Territorial Sea and Fishing Zones Act

France? The treaty was renegotiated in 1904. I am referring to the treaty of Utrecht. This treaty has no relevance to the present day. This treaty which was negotiated between Great Britain and France had no respect for Canada as it was then and did not anticipate Newfoundland entering into the Confederation of Canada. Nevertheless, this treaty was renegotiated in 1904.

• (10:00 p.m.)

This treaty today gives France not only territorial rights over the islands of St. Pierre and Miguelon but it gives her the right to fish in our territorial sea and within the threemile limit. Under this treaty France can fish right up on the shores of Newfoundland. The Parliamentary Secretary has said something from his seat. I suggest that he restrain himself and occupy himself with the history and it must be renegotiated. I am a little sick and tired, as I believe many Canadians are, concerning the attitude of the government toward France.

refused to grant permission to our Secretary by the government of France. Yet the Secreplace in this House and says this is a solemn treaty and that Canada must respect it.

I say to the government that Canada should matter. He has expressed his concern. exert its sovereign right and say to France

was a country, which gave these rights to exercise the right to take this matter to the International Court of Justice and ask that it adjudicate on it. If Canada is not able to obtain satisfaction from the International Court of Justice, we should exercise our right as a nation and say to France that we can no longer honour the commitment of this treaty which grants to France rights which are denied to our own nationals, rights which we deny to our own fishermen, to fish within Canada's territorial seas and waters.

We should settle for nothing less than a renegotiation of this treaty. Otherwise, the legislation to which we are now asked to give assent is nothing but a sham, because the area concerned is the most prolific fishing area off Canada's east coast, the southern area of Newfoundland, the Grand Banks. This area has already been referred to in great detail by my colleague the hon. member for South Shore (Mr. Crouse). I am only sorry books in the library so that he may learn that the Minister of Fisheries (Mr. Davis), something about this treaty, because his min- who is in his seat, did not see fit to particiister is very concerned about it as he should pate in this debate in order to tell the House be. This treaty makes a total sham of this the difficulties he has as Minister of Fisheries legislation. In fact, this legislation is a farce in trying to enforce conservation and fisheries so long as that treaty is not renegotiated by jurisdiction in the area of the south coast of Canada and so long as there is not an under- Newfoundland and in trying to establish standing between Canada and France that baselines on that south coast which, as he certain aspects of it are no longer relevant knows, is impossible so long as this treaty remains as it is today.

In order to set up such baselines one would practically have to go through the territory of France. Yet the government adopts the atti-Only yesterday the government of France tude that this is a treaty which cannot be renegotiated and is one which must be of State for External Affairs to fly over that honoured. This is the treaty that was negocountry. This was an indignity for Canada. tiated between Britain and France in 1713 What about the situation in respect of the and was renegotiated in 1904, which as it Marville air base? In understand this matter stands today represents a grave injustice to has not been resolved. A substantial amount the fishermen of Canada. It allows the fishing of money, over \$100 million, is owing Canada fleets of France to violate our territorial sea by France as a consequence of the takeover and to ignore our conservation measures, and of this NATO Canadian military installation it makes this legislation to which we must assent nothing but a sham. I say to the govtary of State for External Affairs rises in his ernment that they should heed the counsel of the Minister of Fisheries and Forestry because I know he is concerned about this

Let the Secretary of State for External that we can no longer subscribe to the terms Affairs while he is in Europe take advantage of this ancient treaty which is no longer rele- of his presence there, which coincides with vant to the present day. We should say to the passage of this legislation, to express to France that we respect its territorial rights to the government of France the concern of the the islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon, but Parliament of Canada and to ask the governthat we must renegotiate the fishing rights of ment of France if, in light of the legislation that country within our territorial seas. Then which we are now about to pass, they would if France refused to renegotiate, we should be prepared to at least renegotiate the fishing

[Mr. McGrath.]