

Questions

(a) December 1946 to July 1948, dental officer with the department of health, Guelph, Ontario, (b) June 1949 to August 1956, director dental public health, Lincoln county, (c) November 1956 to October 1958, regional director, Lincoln, Wentworth and Brant county health units, (d) November 1958 to October 1963, director, dental services, province of Manitoba, (e) Dr. Connor has been a part time lecturer in public health dentistry at the University of Manitoba.

4. Dr. R. A. Connor received his diploma in dental public health from the University of Toronto in 1949.

5. Dr. Connor is 56 years old, was born in Thornbury, Ontario; attended the University of Toronto and graduated with doctor of dental surgery in 1933, doctor in dental public health in 1949.

6. Dr. Connor is entitled to the veterans preference under the terms of the Civil Service Act.

7. Of the 28 persons who applied in this competition only one other person qualified in it.

8. Only one of the qualified applicants is entitled to the veterans preference provided for in the Civil Service Act.

AIR MAIL SERVICE, QUEEN CHARLOTTE ISLANDS

Question No. 1,560—Mr. Howard:

With respect to the 14 complaints received regarding mail that was marked or posted for "Air Mail" to the Queen Charlotte islands but which reached its destination by boat mail what was, in each case (a) the name and address of the complainant (b) the date the complaint was made (c) the reason for the error, and (d) the remedial action taken?

Mr. Denis: Detailed information regarding complaints received prior to November 1, 1962, is not available. Files on cases where investigation is completed are kept for a period of one year only.

It was initially reported that 14 complaints were received. Subsequent inquiries established that 12 complaints were submitted. Six complaints have been received since November 1, 1962.

1. (a) Mrs. E. J. Arnold, 2066 West 3rd Avenue, Vancouver 9, B.C.; (b) November 6, 1962; (c) uncertainty as to whether a flight would operate, therefore the item was despatched by steamer; (d) no action taken.

2. (a) Mrs. G. Cotter, Department of Transport, Sandspit, B.C.; (b) November 29, 1962; (c) human error; (d) personnel instructed to exercise greater care.

3. (a) M. Lacusta, Box 37, Queen Charlotte, B.C.; (b) January 21, 1963; (c) human error; (d) personnel instructed to exercise greater care.

[Mr. Pickersgill.]

4. (a) Masset Auto Marine, Masset, B.C.; (b) March 1, 1963; (c) human error; (d) personnel instructed to exercise greater care.

5. (a) MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River Limited, Sandspit, B.C.; (b) September 13, 1963; (c) human error; (d) personnel instructed to exercise greater care.

6. (a) Mrs. D. O. Johnson, Juskatla via Sandspit, B.C.; (b) October 17, 1963; (c) investigation not yet completed; (d) investigation not yet completed.

*PUBLICITY EXPENDITURES OF LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES

Question No. 1,588—Mr. Knowles:

1. Are there any regulations concerning expenditures by life insurance companies on advertising or on promotional campaigns of various kinds and, if so, what are such regulations?

2. Are the expenditures by life insurance companies on campaigns in opposition to the proposed Canada pension plan considered legitimate advertising expenditures and, if so, by what authority?

3. Are the expenditures by life insurance companies on campaigns in opposition to the proposed Canada pension plan allowed as deductible for corporation income tax purposes and, if so, what is the estimated amount of the cost to the public treasury during the current year?

Mr. Benson: 1. No.

2. There is no legislation in Canada controlling the amount insurance companies may spend on advertising.

3. Expenses of a life insurance company are charged to the insurance funds. Taxable income is determined mainly by amounts transferred from these funds to the shareholders fund, the amounts so transferred being at the discretion of the directors within the limitations prescribed by the insurance acts, and subject to the maintenance of reserves and surplus margins necessary for the protection of policyholders. It is impossible to determine whether the amounts that may be so transferred would be affected by expenses that may have been incurred of the type described. In this connection I refer the hon. member to section 30 of the Income Tax Act.

*IMMIGRATION OFFICES, FRANCE AND ENGLAND

Question No. 1,614—Mr. Pigeon:

1. Does the government maintain immigration offices in France and, if so (a) what is the annual cost of these offices (b) how many persons are attached to these offices (c) what is the total of their salaries?

2. (a) How many immigration offices does the government maintain in England (b) what is the annual cost of these offices (c) how many persons are attached to these offices (d) what is the total of their salaries?

[Translation]

Mr. Munro: 1. The Department of Citizenship and Immigration maintains one office in France and this is located in Paris. There are