the

ime

this

for

can

ma-

efts

hen

ed;

rri-

au-

ore-

ons

ex-

in-

it

Se-

he

her

that nce,

me-

un-

are perfive

fualive eloquence of a minister, as not to know that it was easy to have confined your information to that place, and to have suppressed it with regard to the public at large. On the contrary, by adopting a measure which he knew would prove an offensive one to you, he drove you into the arms of a powerful and adverse party; he provoked you to publish your information in your place in parliament, and he could not imagine that your facts would be weakened, or your manner of expressing them softened by the treatment you had received. The only chance of suppresfing your information, or of counteracting the effect which you suppose it would have had, an interference with the prevailing fystem, was by ushering you into the royal presence; the sure method of publishing it to the nation, was by shutting against you the door of admission. This charge does not, therefore, appear to have any foundation in truth; and certainly, it has none in reason.

You next charge the Secretary of State with "infidiousness," for having received you with apparent kindness; for having heard you atten-