning from his lunch, at an hour the when he was in the fourth bureau, standing with a stranger, a civilian, at the table, consulting a document which seemed to the witness to be connected with the transport of troops.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

ing himself for being unable to attend the session of the court. He referred to the steps of Mathieu Dreyfus to secure the rehabilitation of his brother, and how it was only when Esterhazy's writing was shown him that his hesitation ended. The letter also described moments of anguish the writer experienced during the course of the campaign, and drew on the opinion expressed in the judgment of the Court of Cassation and the confession of Esterhazy that he was the writer of the bordereau. In conclusion, the letter said: "You will permit an old Alsatian, Monsieur le President, to express the sentiment that the hour of justice will soon strike, in the interest of the army, of justice and of the country." [Great sensation.]

At the Manoeuvres. Replying to M. Demange, Lieut.-Col. Bertin explained his connection with Schœrer-Kestner at the manoeuvres that year. Witness told Gen. Billot of the action M. Schœrer-Kestner was taking, and the general replied: "Tell him not to do anything. I shall appeal to my old friend."

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

M. Demange: Why did you not give this evidence in 1894? M. Labori: I was not at the time. I was in the fourth bureau, and I was not at the time.

YE OLD FIRM OF HEINTZMAN & CO.

Other People's Opinions

will make a display of art pianos at the Toronto Industrial Exhibition that must necessarily add increased lustre to an already illustrious name for high-class artistic pianos.

We are anxious that everyone with an eye to the artistic and beautiful shall see this exhibit. The exteriors will be found representative of the highest and most chaste in modern art.

Is a word needed of the artistic quality of the pianos themselves? Their tonal quality, touch, brilliancy and power have won for them the commendation of the world's greatest artists under any and varying circumstances.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

YE OLD FIRM OF HEINTZMAN & CO.

Other People's Opinions

will make a display of art pianos at the Toronto Industrial Exhibition that must necessarily add increased lustre to an already illustrious name for high-class artistic pianos.

We are anxious that everyone with an eye to the artistic and beautiful shall see this exhibit. The exteriors will be found representative of the highest and most chaste in modern art.

Is a word needed of the artistic quality of the pianos themselves? Their tonal quality, touch, brilliancy and power have won for them the commendation of the world's greatest artists under any and varying circumstances.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN & CO., 115-117 King St. West, Toronto.

HEINTZMAN &