very much tumbled. The Gentleman and Lady went out in the morning, and he bought for her different things, shoes, stockings, &c. The next night they went to bed very early, and left the town in the course of the ensuing morning. The Lady left behind her a pair of stockings, which were marked with the word Lingham at full length.

Elizabeth Sperrel, Laundress to Mr. and Mrs. Lingham, proved, that that was the mark of Mrs. Lingham's flockings.

A Mrs Davis, who keeps a lodging-house at Eltham, proved than Mrs. Lingham lodged with her for about three months, and that Mr. Hunt used generally to go there on a Saturday, and stay till Monday.

This was the principal evidence on the part of the Plaintiff.

Mr. ERSKINE faid, no man was less qualified than himfelf, either from inclination or habits, to defend the cause of a man who had confest himfelf an adulterer: He had been accultomed to think highly of the sauctity of the marriage tie; he confidered the married state as the source of every genuine happinels in world, and that nothing could be more criminal than to disturb its purity. He therefore discharged his duty with some reluctance in a case where his Client had, by his letter, confest himself guilty; but still it was the province of the Jury to class the guilty according to their different degrees of guilt. He did not mean to impute to the Plainziff any wilful connivance at his wife's dishonour, or any ill treatment of his wife, but he could not avoid imputing to him a criminal inattention and carelessness; a detertion of that daty which is incumbent on a hus- for finilar crimes.

that night, and that the bed was band, to keep his wife from great temptations; and to be the guardian of her honour. On this subject he could not avoid thinking of a passage in the celebrated Poem of Milton, who was the first of men, as well as of poets. In that passage of Paradise Loft, where after mutual upbraidings between our first parents, after Eve has imputed to him the crime of leaving her unprotected from the wiles of the feducer, Adam replies as follows-

> - And, pethans, I also erred in overmuch admitting What feemed in thee fo pertect, that I thought No evil durit attempt thee; but I fee That arrow now, which is become my crime, And thou th'accuser.

Such was the state of the most amiable husband, should he defert the guardianship of his wife's purity and honour, which is the true fource of happinels and comfort for himfelf. Mr. Erskine then confidered the careless manner of receiving the news, that his wife had supped at a tavern with the Defendent, as a very culpable inattention to his own honour; he also faid, he was instructed to state that it would be proved that he had frequently permitted his wife to fact out whole days with the Defendant, on the pretence of taking the air together; and though, perhaps, nothing criminal had past till the 8th of July yet every remptation and opportunity for the committion of the crime had been allowed. The wife of the Plaintiff was a most beautiful woman, and fuch are the infirmities and weakneffes of man, that it is certainly extremely improper to afford so strong a temptation to any man. Mr. ERSKINE also conceived the letter to show some marks of contrition, which placed this Defendant in a point of view fornewhat more favourable than many others, who are brought before this Tribunal